

Progress in IS

Nguyen Hoang Thuan

# Business Process Crowdsourcing

Concept, Ontology and Decision Support

 Springer

# **Progress in IS**

More information about this series at <http://www.springer.com/series/10440>

Nguyen Hoang Thuan

# Business Process Crowdsourcing

Concept, Ontology and Decision Support

 Springer

Nguyen Hoang Thuan  
Faculty of Information Technology  
Can Tho University of Technology  
Can Tho City  
Vietnam

This book is based on a doctoral thesis successfully defended at the Victoria University of Wellington.

ISSN 2196-8705

ISSN 2196-8713 (electronic)

Progress in IS

ISBN 978-3-319-91390-2

ISBN 978-3-319-91391-9 (eBook)

<https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-91391-9>

Library of Congress Control Number: 2018940638

© Springer International Publishing AG, part of Springer Nature 2019

This work is subject to copyright. All rights are reserved by the Publisher, whether the whole or part of the material is concerned, specifically the rights of translation, reprinting, reuse of illustrations, recitation, broadcasting, reproduction on microfilms or in any other physical way, and transmission or information storage and retrieval, electronic adaptation, computer software, or by similar or dissimilar methodology now known or hereafter developed.

The use of general descriptive names, registered names, trademarks, service marks, etc. in this publication does not imply, even in the absence of a specific statement, that such names are exempt from the relevant protective laws and regulations and therefore free for general use.

The publisher, the authors and the editors are safe to assume that the advice and information in this book are believed to be true and accurate at the date of publication. Neither the publisher nor the authors or the editors give a warranty, express or implied, with respect to the material contained herein or for any errors or omissions that may have been made. The publisher remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Printed on acid-free paper

This Springer imprint is published by the registered company Springer International Publishing AG part of Springer Nature

The registered company address is: Gewerbestrasse 11, 6330 Cham, Switzerland

# Foreword

The idea of using technology to develop collective intelligence has for long been explored by science fiction. A wonderful example is the Lensman series written by the great science fiction pioneer E. E. “doc” Smith between 1934 and 1954 (Smith 1948). Lensman were committed the almost impossible mission to save the universe from being subjugated by the Eddorians. To help them realize that mission, the Arisians gave them a tool: The Lens. Lenses expand the mental skills of their wearers with capabilities such as communicating across species, mind reading, telepathy and augmented thinking. Many movies have also explored this idea. For instance, the Jedi in Star Wars have the Force, which binds them together through a common, persistent conscience and a communication medium. Avatar showed us Eywa, a biosphere that supports a planet-scale network of living entities, which functions as our brain’s neural network on a grander scale (Baxter 2012).

More down to earth but not less exciting, the pursuit of collective intelligence has also taken a great place in science and technology. Vannevar Bush, in the influential “As We May Think” essay published in 1945, proposed the Memex, a machine capable to expand the human mind by preserving personal records and communications (Bush 1945). At the time, it was impossible to implement the Memex (which required using miniature cameras and microfilm), but it inspired others like Doug Engelbart and Ted Nelson. Doug Engelbart developed several first-of-a-kind pieces of technology like the first computer mouse, the first working hypertext system and the first collaborative system (Engelbart and English 1968). Ted Nelson’s Xanadu was so conceptually advanced that it has not yet materialized (Nelson 1982). Xanadu was supposed to manage an information Web using bidirectional links, which provide more powerful searches than we can do today using Web browsers (Knowlton 2015). Nevertheless, these ideas inspired the development of the Internet, World Wide Web and many other admirable projects like Wikipedia and GitHub, which bring together and promote our collective intelligence (Berners-Lee et al. 2010; Smith and Weiss 1988).

Technological advances in human–computer interaction have also encouraged the pursuit of collective intelligence. Worth mentioning is the idea that technology has value beyond mechanization and automation. The computer not only does

things for us. It can be an amplification of us, as suggested by concepts such as embodied interaction (Dourish 2001), joint cognition (Hollnagel and Woods 2005) and bricolage (Cabitza and Simone 2015).

Our organizations have been evolving to explore and exploit technological innovations. Electricity, fridges and elevators contributed immensely to aggregate people in specialized, complex and interdependent urban structures. Then cars, phones, computers and many other technologies contributed further to intensification, automation, decentralization and collaboration (Stott 1992). Nowadays, organizations are absorbing the impacts of constant interconnectivity, powerful mobile technology and embedded systems. Organizations are becoming more virtual, ubiquitous, agile, information rich and of course more complex (Alberts 2011).

Crowdsourcing emerges as another conceptually simple but disruptive technology capable to change significantly the structure and behaviour of our organizations through collective intelligence. Initially, it may have been regarded as another way to execute one-off projects, to solve simple problems using brute force, or maybe another way to outsource certain functions at low cost. But that is just the beginning of the story. The fully integrated, continuous and dynamic use of crowdsourcing may turn organizations less structural, bounded and predictable. Furthermore, crowdsourcing creates the opportunity to jump-start new activities, to bring in a continuous flow of ideas, expertise and knowledge, and to change strategic directions without much attrition. And all independently of time, space and size, crowdsourcing may confer elasticity, malleability, agility, scale, and resilience.

Right now, we are just seeing the initial steps towards integrating crowdsourcing into organizations. Much research and development are still necessary to fully understand the value and the potential uses and consequences. This book by Nguyen Hoang Thuan is a great step in that direction. It brings together a large body of knowledge on the subject, adding to it an integrated business perspective, which provides a solid foundation for understanding where crowdsourcing is today, how it can move forward within our organizations, and how it contributes to that great idea of collective intelligence. We do not yet have the Lens, and neither Eywa, but maybe one day someone may be able to say that crowdsourcing gave a small push in that direction.

Wellington, New Zealand

Assoc. Prof. Pedro Antunes

## References

- Alberts, D. (2011). *The agility advantage*. CCRP.  
 Baxter, S. (2012). *The science of Avatar*. Orbit.  
 Berners-Lee, T., Cailliau, R., Groff, J., & Pollermann, B. (2010). World-wide web: The information universe. *Internet Research*, 20(4), 461–471.  
 Bush, V. (1945). As we may think. *The Atlantic Monthly*.

- Cabitza, F., & Simone, C. (2015). Building socially embedded technologies: Implications about design. In *Designing socially embedded technologies in the real-world* (pp. 217–270). London: Springer.
- Dourish, P. (2001). *Where the action is*. Cambridge, MS: The MIT Press.
- Engelbart, D., & English, W. (1968). A research center for augmenting human intellect. In *Proceedings of the December 9–11, 1968, Fall Joint Computer Conference, Part I* (pp. 395–410). ACM.
- Hollnagel, E., & Woods, D. (2005). *Joint cognitive systems: Foundations of cognitive systems engineering*. Boca Raton, FL: CRC Press.
- Knowlton, K. (2015). Ted Nelson’s Xanadu. In *Intertwined* (pp. 25–28). Springer.
- Nelson, T. (1982). *Literary machines*. Mindful Press.
- Smith, E. (1948). *Triplanetary*. Fantasy Press.
- Smith, J., & Weiss, S. (1988). Hypertext. *Communications of the ACM*, 31(7), 816–819.
- Stott, R. (1992). Urban electrification. *Reviews in American History*, 20(2), 211–215.

# Acknowledgements

My first acknowledgement is to Assoc. Prof. Pedro Antunes and Dr. David Johnstone. You not only showed me how to structure, sharpen and publish research results, but also moved forward my thinking on business process crowdsourcing. Your invaluable support, encouragement, ideas and collaboration contribute to make it possible for me to complete this book.

I would like to thank my family for their support and understanding. Thanks to my parents and my mother-in-law. Thanks to my wife, Xuan Trang, for love, support, and motivation. Thanks, too, to my son, Hoang Bach, providing me with the strength and fun to complete this book.

A special thanks to the University of Wellington (VUW) academics, staff and administrative team. You provided all necessary support for my research in the field of crowdsourcing, and this resulting book. My sincere thanks to the New Zealand ASEAN Scholarship. I am honoured to be one of the scholarship holders. Thanks to the Can Tho University of Technology; you were there to assist me during my data collection. This book was finalized while the author has worked at the Can Tho University of Technology.

Finally, I am grateful to all individuals and organizations that were involved in the research presented in this book.

# Contents

<b>1 Introduction</b>	1
1.1 Research Context	1
1.2 Research Problem and Objectives	4
1.3 Research Approach	6
1.4 Research Significance	8
1.5 Structure of the Book	9
<b>2 Background</b>	11
2.1 The Concept of Crowdsourcing	12
2.1.1 Main Idea Behind Crowdsourcing	12
2.1.2 Related Concepts	14
2.1.3 Crowdsourcing Definition	16
2.2 Crowdsourcing Classifications, Taxonomies, and Typologies	20
2.2.1 Applications	20
2.2.2 Tasks	22
2.2.3 Members of the Crowd	23
2.2.4 Platforms	25
2.3 Current State of Business Process Crowdsourcing	27
2.3.1 Crowdsourcing Process	27
2.3.2 Business Process Crowdsourcing	31
2.3.3 An Analytical Framework of Business Process Crowdsourcing	34
2.3.4 Discussion	36
2.4 Chapter Summary	37
<b>3 Business Process Crowdsourcing: Building Blocks</b>	39
3.1 Scoping Knowledge Sources	39
3.1.1 Scoping Review Process	40
3.2 Findings	43

- 3.2.1 Building Blocks of BPC . . . . . 43
- 3.2.2 Factors Influencing the Decision to Crowdfund . . . . . 45
- 3.3 Summary and Discussion . . . . . 48
- 4 Business Process Crowdsourcing: Model and Case Study . . . . . 49**
  - 4.1 A Process Model for BPC Establishment . . . . . 49
  - 4.2 A Framework Supporting the Decision to Crowdfund . . . . . 53
  - 4.3 Case Studies . . . . . 59
    - 4.3.1 Overview of the Approach . . . . . 59
    - 4.3.2 Case Study Design . . . . . 59
    - 4.3.3 Case Study Results . . . . . 62
  - 4.4 Summary and Discussion . . . . . 68
- 5 Ontology of Business Process Crowdsourcing . . . . . 69**
  - 5.1 Ontology Building Process . . . . . 69
  - 5.2 An Ontology of BPC . . . . . 72
    - 5.2.1 Salient Concepts . . . . . 72
    - 5.2.2 Hierarchy Relationships . . . . . 72
    - 5.2.3 Decision Making Relationships and Business Rules . . . . . 76
  - 5.3 BPC Ontology Evaluation: Triangulation . . . . . 78
  - 5.4 Summary and Discussion . . . . . 81
- 6 Business Process Crowdsourcing: Decision Support Tool . . . . . 83**
  - 6.1 Architecture and Decision Tables . . . . . 85
  - 6.2 Tool Development . . . . . 89
    - 6.2.1 The First Prototype . . . . . 89
    - 6.2.2 Pilot Experiment . . . . . 91
    - 6.2.3 Feedback for Revision and the Second Prototype . . . . . 93
  - 6.3 Experiment . . . . . 97
    - 6.3.1 Experimental Overview . . . . . 97
    - 6.3.2 Experimental Design . . . . . 98
    - 6.3.3 Experimental Results . . . . . 100
  - 6.4 Focus Group . . . . . 105
    - 6.4.1 Overview of the Approach . . . . . 105
    - 6.4.2 Focus Group Design . . . . . 105
    - 6.4.3 Focus Group Results . . . . . 109
  - 6.5 Summary and Discussion . . . . . 113
- 7 Discussion and Conclusion . . . . . 115**
  - 7.1 Interrelated Results . . . . . 115
    - 7.1.1 Addressing the Research Objectives . . . . . 117
  - 7.2 Research Contributions . . . . . 119
    - 7.2.1 A New Approach for Establishing Crowdsourcing as an Organisational Business Process . . . . . 119
    - 7.2.2 The Importance of the Ontology . . . . . 120

- 7.2.3 Empirical Findings . . . . . 122
- 7.2.4 Progression of Business Process Crowdsourcing . . . . . 124
- 7.3 Contributions to Practice . . . . . 125
- 7.4 Limitations of the Research . . . . . 126
- 7.5 Conclusion and Future Work . . . . . 127
- References** . . . . . 131

# Abbreviations

AMT	Amazon mechanical turk
API	Application programming interface
BPC	Business process crowdsourcing
BPM	Business process management
BPMN	Business process model and notation
BPO	Business process outsourcing
CT	Crowd tagging
CTUT	Can Tho University of Technology
DSS	Decision support system
DTN	Design theory nexus
GUI	Graphical user interface
IM	Industrial management
IP	Intellectual property
IS	Information systems
IT	Information technology
LDC	Logo design contest
R&D	Research and development
SDLC	System development life cycle
VUW	Victoria University of Wellington

# List of Figures

Fig. 1.1	The flag consideration project statistics. . . . .	2
Fig. 1.2	The research process. . . . .	7
Fig. 2.1	Layered framework for the literature review. . . . .	12
Fig. 2.2	Evolution of crowdsourcing definitions. . . . .	18
Fig. 2.3	Three-stage analytical framework . . . . .	35
Fig. 3.1	Steps and summary of results of the scoping knowledge sources . . . . .	40
Fig. 3.2	Reviewed sources per year . . . . .	44
Fig. 4.1	A process model for BPC establishment. . . . .	51
Fig. 4.2	A framework that supports the decision to crowdsource. . . . .	54
Fig. 4.3	Activities of crowd tagging (CT) . . . . .	62
Fig. 4.4	Activities of logo design contest (LDC) . . . . .	62
Fig. 5.1	Ontology capture schema (adapted from Rockwell et al., 2010) . . . . .	70
Fig. 5.2	A lightweight ontology of BPC . . . . .	75
Fig. 5.3	Positive and negative influence relationships . . . . .	77
Fig. 5.4	Ontological version generated by OntoGen (OntoGen was developed by Fortuna et al., 2007) . . . . .	80
Fig. 6.1	Tool architecture (adapted from Holsapple, 2008) . . . . .	86
Fig. 6.2	Tool 1: The decision to crowdsource . . . . .	90
Fig. 6.3	Tool 2: Process design of BPC establishment. . . . .	91
Fig. 6.4	Pilot experiment: score frequency in the two exercises. . . . .	92
Fig. 6.5	Second prototyping: decision to crowdsource (Tool 1). . . . .	95
Fig. 6.6	Second prototype: process design (Tool 2) . . . . .	95
Fig. 6.7	Focus group procedure (adapted from Tremblay et al., 2010). . . . .	106
Fig. 7.1	Interrelated knowledge in structuring BPC . . . . .	116
Fig. 7.2	Interrelated yet different levels of abstraction: outcome artefacts . . . . .	117
Fig. 7.3	Progression of business process crowdsourcing . . . . .	125

# List of Tables

Table 2.1	Main differences between crowdsourcing and related concepts . . . . .	17
Table 2.2	Typology of crowdsourcing applications (Zhao & Zhu, 2014). . . . .	22
Table 2.3	Examples of crowdsourcing task types (adapted from Schenk and Guittard (2011)). . . . .	23
Table 2.4	Crowdsourcing platform types . . . . .	26
Table 3.1	Crowdsourcing sources on the eight bibliographic databases . . . . .	41
Table 3.2	Main building blocks of BPC . . . . .	45
Table 3.3	Factors that influence the decision to crowdsource. . . . .	47
Table 4.1	Demographic information about the two crowdsourcing cases . . . . .	61
Table 5.1	Salient concepts of BPC. . . . .	73
Table 5.2	Association relationships in BPC domain. . . . .	77
Table 5.3	Some examples of business rules. . . . .	78
Table 6.1	Decision table: task properties . . . . .	87
Table 6.2	Decision table: people . . . . .	87
Table 6.3	Decision table: management. . . . .	88
Table 6.4	Design of pilot experiment. . . . .	92
Table 6.5	Descriptive data and p-value: Exercise 1 . . . . .	93
Table 6.6	Descriptive data and p-value: Exercise 2 . . . . .	93
Table 6.7	Experimental design: swapping roles between the two groups. . . . .	98
Table 6.8	Numbers of participants per session (chronological order) . . . . .	98
Table 6.9	Descriptive results of six experimental sessions . . . . .	101
Table 6.10	Comparison between groups using the tool and without the tool. . . . .	101
Table 6.11	Results of Mann-Whitney tests on exercise 1. . . . .	102
Table 6.12	Results of Mann-Whitney tests on exercise 2. . . . .	103

Table 6.13	Statistics of usefulness perceived by experimental participants . . . . .	103
Table 6.14	Validity of the experiments . . . . .	104
Table 6.15	Sample of focus group 1: Ph.D. students . . . . .	107
Table 6.16	Sample of focus group 2: crowdsourcing experts . . . . .	108
Table 6.17	Example of coding schema . . . . .	109
Table 6.18	Summary of focus group results . . . . .	112
Table 7.1	Summary of results that answer the research objectives . . . . .	118