Gary Pollock · Jessica Ozan Haridhan Goswami · Gwyther Rees Anita Stasulane *Editors*

Measuring Youth Wellbeing

How a Pan-European Longitudinal Survey Can Improve Policy



Children's Well-Being: Indicators and Research

Volume 19

Series Editor:

ASHER BEN-ARIEH

Paul Baerwald School of Social Work & Social Welfare, The Hebrew University of Jerusalem

Editorial Board:

J. LAWRENCE ABER

New York University, USA

JONATHAN BRADSHAW

University of York, U.K.

FERRAN CASAS

University of Girona, Spain

ICK-JOONG CHUNG

Duksung Women's University, Seoul,

Korea

HOWARD DUBOWITZ

University of Maryland Baltimore, USA

IVAR FRØNES

University of Oslo, Norway FRANK FURSTENBERG

University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia,

USA

ROBBIE GILLIGAN

Trinity College, Dublin, Ireland

ROBERT M. GOERGE

University of Chicago, USA

IAN GOUGH

University of Bath, U.K.

AN-MAGRITT JENSEN

Norwegian University of Science and

Technology, Trondheim, Norway

SHEILA B. KAMERMAN

Columbia University, New York, USA

JILL E. KORBIN

Case Western Reserve University,

Cleveland, USA

DAGMAR KUTSAR

University of Tartu, Estonia

KENNETH C. LAND

Duke University, Durham, USA

BONG JOO LEE

Seoul National University, Seoul, Korea

JAN MASON

University of Western Sydney, Australia

KRISTIN A. MOORE

Child Trends, Washington, USA

BERNHARD NAUCK

Chemnitz University of Technology,

Germany

USHA S. NAYAR

Tata Institute, Mumbai, India

WILLIAM O'HARE

Kids Counts project, Annie E. Casy

Foundation, Baltimore, USA

SHELLY PHIPPS

Dalhousie University, Halifax, Nova

Scotia, Canada

JACKIE SANDERS

Massey University, Palmerston North,

New Zealand

GIOVANNI SGRITTA

University of Rome, Italy

THOMAS S. WEISNER

University of California, Los Angeles, USA

HELMUT WINTERSBERGER

University of Vienna, Austria

This series focuses on the subject of measurements and indicators of children's well being and their usage, within multiple domains and in diverse cultures. More specifically, the series seeks to present measures and data resources, analysis of data, exploration of theoretical issues, and information about the status of children, as well as the implementation of this information in policy and practice. By doing so it aims to explore how child indicators can be used to improve the development and the well being of children.

With an international perspective the series will provide a unique applied perspective, by bringing in a variety of analytical models, varied perspectives, and a variety of social policy regimes.

Children's Well-Being: Indicators and Research will be unique and exclusive in the field of measures and indicators of children's lives and will be a source of high quality, policy impact and rigorous scientific papers.

More information about this series at http://www.springer.com/series/8162

Gary Pollock • Jessica Ozan Haridhan Goswami • Gwyther Rees Anita Stasulane Editors

Measuring Youth Well-being

How a Pan-European Longitudinal Survey Can Improve Policy



Editors
Gary Pollock
Department of Sociology
Manchester Metropolitan University
Manchester, Lancashire, UK

Haridhan Goswami Department of Sociology Manchester Metropolitan University Manchester, Lancashire, UK

Anita Stasulane Daugavpils University Daugavpils, Latvia Jessica Ozan
Department of Sociology
Manchester Metropolitan University
Manchester, Lancashire, UK

Gwyther Rees Social Policy Research Unit University of York York, North Yorkshire, UK

ISSN 1879-5196 ISSN 1879-520X (electronic) Children's Well-Being: Indicators and Research ISBN 978-3-319-76062-9 ISBN 978-3-319-76063-6 (eBook) https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-76063-6

Library of Congress Control Number: 2018938098

© Springer International Publishing AG, part of Springer Nature 2018

This work is subject to copyright. All rights are reserved by the Publisher, whether the whole or part of the material is concerned, specifically the rights of translation, reprinting, reuse of illustrations, recitation, broadcasting, reproduction on microfilms or in any other physical way, and transmission or information storage and retrieval, electronic adaptation, computer software, or by similar or dissimilar methodology now known or hereafter developed.

The use of general descriptive names, registered names, trademarks, service marks, etc. in this publication does not imply, even in the absence of a specific statement, that such names are exempt from the relevant protective laws and regulations and therefore free for general use.

The publisher, the authors and the editors are safe to assume that the advice and information in this book are believed to be true and accurate at the date of publication. Neither the publisher nor the authors or the editors give a warranty, express or implied, with respect to the material contained herein or for any errors or omissions that may have been made. The publisher remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Printed on acid-free paper

This Springer imprint is published by the registered company Springer International Publishing AG part of Springer Nature.

The registered company address is: Gewerbestrasse 11, 6330 Cham, Switzerland

Acknowledgements

The research presented throughout this volume was conducted as part of the Measuring Youth Well-Being (MYWeB) project. Financial support for MYWeB was provided by the European Union Seventh Framework Programme (Grant Agreement No. 613368). The editors acknowledge the role of the Commission and thank the research programme officer for MYWeB, Monica Menapace, for her support over three years. The research findings, and their interpretation, included in this volume remain the responsibility of individual authors and the MYWeB consortium.

We express our thanks to the authors contributed to this volume for their continued commitment to the collective aspirations of the MYWeB project to develop a European cohort survey. As it turns out we will all be working together to further these aims with a new Horizon 2020 project scheduled to start in January 2018. We acknowledge also the dedicated contribution of many researchers, expert advisors and the project manager, Paula Sergeant, over the course of the project; the collection and analysis of the data underpinning the chapters in this volume would not have been possible without them.

Finally, we thank every one of the children and young people who participated in the various research activities. Without them the research would not have been possible.

Contents

1	and the MYWeB Project	1
2	Child and Youth Well-Being on the European Political Agenda Leonie Backeberg and Britta Busse	15
3	A Comparative Expert Survey on Measuring and Enhancing Children and Young People's Well-Being in Europe Jessica Ozan, Inta Mierina, and Ilze Koroleva	35
4	Similarity and Difference in Conceptions of Well-Being Among Children and Young People in Four Contrasting European Countries	55
5	Longitudinal Research on Children and Young People in Europe and Beyond Britta Busse and Leonie Backeberg	71
6	How to Develop Well-Being Survey Questions for Young Children: Lessons Learned from Cross-Cultural Cognitive Interviews Renata Franc, Ines Sučić, Toni Babarović, Andreja Brajša-Žganec, Ljiljana Kaliterna-Lipovčan, and Ivan Dević	91
7	Challenges in Conducting a New Longitudinal Study on Children and Young People Well-Being in the European Union	111

viii Contents

8	Methodological Challenges When Involving Children	
	and Young People in Survey Research on Well-Being	131
	Magda Nico, Nuno de Almeida Alves, Mariona Ferrer-Fons,	
	Pau Serracant, and Roger Soler-i-Martí	
9	Understanding the Potential Policy Impact of a European	
	Longitudinal Survey for Children and Young People	147
	Chris O'Leary and Chris Fox	
10	With a View Towards the Future: Working Towards	
	an Accelerated European Cohort Survey	163
	Gary Pollock, Jessica Ozan, Haridhan Goswami, and Chris Fox	

List of Abbreviations

ALSPAC Avon Longitudinal Study of Parents and Children

BHPS British Household Panel Survey

CAPI Computer Assisted Personal Interview
CATI Computer Assisted Telephone Interview
CAWI Computer Assisted Web Interview

CI Cognitive Interviews

CYP Children and Young People

DE Germany

DRM Day Reconstruction Method
DWP Department of Work and Pensions
ECHP European Community Household Panel

ELSCYP European Longitudinal Study of Children and Young People

ERIC Education Resources Information Centre

ES Spain

ESM Experience Sampling Method ESS European Social Survey

EU European Union

EU-SILC European Union Survey of Income and Living Conditions

EVS European Values Study GDP Gross Domestic Product

GGP Gender and Generations Programme
GSOEP German Socio Economic Panel

GUI Growing Up in Ireland GUS Growing Up in Scotland

HBSC Health Behaviour in School-aged Children

HR Croatia

HRQoL Health Related Quality of Life

HU Hungary

ICT Information and Communication Technology
ISCWeB International Survey of Children's Well-Being
ISER Institute for Social and Economic Research

x List of Abbreviations

ISSP International Social Survey Programme

LA Latvia

LABC Locational accelerated birth cohorts

LBC Local Birth Cohort

LGBT Lesbian, Gay, Bisexual, and Transgender

MYWeB Measuring Youth Well-Being NABC National accelerated birth cohorts

NBC National Birth Cohort

NEET Not in Education, Employment, or Training

NEPS National Educational Panel Study NGO Non-Governmental Organisations

OECD Organization for Economic Cooperation and Development

PISA Programme for International Student Assessment

PSID Panel Study of Income Dynamics

PPP Purchasing Power Parity
PWB Psychological Well-Being
SDT Self-Determination Theory
SES Socio-Economic Status

SHARE Survey of Health, Ageing and Retirement in Europe

SNS Social Network Sites

SROI Social Return On Investment SWB Subjective Well-Being

TRAPD Translation, Review, Adjudication, Pre-testing, and Documentation

UK United Kingdom UN United Nations

UNCRC UN Convention on the Rights of the Child

UNICEF United Nations Children's Fund

US United States

USD United States Dollars WHO World Health Organisation

List of Figures

Fig. 3.1	Data coverage and policy relevance for different	
	domains of well-being (means)	44
Fig. 3.2	Greatest need to improve evidence	45
Fig. 3.3	Feasibility of having standardised measures	
	for well-being domains	48
Fig. 3.4	Suitability of European standardised measures	
	for family and home indicators	49
Fig. 3.5	Feasibility, sustainability, and desirability	
	of an ELSCYP according to Delphi experts	50
Fig. 5.1	Share of different study designs in quantitative surveys	
	conducted in the EU (N; %)	80
Fig. 7.1	Key challenges associated with an International	
C	longitudinal survey of children and youth well-being	112
Fig. 7.2	Adapted from Hart's Ladder of Young People's	
	Participation (1992)	124
Fig. 9.1	Estimated per capita social spending by age group,	
C	2011 (USD PPP)	156
Fig. 9.2	Estimated social expenditure by age group, 2011	
C	(nearest 1,000,000,000 in USD PPP)	157
Fig. 9.3	Estimate of member state survey spend in year 1	
-	as share of total spend on child welfare services	159
Fig. 10.1	Survey design preference by respondents' background	172
Fig. 10.2	Two cohort accelerated design	176

List of Tables

Table 2.1	Institutions and organisations the MYWeB key	
	informant interviewees are engaged in	17
Table 2.2	Population by age group and distribution of poverty	
	and social exclusion across age groups in 2014	20
Table 2.3	National legislation on child protection, national	
	child strategies, monitoring performance, and direct	
	consultation with children across EU member states	21
Table 2.4	Independent youth law, national youth strategies,	
	and youth research across European member states	24
Table 3.1	Composition of the Delphi panel	40
Table 3.2	Key concepts associated with well-being	42
Table 3.3	Policy challenges that can be informed by longitudinal	
	surveys	46
Table 4.1	Fieldwork details and socio-demographic characteristics	58
Table 5.1	Number of data sets gathered including core	
	dimensions of child and youth well-being	81
Table 5.2	Overview over the coverage of well-being dimensions	
	in surveys and administrative data sets	83
Table 5.3	Total number of child and youth well-being surveys	
	including psychological dimensions	85
Table 6.1	Sample structure thought three rounds	
	of MYWeB CI study	94
Table 6.2	Main constructs (measurements aims) in each round	
	of cognitive interviews by number of questions/items	
	in interviewing rounds (R1, R2, R3)	95
Table 6.3	Summary of CI findings with regard to testing	
	the response scales	97

xiv List of Tables

Table 9.1	How data from the ELSCYP might be used	
	at different stages of the policy process	151
Table 9.2	Responses to question on the usefulness of an ELSCYP	
	on social policy (Delphi round 2, question 21)	155
Table 9.3	Estimated gross spend by member state on child	
	wellbeing, 2011 (USD PPP)	158
Table 10.1	Comparing cohort survey design options	168
Table 10.2	Desired survey coverage by respondents'	
	background	172

Note on Contributors

Editors

Gary Pollock is Professor and Head of Sociology at Manchester Metropolitan University. He has been involved in youth research for over twenty years, and is interested in using survey data to examine young people in society in terms of their well-being as well as their employment and family trajectories He was the Project Coordinator of the FP7 MYWEB project, which examined the feasibility of a longitudinal study of children and young people across the EU. He is co-editor (with Hilary Pilkington and Renata Franc) of *Understanding Youth Participation Across Europe: From Survey to Ethnography* (2017). He is also co-editor (with Hilary Pilkington) of *Radical Futures? Youth, Politics and Activism in Europe*, Sociological Review Monograph Series (2015).

Jessica Ozan is Research Associate in the Policy Evaluation and Research Unit at Manchester Metropolitan University. She has over 10 years' experience of evaluation projects in the fields of youth development and social policy. She was the lead researcher on the FP7 MYWeB (Measuring Youth Well-Being) and central to the Horizon 2020 InnoSi (Innovative Social Investment: Strengthening communities in Europe), where she used evaluation methods to assess policies and social needs. Jessica previously worked for the UNESCO Child and Family Research Centre on the process evaluation of a multifaceted project aimed at improving the health, safety, learning, and overall well-being of children in an area of Dublin experiencing high levels of deprivation. She holds a PhD in political science and sociology from the National University of Ireland, Galway, and an MA in social sciences from the Sorbonne (France).

Haridhan Goswami is a quantitative researcher with expertise in children's and young people's well-being. He has experience of working in the third sector for almost 6 years and in higher education for over 10 years. He is currently leading the MSc Applied Quantitative Methods in the Department of Sociology at Manchester

xvi Note on Contributors

Metropolitan University, which is supported by the Nuffield/ESRC/HEFCE Q-Step project. He has provided expertise in quantitative methods, research with children and young people, and subjective well-being to two European Commission funded projects: MYPLACE (Memory, Youth, Political Legacy, and Civic Engagement) and MYWEB (Measuring Youth Well-Being). He publishes regularly in international journals and acts as a reviewer for academic journals, publishers, and funding bodies.

Gwyther Rees (Social Policy Research Unit, University of York, UK) is the Research Director of the Children's Worlds project – an international study of children's lives and well-being. He was formerly Research Director at The Children's Society in the UK, where his work included research on adolescent maltreatment and developing a national research program on children's subjective well-being.

Anita Stasulane is Professor of history of religions, and Director of the Institute of Humanities and Social Sciences at Daugavpils University (Latvia). She graduated from Latvian University (1985) and Pontifical Gregorian University in Rome, Italy (1998). Her research interests focus on youth studies and subcultures, and particularly on new religious movements. She has been involved in a number of international comparative studies concerning youth, mostly their civic and political participation, well-being, and addictions, as well as general comparative studies. She has methodological expertise in ethnography and mixed method research design experience, as well as in transcultural qualitative research. She is the editor-in-chief of "Kultūras Studijas" (Cultural Studies).

Contributors

Giorgos Alexias Panteion University of Social and Political Sciences, Athens, Greece

Nuno de Almeida Alves ISCTE – University Institute of Lisbon, Lisbon, Portugal

Toni Babarović Ivo Pilar Institute of Social Sciences, Zagreb, Croatia

Leonie Backeberg Institute Labour and Economy, University of Bremen, Bremen, Germany

Andreja Brajša-Žganec Ivo Pilar Institute of Social Sciences, Zagreb, Croatia

Britta Busse Institute Labour and Economy, University of Bremen, Bremen, Germany

Note on Contributors xvii

Ivan Dević Ivo Pilar Institute of Social Sciences, Zagreb, Croatia

Mariona Ferrer-Fons Department of Political and Social Sciences, Universitat Pompeu Fabra (UPF), Barcelona, Spain.

Chris Fox Policy Evaluation and Research Unit, Manchester Metropolitan University, Manchester, UK.

Renata Franc Ivo Pilar Institute of Social Sciences, Zagreb, Croatia.

Michal Garaj Faculty of Social Sciences, University of Saints Cyril and Methodius, Trnava, Slovakia.

Ljiljana Kaliterna-Lipovčan Ivo Pilar Institute of Social Sciences, Zagreb, Croatia

Ilze Koroleva Institute of Philosophy and Sociology, University of Latvia, Rīga, Latvia

Alexandra Koronaiou Panteion University of Social and Political Sciences, Athens, Greece.

Peter Lynn Institute for Social and Economic Research, University of Essex, Colchester, UK.

Inta Mierina Centre for Diaspora and Migration Research, Institute of Philosophy and Sociology, University of Latvia, Rīga, Latvia

Jaroslav Mihálik Faculty of Social Sciences, University of Saints Cyril and Methodius, Trnava, Slovakia.

Magda Nico ISCTE – University Institute of Lisbon, Lisbon Portugal.

Chris O'Leary Policy Evaluation and Research Unit, Manchester Metropolitan University, Manchester, UK.

Alexandros Sakellariou Panteion University of Social and Political Sciences, Athens, Greece.

Pau Serracant Catalan Youth Observatory (Catalan Youth Agency), Barcelona Spain.

Roger Soler-i-Martí Department of Political Science and Public Law, Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona, Barcelona, Spain.

xviii Note on Contributors

Ines Sučić Ivo Pilar Institute of Social Sciences, Zagreb Croatia.

Marti Taru School of Governance, Law and Society, Institute of International Social Studies, Tallinn University, Tallinn, Estonia.

Marge Unt Tallinn University, School of Governance, Law and Society, Institute of International Social Studies, Tallinn, Estonia.

Chapter 1 Notions of Well-Being, the State of Child Well-Being Research and the MYWeB Project



1

Gary Pollock, Jessica Ozan, and Haridhan Goswami

1.1 Introduction

Children's well-being is fundamental to that of society as a whole. Promoting children's well-being is not only vital in order for children to have a good childhood, but also as a firm basis for their future well-being as adults (Rees et al. 2012). How children fare through critical points of development affects their quality of life, their productivity, welfare dependency and the transmission of their later life outcomes to their own children (Richardson 2012). In recent years, child well-being has become a priority for the European political agenda. As part of the European cooperation on social protection and social inclusion, the European Union (EU) has expressed its strong political commitment to promoting well-being among children which is reflected (among others) in the establishment of an EU Task-Force on child poverty and child well-being in 2007 (TARKI 2010). The Europe 2020 Strategy gives a new impetus to efforts addressing child poverty and social exclusion in the EU. A number of Member States have set specific targets or sub-targets relating to child poverty/social exclusion as their contribution to the headline European target to reduce the number of people at risk of poverty and social exclusion by at least 20 million by 2020 (Council of the European Union 2012). Therefore, Euro 2020 has given priority to fighting poverty and social exclusion and improving the well-being of children and young people.

This policy drive in improving children's well-being, the recognition of children's and young people's (CYP) rights to having a good childhood and good future life chances, coupled with the injunction from the New Sociology of Childhood to consult with CYP as active agents have resulted in an increasing number of studies on children's and young people's well-being at national and international levels. See

G. Pollock (⋈) · J. Ozan · H. Goswami Department of Sociology, Manchester Metropolitan University, Manchester, Lancashire, UK e-mail: g.pollock@mmu.ac.uk; j.ozan@mmu.ac.uk; h.goswami@mmu.ac.uk

[©] Springer International Publishing AG, part of Springer Nature 2018 G. Pollock et al. (eds.), *Measuring Youth Well-being*, Children's Well-Being: Indicators and Research 19, https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-76063-6_1

Goswami et al. (2016) for the full review. These surveys provide invaluable policy data for improving children's lives. However, the design, content, and modes of data collection used in these surveys are influenced by a number of factors including conceptual underpinning of well-being, its measurement and participatory model(s) used by the researchers for children in those surveys. This chapter is divided into four sections to review those aspects. The first section focuses on the conceptual and definitional aspects of well-being. The second section reviews how well-being is measured in surveys highlighting its objective and subjective dimensions. The third section critically reviews key studies on child well-being to reveal the paradigm shift towards child-centric research. Finally, the chapter closes by describing the structure of this book with summaries of each subsequent chapter.

1.2 Well-Being: Definition

Despite substantial academic and policy interest in well-being over the decades, there is no universally accepted definition of the concept. In academic literature, it is used as an over-arching concept to refer to the quality of life of people in society (Rees et al. 2010b).

In defining the concept of well-being, a distinction is also made between the hedonic and eudaimonic approaches (Ryan and Deci 2001). Scholars influenced by the hedonic approach view well-being in terms of subjective happiness and the experience of pleasure versus displeasure broadly construed to include all judgements about the good/bad elements of life. Although there are many ways to evaluate the pleasure/pain continuum in human experience, most research within the new hedonic psychology has used assessment of subjective well-being (SWB) (Diener and Lucas 1999). SWB consists of three components: life satisfaction, the presence of positive mood, and the absence of negative mood, together often summarized as happiness. It should be noted that a high level of subjective well-being is not assumed to develop from the absence of negative mood, but from a positive balance of negative and positive mood (Fredrickson and Losada 2005; Huppert and So 2013).

On the other hand, the eudaimonic approach maintains that not all desires—not all outcomes that a person might value —would yield well-being when achieved (Ryan and Deci 2001). It focuses on meaning and self-realisation and defines well-being in terms of the degree to which a person is fully functioning. Ryff and Singer (1998, 2000) have explored the question of well-being in the context of developing a lifespan theory of human flourishing. Ryff and Keyes (1995) spoke of psychological well-being (PWB) as distinct from SWB and presented a multidimensional approach to the measurement of PWB that taps six distinct aspects of human actualization: autonomy, personal growth, self-acceptance, life purpose, mastery, and positive relatedness.

Self-determination theory (SDT) (Ryan and Deci 2000) is another perspective that has both embraced the concept of eudaimonia, or self-realisation, as a central definitional aspect of well-being and attempted to specify both what it means to