

Biotechnology in Agriculture and Forestry

Edited by

T. Nagata (Managing Editor)

H. Lörz

J. M. Widholm

Biotechnology in Agriculture and Forestry

Volumes already published and in preparation are listed at the end of this book.

Biotechnology in Agriculture and Forestry 60

Transgenic Crops V

Edited by
E.C. Pua and M.R. Davey

With 11 Figures and 33 Tables

Series Editors

Professor Dr. TOSHIYUKI NAGATA
University of Tokyo
Graduate School of Science
Department of Biological Sciences
7-3-1 Hongo, Bunkyo-ku
Tokyo 113-0033, Japan

Professor Dr. HORST LÖRZ
Universität Hamburg
Institut für Allgemeine Botanik
Angewandte Molekularbiologie
der Pflanzen II
Ohnhorststraße 18
22609 Hamburg, Germany

Professor Dr. JACK M. WIDHOLM
University of Illinois
285A E.R. Madigan Laboratory
Department of Crop Sciences
1201 W. Gregory
Urbana, IL 61801, USA

Volume Editors

Professor Dr. ENG-CHONG PUA
School of Arts and Sciences
Monash University Malaysia
2 Jalan Kolej, Bandar Sunway
46150 Petaling Jaya, Selangor, Malaysia

Professor Dr. MICHAEL R. DAVEY
Plant Sciences Division
School of Biosciences
University of Nottingham
Sutton Bonington Campus
Loughborough LE12 5RD, UK

Library of Congress Control Number: 2006933056

ISSN 0934-943X

ISBN-13 978-3-540-49160-6 Springer Berlin Heidelberg New York

This work is subject to copyright. All rights reserved, whether the whole or part of the material is concerned, specifically the rights of translation, reprinting, reuse of illustrations, recitation, broadcasting, reproduction on microfilm or in any other way, and storage in data banks. Duplication of this publication or parts thereof is permitted only under the provisions of the German Copyright Law of September 9, 1965, in its current version, and permission for use must always be obtained from Springer. Violations are liable for prosecution under the German Copyright Law.

Springer is a part of Springer Science + Business Media
springer.com

© Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg 2007

The use of general descriptive names, registered names, trademarks, etc. in this publication does not imply, even in the absence of a specific statement, that such names are exempt from the relevant protective laws and regulations and therefore free for general use.

Editor: Dr. Dieter Czeschlik, Heidelberg, Germany
Desk Editor: Dr. Andrea Schlitzberger, Heidelberg, Germany
Cover design: WMXdesign, Heidelberg, Germany
Typesetting and production: LE-TeX Jelonek, Schmidt & Vöckler GbR, Leipzig, Germany
Printed on acid-free paper SPIN 10981542 31/3100 5 4 3 2 1 0

*Dedicated by E.C. Pua
to his wife (Pat-Mui Fong)
and children (Seow Hun, Seow Chin and Xuan Zhan)*

Preface

Exciting developments in crop biotechnology in recent years have prompted the necessity to update the first series of *Transgenic Crops I, II and III*, published in 1999 and 2001. In this current endeavor, 69 chapters have been compiled, contributed by a panel of experts in crop biotechnology from 26 countries. These chapters are grouped into three volumes, namely *Transgenic Crops IV, V and VI*. This new series not only reviews recent advances in cell and tissue culture and genetic transformation methodologies, but also presents aspects of the molecular genetics of target crops and the practical applications of transgenic plants. In addition, more than 30% of crop species that were not discussed previously are included in the present series.

This new series commences with the volume *Transgenic Crops IV*, consisting of 23 chapters that focus on cereals, vegetables, root crops, herbs and spices. Section I is an introductory chapter that places into perspective the impact of plant biotechnology in agriculture. Section II focuses on cereals (rice, wheat, maize, rye, pearl millet, barley, oats), while Section III is directed to vegetable crops (tomato, cucumber, eggplant, lettuce, chickpea, common beans and cowpeas, carrot, radish). Root crops (potato, cassava, sweet potato, sugar beet) are included in Section IV, with herbs and spices (sweet and hot peppers, onion, garlic and related species, mint) in Section V.

Transgenic Crops V also consists of 23 chapters in three sections devoted to fruit (Section I), trees (Section II) and beverage crops (Section III). Fruit crops target banana, citrus, mango, papaya, pineapple, watermelon, avocado, grape, melon, apple, *Prunus* spp, strawberry and kiwifruit, while trees include rubber, eucalyptus, legumes and conifers. Section III, on beverage crops, reports studies on coffee, cacao, tea and sugarcane.

As in volumes IV and V, *Transgenic Crops VI* has 23 chapters organized in five Sections. Section I targets oil and fiber crops (soybean, rapeseed, sunflower, oil palm, peanut, cotton, flax), followed by medicinally important plants (including ginseng, opium poppy, herbane, belladonna, *Datura*, *Duboisia*, *Taxus*) in Section II. Ornamentals (roses, carnation, chrysanthemum, orchids, gladiolus, forsythia) are discussed in Section III, while Section IV involves forages and grains (alfalfa, clovers, tall fescue, ryegrasses, lupin). Section V has one chapter that discusses aspects of the freedom to commercialize transgenic plants, together with regulatory and intellectual property issues.

The editors express their sincere thanks to Maggie Yap Lan from Monash University, Malaysia, for her excellent secretarial and editorial assistance. She

forwarded to contributors timely reminders of deadlines, where appropriate, and assisted in editing the manuscripts for typographical errors and formatting.

This series will serve as a key reference for advanced students and researchers in crop sciences, genetics, horticulture, agronomy, cell and molecular biology, biotechnology and other disciplines in life sciences.

E.C. Pua and M.R. Davey

Contents

Section I Fruits

I.1	Banana	3
	E.C. PUA	
1	Introduction	3
2	Banana Breeding and Molecular Markers	4
3	Identification of Banana Genes	5
4	Tissue Culture	12
5	Genetic Transformation	21
6	Factors Affecting Transgene Expression	23
7	Applications of Transgenic Plants	25
8	Conclusions	26
	References	26
I.2	Citrus	35
	L. PEÑA, M. CERVERA, C. FAGOAGA, J. ROMERO, J. JUÁREZ, J.A. PINA, and L. NAVARRO	
1	Introduction	35
2	The Importance of Citrus	35
3	Genetic Improvement	36
4	Tissue Culture	37
5	Genetic Transformation	38
6	Citrus Improvement by Genetic Engineering	42
7	Conclusions	47
	References	47
I.3	Mango	51
	M.A. GÓMEZ LIM and R.E. LITZ	
1	Introduction	51
2	Cell and Tissue Culture	52
3	Applications of Biotechnology	58
4	Conclusions	66
	References	67

I.4	Papaya	73
	S.D. YEH	
1	Introduction	73
2	Worldwide Threat by PRSV Infection	75
3	A Transgenic Approach for Control of PRSV	76
4	Tissue Culture and Regeneration Techniques	79
5	Micropropagation Techniques	81
6	Methods of Genetic Transformation	84
7	Advances in Molecular Biology	87
8	Conclusions	89
	References	90
I.5	Pineapple	97
	M.R. DAVEY, S. SRIPAORAYA, P. ANTHONY, K.C. LOWE, AND J.B. POWER	
1	Introduction	97
2	Taxonomy and Breeding of Pineapple	98
3	Genetic Relationships in Pineapple: Application of Biochemical and Molecular Markers	99
4	Biochemical and Molecular Approaches to Flowering and Fruit Production in Pineapple	100
5	Tissue Culture-Based Technologies for Pineapple Improvement . . .	102
6	Agronomic Improvement of Pineapple Using Genetic Manipulation: The Options	111
7	Conclusions	119
	References	121
I.6	Watermelon	129
	P. ELLUL, C. LELIVELT, M.M. NAVAL, F.J. NOGUERA, S. SANCHEZ, A. ATARÉS, V. MORENO, P. CORELLA, and R. DIRKS	
1	Introduction	129
2	Watermelon Origin and Diversity	129
3	Watermelon Cultivars and Germplasm	131
4	Breeding Watermelon for Chromosome Doubling	132
5	Genetic Engineering and Watermelon Breeding Purposes	140
6	Production of Haploid and Doubled Haploid Watermelon Plants . .	150
7	DNA Markers in Watermelon Breeding	152
8	Conclusions and Future Prospects	155
	References	156
I.7	Avocado	167
	R.E. LITZ, S.H.T. RAHARJO, and M.A. GÓMEZ LIM	
1	Introduction	167
2	Breeding and Genetics	168

3	Molecular Genetics	170
4	Micropropagation	173
5	Micrografting for Elimination of Pathogens	176
6	Somatic Embryogenesis	177
7	Protoplast Isolation and Culture	178
8	In Vitro Mutation Induction	179
9	Genetic Transformation	180
10	Cryopreservation	181
11	Conclusions	182
	References	182
I.8	Grape	189
	A. PERL and Y. ESHDAT	
1	Introduction	189
2	Somatic Embryogenesis in Grapes	190
3	Selection Systems Utilizing Grape Cell Cultures	191
4	The International Grape Genome Program	192
5	Genetic Transformation in Viticulture	193
6	Utilization of Transgenic Grapes	193
7	Recent Advances in Grape Molecular Biology	198
8	Conclusions	202
	References	202
I.9	Melon	209
	J.C. PECH	
1	Introduction	209
2	Molecular Tools, Markers and Mapping	211
3	Molecular Characterization of Resistance Genes	216
4	In Vitro Regeneration by Organogenesis and Somatic Embryogenesis from Callus and Protoplasts	218
5	Haploidization, Triploids and Somatic Hybridisation	222
6	Methods of Genetic Transformation	222
7	Genetic Transformation for Disease Resistance	225
8	Genetic Transformation for Desirable Fruit Quality Traits and Post-Harvest Behaviour	226
9	Genetic Transformation for Resistance to Salt and Herbicides	229
10	Conclusions	230
	References	231
I.10	Apple	241
	A. M. IBANEZ and A. M. DANDEKAR	
1	Introduction	241
2	Economic Importance	244
3	Current Research and Development	245

4	Practical Applications of Transgenic Plants	263
5	Conclusions and Future Challenges	267
	References	267
I.11	<i>Prunus</i> spp.	283
	L. BURGOS, C. PETRI, and M.L. BADENES	
1	Introduction	283
2	Economic Importance	283
3	Current Research and Development	284
4	Practical Applications of Transgenic Plants	296
5	Conclusions and Future Challenges	296
	References	300
I.12	Strawberry	309
	J.A. MERCADO, F. PLIEGO-ALFARO, and M.A. QUESADA	
1	Introduction	309
2	Economic Importance	310
3	Current Research and Development	311
4	Practical Applications of Transgenic Plants	319
5	Conclusions and Future Challenges	323
	References	323
I.13	Kiwifruit	329
	R.G. ATKINSON and E.A. MACRAE	
1	Introduction	329
2	Economic Importance and Genetic Potential	330
3	Molecular Biology	334
4	Genetic Transformation and Functional Genomics	337
5	Current and Future Practical Applications of Biotechnology	340
	References	341

Section II Trees

II.1	Walnuts	349
	M.T. BRITTON, C.H. LESLIE, G.H. MCGRANAHAN, and A.M. DANDEKAR	
1	Introduction	349
2	Economic Importance	352
3	Current Research and Development	353
4	Practical Applications of Transgenic Plants	361
5	Conclusions and Future Challenges	363
	References	364

II.2	Rubber	371
	P. AROKIARAJ	
1	Introduction	371
2	The Malaysian Rubber Industry	371
3	<i>Hevea</i> Tissue Culture and Genetic Transformation	372
4	Rubber Trees as Recombinant Protein Factories	374
5	Molecular Genetics for <i>Hevea</i> Improvement	378
6	Biosafety Aspects of Transgenic <i>Hevea</i>	380
7	Conclusions and Future Challenges	381
	References	382
II.3	Eucalyptus	387
	C. TEULIERES and C. MARQUE	
1	The Importance of Eucalyptus	387
2	Tissue Culture and Genetic Transformation	389
3	Eucalyptus Genomics Research Programs	394
4	Conclusions and Perspectives	401
	References	402
II.4	Tropical Tree Legumes	407
	Y. HONG and S. BHATNAGAR	
1	Introduction	407
2	Progress and Prospects of Tree Legume Biotechnology	408
3	In Vitro Propagation of Legume Tree Species	408
4	Genetic Transformation	419
5	Marker Assisted Breeding	423
6	Genomic and Molecular Biology Studies	424
7	Legume Nodulation and Nitrogen Fixation	425
8	<i>Acacia mangium</i> as a Potential Model Plant for Tree Legumes	426
9	Conclusions	426
	References	427
II.5	Casuarina glauca	433
	M. OBERTELLO, C. SANTI, S. SVISTOONOFF, V. HOCHER, F. AUGUY, L. LAPLAZE, D. BOGUSZ, and C. FRANCHE	
1	Introduction	433
2	Economic Importance	433
3	Transgenic Technology	434
4	Transgenic Plants Used to Characterize Early Symbiotic Genes	435
5	Transgenic Casuarinaceae as a Tool for Evolutionary Studies of Symbiotic Genes	437
6	Gene Transfer Technology for the Improvement of <i>C. glauca</i>	439
7	Conclusions	442
	References	442

II.6	Conifers	447
	C. WALTER, M. CARSON, and S. CARSON	
1	Introduction	447
2	The Economic Importance of Conifer Plantations	448
3	State of Current Research, Including Tissue Culture, Propagation, Molecular Genetics and Genetic Engineering	451
4	Potential Applications for Transgenic Conifers in Plantation Forestry	456
5	Social Challenges	459
6	Conclusions	466
	References	466

Section III Beverage Crops

III.1	Coffee	475
	N. SANTANA, R. ROJAS-HERRERA, R.M. GALAZ-ÁVALOS, J.R. KU-CAUICH, J. MIJANGOS, and V.M. LOYOLA-VARGAS	
1	Introduction	475
2	Somatic Embryogenesis in Coffee	475
3	Other Tissue Culture Methods	482
4	Micropropagation	485
5	Genetic Transformation	486
6	Conclusions	489
	References	490
III.2	Cacao	497
	M.J. GUILTINAN	
1	Introduction	497
2	Recent Advances: A Recent Literature Review	500
3	Future Prospects	511
	References	513
III.3	Tea	519
	T.K. MONDAL	
1	Introduction	519
2	The Genome	520
3	Tea Breeding	520
4	Tissue Culture	521
5	Genetic Transformation	524
6	Molecular Genetics	525
7	Conclusions	530
	References	531

III.4 Sugarcane 537
L.H. ZHANG, L.X. WENG, and Z.D. JIANG

1 Introduction 537

2 Sugarcane Genetic Transformation 540

3 Genetic Improvement 541

4 Factors Affecting Transgene Expression and Performance 546

5 Conclusions and Perspectives 548

References 549

Subject Index 553

List of Contributors

P. ANTHONY

Plant Sciences Division, School of Biosciences, University of Nottingham,
Sutton Bonington Campus, Loughborough LE12 5RD, UK

P. AROKIARAJ

International Islamic University Malaysia, Kulliyyah of Science, Department
of Biotechnology, Jalan Istana Bandar, Indra Mahkota, 25200 Kuantan,
Pahang, Malaysia, e-mail: arokiaraj@iiu.edu.my

A. ATARÉS

IBMCP (Instituto De Biología Molecular Y Celular De Plantas), Avenida De
Los Naranjos S/N, 46022 Valencia, Spain

R.G. ATKINSON

The Horticulture and Food Research Institute of New Zealand Ltd., Mt Albert
Research Centre, Private Bag 92169, Auckland, New Zealand,
e-mail: ross.atkinson@hortresearch.co.nz

F. AUGUY

Laboratoire Rhizogenèse Symbiotique, UMR DIA_PC, Institut de Recherche
pour le Développement (IRD), 911 Avenue Agropolis, BP 64501, 34394
Montpellier Cedex 5, France

M.L. BADENES

Departamento de Citricultura y Otros Frutales, IVIA, Apartado de Correos,
Moncada, 46.113 Valencia, Spain

H.J. BAU

Department of Biotechnology, Transworld Institute of Technology, Yunlin,
Taiwan

A. BERNADAC

INRA/INPT-ENSAT “Génomique et Biotechnologie des Fruits” (UMR 990),
Av. de l’Agrobiopole, BP 32607, 31326 Castanet-Tolosan Cedex, France

S. BHATNAGAR

Temasek Life Sciences Laboratory, 1 Research Link, National University of Singapore, 117604 Singapore

D. BOGUSZ

Laboratoire Rhizogénèse Symbiotique, UMR DIA_PC, Institut de Recherche pour le Développement (IRD), 911 Avenue Agropolis, BP 64501, 34394 Montpellier Cedex 5, France

M. BOUZAYEN

INRA/INPT-ENSAT "Génomique et Biotechnologie des Fruits" (UMR 990), Av. de l'Agrobiopole, BP 32607, 31326 Castanet-Tolosan Cedex, France

M.T. BRITTON

Department of Plant Sciences, University of California, 1 Shields Ave, Davis, California 95616, USA, e-mail: amdandekar@ucdavis.edu

L. BURGOS

Departamento de Mejora de Frutales, CEBAS-CSIC, Apartado de Correos 164, 30.100 Murcia, Spain, e-mail: burgos@cebas.csic.es

M. CARSON

Carson Associates Ltd, 34 Parawai Rd, Rotorua 3202, New Zealand

S. CARSON

Carson Associates Ltd, 34 Parawai Rd, Rotorua 3202, New Zealand

M. CERVERA

Departamento Protección Vegetal y Biotecnología, Instituto Valenciano de Investigaciones Agrarias (IVIA), Apartado Oficial, 46113 Moncada, Valencia, Spain

P. CORELLA

Rijk Zwaan Ibérica, Paraje El Mamí, Carretera De Viator S/N, 04120 La Cañada (Almería), Spain

A.M. DANDEKAR

Department of Plant Sciences, University of California, 1 Shields Ave, Davis, California 95616, USA, e-mail: amdandekar@ucdavis.edu

M.R. DAVEY

Plant Sciences Division, School of Biosciences, University of Nottingham, Sutton Bonington Campus, Loughborough LE12 5RD, UK, e-mail: mike.davey@nottingham.ac.uk

R. DIRKS

Rijk Zwaan Breeding BV, 1e Kruisweg 9, 4793 RS Fijnaart, The Netherlands

C. DOGIMONT

INRA, Unité de Génétique et Amélioration des Fruits et Légumes, BP 94, 84143 Avignon Cedex, France

P. ELLUL

Rijk Zwaan Ibérica, Paraje El Mamí, Carretera De Viator S/N, 04120 La Cañada (Almería), Spain, e-mail: p.ellul@rijkszwaan.es

Y. ESHDAT

Department of Fruit Tree Sciences, Institute of Plant Science, Agricultural Research Organization, P.O. Box 6, 50250 Bet-Dagan, Israel

C. FAGOAGA

Departamento Protección Vegetal y Biotecnología, Instituto Valenciano de Investigaciones Agrarias (IVIA), Apartado Oficial, 46113 Moncada, Valencia, Spain

C. FRANCHE

Laboratoire Rhizogénèse Symbiotique, UMR DIA_PC, Institut de Recherche pour le Développement (IRD), 911 Avenue Agropolis, BP 64501, 34394 Montpellier Cedex 5, France, e-mail: franche@mpl.ird.fr

M.A. GÓMEZ LIM

CINVESTAV, Unidad Irapuato, Apartado Postal 629, Irapuato GTO 36500, Mexico, e-mail: mgomez@ciea.ira.cinvestav.mx

R.M. GALAZ-ÁVALOS

Unidad de Bioquímica y Biología Molecular de Plantas, Centro de Investigación Científica de Yucatán, Calle 43 No. 130, Col. Chuburná de Hidalgo, Mérida, Yucatán, México

M.J. GUILTINAN

Department of Horticulture, 422 Life Sciences Building, The Pennsylvania State University, University Park, Pennsylvania 16802, USA, e-mail: mjpg9@psu.edu

V. HOCHER

Laboratoire Rhizogénèse Symbiotique, UMR DIA_PC, Institut de Recherche pour le Développement (IRD), 911 Avenue Agropolis, BP 64501, 34394 Montpellier Cedex 5, France

Y. HONG

Temasek Life Sciences Laboratory, 1 Research Link, National University of Singapore, 117604 Singapore, e-mail: hongy@tll.org.sg

A.M. IBANEZ

Department of Plant Sciences, University of California, 1 Shields Ave, Davis, California 95616, USA

Z.D. JIANG

Department of Plant Pathology, South China Agricultural University, Guangzhou 510642, China

J. JUÁREZ

Departamento Protección Vegetal y Biotecnología, Instituto Valenciano de Investigaciones Agrarias (IVIA), Apartado Oficial, 46113 Moncada, Valencia, Spain

J.R. KU-CAUICH

Unidad de Bioquímica y Biología Molecular de Plantas, Centro de Investigación Científica de Yucatán, Calle 43 No. 130, Col. Chuburná de Hidalgo, Mérida, Yucatán, México

Y.J. KUNG

Department of Plant Pathology, National Chung Hsing University, Taichung, Taiwan

L. LAPLAZE

Laboratoire Rhizogenèse Symbiotique, UMR DIA_PC, Institut de Recherche pour le Développement (IRD), 911 Avenue Agropolis, BP 64501, 34394 Montpellier Cedex 5, France

A. LATCHE

INRA/INPT-ENSAT "Génomique et Biotechnologie des Fruits" (UMR 990), Av. de l'Agrobiopole, BP 32607, 31326 Castanet-Tolosan Cedex, France

C. LELIVELT

Rijk Zwaan Breeding BV, 1e Kruisweg 9, 4793 RS Fijnaart, The Netherlands

C.H. LESLIE

Department of Plant Sciences, University of California, 1 Shields Ave, Davis, California 95616, USA

R.E. LITZ

Tropical Research and Education Center, University of Florida, 18905 SW 280 St, Homestead, Florida 33031-3314, USA, e-mail: rel@ifas.ufl.edu

K.C. LOWE

School of Biology, University of Nottingham, University Park, Nottingham
NG7 2RD, UK

V.M. LOYOLA-VARGAS

Unidad de Bioquímica y Biología Molecular de Plantas, Centro de
Investigación Científica de Yucatán, Calle 43 No. 130, Col. Chuburná de
Hidalgo, Mérida, Yucatán, México, e-mail: vmloyola@cicy.mx

E.A. MACRAE

The Horticulture and Food Research Institute of New Zealand Ltd., Mt Albert
Research Centre, Private Bag 92169, Auckland, New Zealand

C. MARQUE

Pôle de Biotechnologie Végétale, 24, Chemin de Borde Rouge, Auzeville,
31326 Castanet Tolosan, France

G.H. MCGRANAHAN

Department of Plant Sciences, University of California, 1 Shields Ave, Davis,
California 95616, USA

J.A. MERCADO

Departamento de Biología Vegetal, Universidad de Málaga, 29071 Málaga,
Spain, e-mail: mercado@uma.es

J. MIJANGOS

Unidad de Bioquímica y Biología Molecular de Plantas, Centro de
Investigación Científica de Yucatán, Calle 43 No. 130, Col. Chuburná de
Hidalgo, Mérida, Yucatán, México

T.K. MONDAL

Department of Plantation Crops and Processing, Uttar Banga Krishi
Viswavidalaya, Pundibari, Cooch Behar, 736165, West Bengal, India,
e-mail: mondalk@yahoo.com

V. MORENO

IBMCP (Instituto De Biología Molecular Y Celular De Plantas), Avenida De
Los Naranjos S/N, 46022 Valencia, Spain

M.M. NAVAL

IVIA (Instituto Valenciano De Investigaciones Agrarias), Carretera Moncada
– Náquera, Km. 4,5 Apartado Oficial, 46113 Moncada (Valencia), Spain

L. NAVARRO

Departamento Protección Vegetal y Biotecnología, Instituto Valenciano de Investigaciones Agrarias (IVIA), Apartado Oficial, 46113 Moncada, Valencia, Spain

F.J. NOGUERA

Rijk Zwaan Ibérica, Paraje El Mamí, Carretera De Viator S/N, 04120 La Cañada (Almería), Spain

M. OBERTELLO

Laboratoire Rhizogenèse Symbiotique, UMR DIA_PC, Institut de Recherche pour le Développement (IRD), 911 Avenue Agropolis, BP 64501, 34394 Montpellier Cedex 5, France

L. PEÑA

Departamento Protección Vegetal y Biotecnología, Instituto Valenciano de Investigaciones Agrarias (IVIA), Apartado Oficial, 46113 Moncada, Valencia, Spain, e-mail: lpenya@ivia.es

J.C. PECH

INRA/INPT-ENSAT "Génomique et Biotechnologie des Fruits" (UMR 990), Av. de l'Agrobiopole, BP 32607, 31326 Castanet-Tolosan Cedex, France, e-mail: pech@ensat.fr

A. PERL

Department of Fruit Tree Sciences, Institute of Plant Science, Agricultural Research Organization, P.O. Box 6, 50250 Bet-Dagan, Israel, e-mail: perl@int.gov.il

C. PETRI

Departamento de Mejora de Frutales, CEBAS-CSIC, Apartado de Correos 164, 30.100 Murcia, Spain

J.A. PINA

Departamento Protección Vegetal y Biotecnología, Instituto Valenciano de Investigaciones Agrarias (IVIA), Apartado Oficial, 46113 Moncada, Valencia, Spain

M. PITRAT

INRA, Unité de Génétique et Amélioration des Fruits et Légumes, BP 94, 84143 Avignon Cedex, France

F. PLIEGO-ALFARO

Departamento de Biología Vegetal, Universidad de Málaga, 29071 Málaga, Spain

J.B. POWER

Plant Sciences Division, School of Biosciences, University of Nottingham,
Sutton Bonington Campus, Loughborough LE12 5RD, UK

E.C. PUA

School of Arts and Sciences, Monash University Malaysia, No. 2, Jalan
Universiti, Bandar Sunway, 46150 Petaling Jaya, Selangor, Malaysia,
e-mail: ecpua@monash.edu.my

M.A. QUESADA

Departamento de Biología Vegetal, Universidad de Málaga, 29071 Málaga,
Spain

S.H.T. RAHARJO

Tropical Research and Education Center, University of Florida, 18905 SW 280
St, Homestead, Florida 33031-3314, USA

R. ROJAS-HERRERA

Centro de Investigación y Asistencia en Tecnología y Diseño del Estado de
Jalisco, Av. Normalistas 800, Guadalajara, Jalisco, México

J. ROMERO

Departamento Protección Vegetal y Biotecnología, Instituto Valenciano de
Investigaciones Agrarias (IVIA), Apartado Oficial, 46113 Moncada, Valencia,
Spain

S. SANCHEZ

Rijk Zwaan Ibérica, Paraje El Mamí, Carretera De Viator S/N, 04120 La
Cañada (Almería), Spain

N. SANTANA

Unidad de Bioquímica y Biología Molecular de Plantas, Centro de
Investigación Científica de Yucatán, Calle 43 No. 130, Col. Chuburná de
Hidalgo, Mérida, Yucatán, México

C. SANTI

Laboratoire Rhizogenèse Symbiotique, UMR DIA_PC, Institut de Recherche
pour le Développement (IRD), 911 Avenue Agropolis, BP 64501, 34394
Montpellier Cedex 5, France

S. SRIPAORAYA

Faculty of Agriculture Nakhonsithammarat, Rajamangala University of
Technology Srivijaya, Tungyai, Nakhonsithammarat 80240, Thailand

S. SVISTOONOFF

Laboratoire Rhizogène Symbiotique, UMR DIA_PC, Institut de Recherche pour le Développement (IRD), 911 Avenue Agropolis, BP 64501, 34394 Montpellier Cedex 5, France

C. TEULIERES

Pôle de Biotechnologie Végétale, 24, Chemin de Borde Rouge, Auzeville, 31326 Castanet Tolosan, France, e-mail: teulieres@scsv.ups-tlse.fr

C. WALTER

Cellwall Biotechnology Center, SCION – Next Generation Biomaterials, Te Papa Tipu Innovation Park, 49 Sala Street, Private Bag 3020, Rotorua, New Zealand, e-mail: christian.walter@scionresearch.com

L.X. WENG

Institute of Molecular and Cell Biology, 61 Biopolis Drive, Singapore 138673

S.D. YEH

Department of Plant Pathology, National Chung Hsing University, Taichung, Taiwan, e-mail: sdyeh@nchu.edu.tw

T.A. YU

Department of Biotechnology, Da-Yeh University, Changhua, Taiwan

L.H. ZHANG

Institute of Molecular and Cell Biology, 61 Biopolis Drive, Singapore 138673, e-mail: lianhui@imcb.a-star.edu.sg

Section I Fruits

I.1 Banana

E.C. PUA¹

1 Introduction

Bananas (*Musa* spp.), belonging to the family Musaceae, are the perennial monocotyledons commonly grown in the tropics situated at latitude 20° above and below the equator, where there is a wide seasonal variation in rainfall and temperature. According to the FAO in 2004, 98% of world banana production was derived from developing countries, amongst which India, Brazil, China and Ecuador accounted for 50% of the total production (<http://faostat.fao.org/default.aspx>). It has been estimated that world production of bananas in 2004 was 70.6 million tons and the export value amounted to more than US \$4 billion annually. In international trade, bananas account for ~22% of world fresh fruit production and are ranked as the second most important fruit crop after citrus. Bananas can also be socio-politically important as they are the staple food of millions of people in the developing world.

Bananas comprise both wild and cultivated genotypes with considerable genetic diversity and a wide range of morphological characteristics. In general, bananas can be classified into four genome groups – A, B, S and T – represented by *M. acuminata* ($2n = 2x = 22$), *M. balbisiana* ($2n = 2x = 22$), *M. schizocarpa* ($2n = 2x = 22$) and *Australimusa* ($2n = 2x = 20$), respectively. The haploid genome size of bananas is relatively small at 500–600 Mbp (Lysák et al. 1999). Analysis of gene content and density in the genome of *M. acuminata* reveals that it is similar to the Graminae, as genes are usually clustered in the gene-rich regions, separated by the gene-poor domains that are rich in transposons (Aert et al. 2004).

Most commercial bananas are triploids ($2n = 3x = 33$), with the genome of AAA, AAB and ABB originating from polyploidization and interspecific hybridization of the two diploid species *M. acuminata* and *M. balbisiana*. However, not all triploids are important commercially. Most cultivars involved in international trade belong to the Cavendish sub-group (*Musa* AAA), although they account for only 12% of total banana production (Robinson 1995).

¹ School of Arts and Sciences, Monash University Malaysia, No. 2, Jalan Universiti, Bandar Sunway, 46150 Petaling Jaya, Selangor, Malaysia, e-mail: ecpua@monash.edu.my

2 Banana Breeding and Molecular Markers

Major efforts in different countries, including Australia, India, Brazil, Honduras and France, have been devoted to improving banana performance through breeding programs. The FAO/IAEA have supported a mutation-assisted breeding program for banana since 1994 (Jain 2005). Breeders aim to generate new banana hybrids with improved resistance to *Fusarium* wilt and Sigatoka disease, increased tolerance to nematodes and physical stress of the fruit, dwarfism, increased fruit yield and improved fruit flavour and morphology (Ortiz et al. 1995). In general, the progress of banana breeding has been relatively slow. This is due mainly to the narrow genetic variability resulting from the limited number of landraces selected from the natural germplasm, and low female fertility. Mutation treatment has been employed by subjecting cultured tissues to gamma irradiation to increase genetic variability and maintain fertility. This approach has resulted in the production of aluminium-tolerant (Matsumoto and Yamaguchi 1990) and early-flowering (Novak et al. 1990) variants. However, there has been limited success in producing novel banana variants with commercial importance by induced mutation.

As part of the breeding programs, banana research has focused on genome structure analysis and the development of biochemical and molecular markers. It has been reported that genomic in situ hybridization can be used to differentiate the chromosomes of four genome groups and interspecific cultivars of banana (D'Hont et al. 2000), while the distribution of repeated sequences in the genome can be determined by fluorescence in situ hybridization (D'Hont 2005). These techniques are useful for the development of DNA markers, as reviewed by Jarret and Gawel (1995) and Kaemmer et al. (1997). Several DNA markers for bananas have been reported. These include restriction fragment length polymorphisms (RFLP) (Gawel and Jarret 1991; Jarret et al. 1992; Ge et al. 2005), variable number of tandem repeats (VNTR) polymorphisms (Kaemmer et al. 1992; Bhat et al. 1995), microsatellite DNA (Creste et al. 2003; Buhariwalla et al. 2005), random amplified polymorphic DNA (RAPD) (Onguso et al. 2004; Martin et al. 2006; Ray et al. 2006), amplified fragment length polymorphisms (AFLP) (Wong et al. 2001; Ude et al. 2002) and inter-simple sequence repeats (Ray et al. 2006). In general, hybridization-based techniques, e.g. RFLP, are straightforward but relatively time-consuming, especially when dealing with a large number of genotypes. In order to overcome this drawback, sequence-tagged microsatellite site (STMS) markers based on VNTR polymorphism of microsatellites, which are simple sequence repeats, have been developed. This technique is based on the polymerase chain reaction (PCR) and non-radioactive methods (Kaemmer et al. 1997). Using the mapping approach to compare the efficiency of different techniques, STMS markers have been shown to be more efficient than isozyme, RFLP and RAPD markers. Mansoor et al. (2005) reported that PCR-based methods can be used to detect banana bunchy top virus (BBTV) in banana, which will be useful for screening micropropagated banana plants for BBTV. On the other hand, a modified version of AFLP,

selective amplification of microsatellite polymorphic loci, has been adopted for fingerprinting somaclonal variants in banana (Giménez et al. 2005). Because of the diverse genetic background of the banana genome, it has been suggested that characterization of somaclonal variants may require more than one molecular marker (Asif and Othman 2005). Nevertheless, it is anticipated that these molecular markers may serve as important tools for analysis of genetic makeup, detection of desirable traits, somaclonal variants and germplasm preservation in breeding programs.

3 Identification of Banana Genes

The availability of genes responsible for useful traits is important for the elucidation of mechanisms that regulate plant growth and development, plant responses to biotic and abiotic stress at the molecular level, and for banana improvement using genetic engineering. During recent years, several attempts have been made to clone and to characterize genes from banana (Clendennen and May 1997; Medina-Suárez R et al. 1997; Drury et al. 1999; Gupta et al. 2006; Manrique-Trujillo et al. 2006). To isolate genes expressed in response to temperature stress, Santos and co-workers (2005) constructed two cDNA libraries from leaves of *M. accuminata* ssp. *burmannicoides* subject to low (5–25 °C) and high (25–45 °C) temperatures. Analysis of expressed sequence tags (ESTs) from the two libraries revealed that 10% of ESTs were commonly present in both tissues, while 42 and 48% were present specifically in tissue under low and high temperature stress, respectively (Santos et al. 2005). However, the identity of these genes and their functions are not known. In addition, several genes have been isolated from banana fruit and their identity has been determined putatively by sequence homology with published gene sequences deposited in the Genbank database. In this chapter, these genes are classified as ripening related, pathogenesis and stress related, and unknown function.

3.1 Fruit Ripening

Bananas are climacteric fruits, which are characterized by low rates of respiration and ethylene production at the pre-climacteric stage during ripening, followed by a sudden upsurge at the climacteric and a sharp decline at the post-climacteric stage. This pattern of ethylene production during ripening has been attributed to autocatalytic production and inhibition (Yang and Hoffman 1984). Ripening is a complex process, which involves drastic changes in various biochemical events in the fruit. These include softening, loss of chlorophyll and increased yellowing of the peel, increased conversion of starch to sugars, and alteration of flavour and aroma. A large volume of evidence shows that ethylene plays a pivotal regulatory role in ripening (Lelièvre et al. 1997; Giovannoni 2001).

Bananas are highly perishable, with a short shelf life after harvest. Several common practices have been employed in attempts to prolong the shelf life of the fruit. These include refrigeration and controlled atmosphere storage, the use of ethylene absorbant, and surface coating and moist sawdust treatments (Abdullah et al. 1990), but the practices are either too costly or ineffective. It is important to understand the regulatory mechanism of ripening in order to manipulate and control the process. The current knowledge regarding the molecular biology of ripening has been derived primarily from work with tomato (Alexander and Grierson 2002). In recent years, major efforts have been devoted to elucidating the underlying mechanism of ripening at the molecular level (Giovannoni 2001). Genes associated with ripening have been isolated and characterized from several plant species, including peach (Trainotti et al. 2003), pear (Fonseca et al. 2004), melon (Hadfield et al. 2000), strawberry (Nam et al. 1999), citrus (Moriguchi et al. 1998), kiwifruit (Ledge and Gardner 1994) and tomato (Fei et al. 2004). In banana, several ripening-related genes have also been identified by differential expression of cDNA libraries in ripening fruits (Hill and ap Rees 1995; Clendennen and May 1997; Medina-Suárez et al. 1997; Drury et al. 1999; Manrique-Trujillo et al. 2007).

In our laboratory, we isolated 80 ESTs from a cDNA library constructed from the ripening fruit of *M. acuminata* cv. Williams (Liu 2000). Sequence analysis revealed a high homology of 30 ESTs with the known genes in the Genbank database. The distribution of these ESTs and their putative functions include four for β -1,3-glucanase, three each for pectate lyase and metallothionein (MT)-like protein (MLP) 2, and one each for UDPglucose pyrophosphorylase (UGPase), MLP 1, MLP 3, actin, isoflavone reductase, 1-aminocyclopropane-1-carboxylase (ACC) oxidase, *O*-methyltransferase, *S*-adenosylmethionine (SAM) synthetase, cytochrome P450 monooxygenase, 3-hydroxy-isobutryl-1-coenzyme A hydrolase, malate synthase, glucosidase, vesicle transport protein, ferripyochelin-binding protein, ring-H₂-binding protein, zinc finger protein, blue copper protein, putative cytidine 5'-triphosphate synthase, NAD-dependent isocitrate dehydrogenase and polyadenylate-binding protein 2 (Liu 2000). These enzymes/proteins are associated mainly with ethylene biosynthesis, carbohydrate metabolism, cell wall degradation, pathogenesis, senescence and stress. Some of these genes were further characterized and their roles were elucidated in banana by expression in fruit at different stages of ripening (see below).

3.1.1 Genes Associated with Ethylene Biosynthesis

Ethylene is a gaseous hormone that has been shown to play an important regulatory role in a wide range of plant physiological and developmental processes, including fruit ripening. The key enzymes involved in ethylene biosynthesis are SAM synthetase, ACC synthase and ACC oxidase. While SAM synthase catalyzes the conversion of methionine to SAM, the other two enzymes are re-

sponsible for the conversion of SAM to ACC and ACC to ethylene, respectively (Yang and Hoffman 1984).

Genes encoding ACC synthase and oxidase have been cloned in banana and a range of other plant species. Expression of these genes has also been characterized in banana fruit during ripening (López-Gómez et al. 1997; Liu et al. 1999; Pathak et al. 2003). Both enzymes are encoded by multigene families, and ACC synthase has been shown to be encoded by at least nine genes (Huang et al. 2006). In banana cv. Grand Naine, the level of ACC synthase transcripts was low or undetectable in flesh tissue of pre-climacteric fruit, but it surged transiently in climacteric fruit, followed by a rapid decline in post-climacteric fruit (Liu et al. 1999). This was similar to the pattern of endogenous ACC accumulation, ACC oxidase activity and ethylene production in fruit during ripening. However, the pattern of ACC oxidase transcript accumulation differed, as the transcript level increased markedly when fruit began to ripen and it remained high at post-climacteric stages. This differential pattern of accumulation between transcripts and enzyme activity was thought to be due partly to lower concentrations of cofactors such as ascorbate and iron (Liu et al. 1999). These findings are generally in line with the results of our study, in which both ACC synthase and oxidase genes were expressed temporally in peel and pulp of fruit of cv. Williams at different stages of ripening (Liu 2000). However, differences were also observed. We detected abundant ACC synthase transcripts and increased ACC accumulation in peel of climacteric and post-climacteric fruits. These fruits also showed high levels of the ACC oxidase activity, indicating that ACC oxidase did not play a major role in autocatalytic inhibition of ethylene during ripening.

3.1.2 Genes Associated with Carbohydrate Metabolism

Unripe banana fruit usually possesses large fractions of starch that constitute 20–25% of the pulp fresh weight. As ripening progresses, starch is rapidly converted to sugars, during which various genes are activated and their translation products are responsible for starch degradation and sugar synthesis. Although the physiological aspect of ripening in relation to carbohydrate metabolism is relatively well understood, knowledge regarding its molecular mechanism is virtually lacking.

To date, only a few ripening-related enzymes such as acid phosphatase (Turner and Plaxton 2001) and starch phosphorylase (Mota et al. 2002) and genes related to carbohydrate metabolism have been characterized in banana. One partial gene sequence for isoamylase-type starch-debranching enzyme (SDE) from banana fruit has been reported recently (Bierhals et al. 2004). SDE is involved in starch degradation by hydrolyzing α -1,6-branches of amylopectin that, together with amylose, forms the starch. Interestingly, results showed little change in the levels of SDE transcript and protein during ripening. This has prompted the suggestion that pre-existing enzyme might be responsible

for starch hydrolysis. A gene for another starch-degrading enzyme β -amylase that catalyzes depolymerization of the α -glucan chains has also been cloned (Nascimento et al. 2006). The levels of expression and enzyme activity of β -amylase in banana fruit increased with stage of ripening and, moreover, could be upregulated by exogenous application of ethylene. It was observed that the level of starch degradation was highly correlated with an increase in the β -amylase activity. Similar upregulation in transcript accumulation and enzyme activity during ripening has also been reported in α -1,4-glucan-phosphorylase (Mainardi et al. 2006), an enzyme that is involved in both starch synthesis and degradation.

In sucrose synthesis, we have characterized a banana gene MWUGPA encoding UGPase (Pua et al. 2000), which is a two-way enzyme that catalyzes a reversible, Mg^{2+} -dependent reaction between glucose 1-phosphate and UDP-glucose. MWUGPA was shown to encode a single polypeptide of 467 amino acid residues. Southern analysis indicated the presence of more than one UGPase member in the banana genome. In RNA gel blot analysis, UGPase transcripts were detectable in both vegetative and reproductive organs, but those in vegetative organs were the least abundant. Furthermore, transcripts in pulp were considerably more than those in peel, although there was little change in transcript abundance in the respective fruit tissue during ripening, except in fruit at the climacteric stage. We also demonstrated that UGPase transcripts in fruit could be upregulated by exogenous application of ethylene, at 1 ppm, and sugars, especially sucrose and fructose (Pua et al. 2000). These results suggest the possible regulatory role of ethylene and sugars in UGPase expression in ripening fruit. Apart from UGPase, sucrose phosphate synthase (SPS) was also upregulated in ripening fruit (Nascimento et al. 1997). This enzyme catalyzes the conversion of UDP-glucose and fructose 6-phosphate to sucrose 6-phosphate, which can be further converted to sucrose by sucrose 6-phosphate phosphatase. Sucrose can also be synthesized from UDP-glucose and fructose catalyzed by sucrose synthase. It has been reported that over-expression of the SPS gene resulted in higher SPS and sucrose synthase activities and increased sucrose unloading in tomato fruit (Nguyen-Quoc et al. 1999).

3.1.3 Genes Associated with Cell Wall Proteins and Their Degradation

Expansins are the cellular proteins that are associated with cell wall loosening during fruit ripening. Wang et al. (2006) observed upregulated expression of expansin genes (*MaExp1* and *MaExp2*) in propylene pre-treated banana fruit, which was more tolerant to chilling injury during low temperature (7 °C) storage. It was suggested that chilling injury tolerance might be associated with increased ethylene production and expansin expression.

One common feature of ripening is fruit softening, which results from the increased activities of cell wall degrading enzymes (Brummell and Harpster

2001), including polygalacturonase (PG), pectin methylesterase and pectate lyase which are responsible for pectin pectin depolymerization. In fruit pulp, the cell wall constitutes ~35% pectic polysaccharides. The role of these pectinases in fruit softening has been demonstrated in transgenic studies, where downregulation of PG (Smith et al. 1990), pectin methylesterase (Tiemann et al. 1992) or pectate lyase (Jiménez-Bermúdez et al. 2002) in transgenic fruits resulted in a decrease in pectin depolymerization and cell wall solubilization, increase in solid substances and/or production of firmer fruits.

In banana, PG is the best characterized pectinase, which is encoded by a small gene family. Asif and Nath (2005) reported the isolation of four partial PG cDNAs from the ripe fruit pulp and these cDNAs were shown to express differentially; two were ripening related, while one was associated with senescence and the other expressed constitutively. Genes encoding pectate lyase have also been cloned and characterized in banana (Domínguez-Puigjaner et al. 1997; Pua et al. 2001; Marín-Rodríguez et al. 2003). We showed that there were two members (MWPL1 and MWPL2) of the pectate lyase gene in the banana genome. Expression of MWPLs differed both spatially and temporally during ripening. Whilst MWPL1 transcript was not detected in the vegetative and reproductive organs tested, MWPL2 was expressed predominantly in female flowers and ripening fruit peel and pulp (Pua et al. 2001). During ripening, both MWPL transcripts were not detected in pre-climacteric fruit, but they began to accumulate as ripening progressed and their levels remained high thereafter in overripe fruits, with a much greater MWPL2 than MWPL1 transcript. Expression of both members was also inducible by ethylene but dosage-dependent, with the highest expression in pre-climacteric fruit treated for 48 h with 50 ppm ethylene (Pua et al. 2000). In addition to ethylene, other growth regulators, such as abscisic acid, gibberellic acid and auxin, play a regulatory role in PG and pectate lyase in banana fruit (Lohani et al. 2004; Payasi et al. 2004), but the mechanism is not clear. In addition to PG and pectate lyases, ripening in banana has been associated with transcript accumulation of β -mannanase (Zhuang et al. 2006), which is a cell wall hydrolase responsible for the cleavage of mannan polymers.

3.1.4 Genes Associated With Fruit Flavour

During ripening, tissues develop a unique flavour that results, at least in part, from increased synthesis of organic acids (Grierson and Kader 1986). One banana gene cloned recently in our laboratory is malate synthase (MS) (Pua et al. 2003), which is a key enzyme responsible for the synthesis of malic acid. Both malic acid and citric acid are the predominant organic acids in banana fruit (John and Marchal 1995). MS is encoded by a small gene family in banana. The gene was shown to express specifically in fruit tissues, where abundant transcripts accumulated in post-climacteric fruits, especially in peel, but the level was not detected in pre-climacteric fruits (Pua et al. 2003). MS expression