

Jay Satia · Kavita Chauhan

Improving Quality of Care in Family Planning

A Research and Advocacy Agenda for
India



Springer

Improving Quality of Care in Family Planning

Jay Satia · Kavita Chauhan

Improving Quality of Care in Family Planning

A Research and Advocacy Agenda for India



Springer

Jay Satia
Indian Institute of Public Health
Gandhinagar
Gandhinagar, Gujarat
India

Kavita Chauhan
Public Health Foundation of India
Gurgaon
India

ISBN 978-981-10-8131-6 ISBN 978-981-10-8132-3 (eBook)
<https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-10-8132-3>

Library of Congress Control Number: 2018930129

© Springer Nature Singapore Pte Ltd. 2018, corrected publication 2018

This work is subject to copyright. All rights are reserved by the Publisher, whether the whole or part of the material is concerned, specifically the rights of translation, reprinting, reuse of illustrations, recitation, broadcasting, reproduction on microfilms or in any other physical way, and transmission or information storage and retrieval, electronic adaptation, computer software, or by similar or dissimilar methodology now known or hereafter developed.

The use of general descriptive names, registered names, trademarks, service marks, etc. in this publication does not imply, even in the absence of a specific statement, that such names are exempt from the relevant protective laws and regulations and therefore free for general use.

The publisher, the authors and the editors are safe to assume that the advice and information in this book are believed to be true and accurate at the date of publication. Neither the publisher nor the authors or the editors give a warranty, express or implied, with respect to the material contained herein or for any errors or omissions that may have been made. The publisher remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Printed on acid-free paper

This Springer imprint is published by the registered company Springer Nature Singapore Pte Ltd. The registered company address is: 152 Beach Road, #21-01/04 Gateway East, Singapore 189721, Singapore

Foreword I

Providing high-quality health care services is critical. Quality of care in family planning services is even more important as the users of family planning services differ from other users of health services in one important respect. They are not sick patients. Besides, a large number of them are women and require gender-sensitive services. Also, they are often in the age group of 20–40 years, whereas most patients are older. Finally, young people need youth-friendly services.

Among many priorities in public health, reducing the unmet need for contraception is currently a significant area for the government, multilateral initiatives, non-governmental organizations, and civil society organizations. Unmet need may result in mistimed or unwanted pregnancies which not only adversely affect the mother's and child's health but also stress families.

Recent global research shows that the provision of quality family planning services can reduce unmet need by increasing uptake, prevalence, and continuation of contraception. As access to family planning services is improving and fertility levels are reaching near-replacement levels, improving quality of care in family planning in India has catalysed discourse over the past couple of years. Policy makers recognize that health is essential to economic development and that India's healthy young population provides a demographic window of opportunity for accelerated economic growth. Simultaneously, Indian civil society organizations continue to advocate for quality standards in provision of family planning services and people's right to quality of care.

The Government of India, non-governmental organizations, and the private sector have taken several steps to improve quality standards for providing care to men and women seeking family planning services in India. But as research and experience show, much remains to be done. Research can provide evidence-based guidance on effective and efficient ways to improve quality of care. It is important to expand the knowledge base to take into account people's perspectives, their perceptions of current quality of care, and provider perspectives on provision of services to increase uptake and continuation of contraception and improve the health of couples and their families.

Therefore, the Public Health Foundation of India with support from the David and Lucile Packard Foundation and the Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation has developed this monograph on key research priorities to accelerate progress on improving quality of care in family planning in India. In this process, it not only reviews the research done in India but also draws upon reported experiences of improving quality of care in family planning in India, as well as a Delphi study.

This monograph also includes a report of the consultation on ways to increase demand for quality of care. Most of the current effort on improving quality of care is focused on service provision. However, it is important that users of family planning services are enabled, motivated, and empowered to demand quality of care. Only then can high quality of care be sustained.

I hope this book will be a useful tool for researchers, the government, and non-governmental agencies to chart a path for their efforts towards strengthening quality of care in family planning.

New Delhi, India

K. Srinath Reddy
President
Public Health Foundation of India

Foreword II

The 2012 London Summit on Family Planning reinvigorated the global interest in and commitment to family planning and quality. The Ministry of Health and Family Welfare (MOHFW) of India has issued its own document entitled *India's Vision FP2020*, which outlines strategies to be followed to achieve the stated goal of reaching 48 million additional women with modern contraceptive methods by 2020. A focus on ensuring quality of services is included among these strategies.

Interest in quality, however, is not new. More than 25 years ago, Judith Bruce articulated a client-centred quality of care framework in 1990 to encourage international family planning programmes to move away from a completely demographic rationale of family planning and start paying attention to individual well-being. The 1994 International Conference on Population and Development held in Cairo reaffirmed interest in individual well-being, quality, and reproductive health. The global interest in family planning dwindled for some time for many reasons, including a shift in focus on addressing the HIV epidemic and reducing maternal morbidity and mortality. At present, while the term “quality” is included in the lexicon of family planning, efforts to improve and deliver services of good quality have been mixed.

It is this context that gives me a special pleasure to write the foreword for this book by Jay Satia and Kavita Chauhan of the Public Health Foundation of India. This monograph comes at an important juncture of the family planning programme in India and draws attention to quality of care. It consists of nine chapters which are based on review of research studies and actions taken to improve quality of care in India since 1994. In addition, the authors undertook two rounds of Delphi study to identify high-priority research, action, and advocacy needs. The last chapter is based on a consultation held in Jodhpur which focused on creating demand for quality of care in India.

Improving quality of services in a complex system like India is quite challenging. Many of the steps and interventions required to improve quality are recognized and discussed in this monograph. While implementing these policy- and

programme-level interventions, it will be important to focus continuously on the quality of care received by the clients whenever they come into contact with any service provider offering family planning information and service—either at a health facility or during service outreach. It is how clients are treated and what care they receive which is important in influencing their subsequent contraceptive and fertility behaviour.

We can always think of educating clients and communities to demand services of good quality. However, from the programmatic perspective, what is done to improve the motivation and behaviour of service providers will determine their behaviour towards clients of services. Many interventions, e.g., method-specific targets for providers and sterilization camps, implemented in the past had adversely affected the quality of care received by the clients. While these interventions have now been discontinued, a system of monetary incentives for providers and clients remains in place. It is important to assess the implication of this system for quality of care received by clients. An important component of improving quality of care is to periodically monitor quality of care received by clients, especially whenever changes in the programme are made that would influence the behaviour of providers.

Information received by clients at the time of initiating contraception is an important component of quality of care. Data analysed from the National Family Health Survey (NFHS-3) showed that only 16% of current contraceptive users reported receiving information at the time they initiated contraception (during five years prior to the interview) on all three elements—other contraceptive options, side effects of the method selected, and how to manage these side effects if they occur. Moreover, only two out of three sterilized women reported that they were told that sterilization is permanent. Admittedly, these indicators do not reflect the entire content of client–provider interactions and must have improved by now, but they are unlikely to have reached a level of 80 or 100%.

It is essential to accelerate progress on improving the quality of care received by family planning clients in India because clients have a right to receive good quality, and good quality also contributes to the achievement of other desirable reproductive health outcomes. In order to improve the quality of care, it is important to identify interventions to improve quality and to develop a research and advocacy agenda relevant to the Indian context. This monograph makes important contributions in all three areas. It has identified eight important areas of research, including a focus on clients, providers, and measurement of quality of care.

I trust that the monograph will be of interest not only to researchers and academic institutions, but also to programme managers, policy makers, and advocacy organizations. A concerted effort by all concerned in improving the quality of care in the family planning programme in India will contribute to the achievement of FP2020 goals and will help the MOHFW to complete the transition, mentioned in India's FP2020 document, from a "population control centric approach" to a

“reproductive rights based approach”. Above all, such an approach will enhance individual well-being and empower individuals to achieve their reproductive intentions safely.

New York, US

Anrudh K. Jain, Ph.D.
Distinguished Scholar
Population Council

Acknowledgements

This book is an effort to take forward the ongoing discourse on the important issue of quality of care in family planning, and to identify the research and advocacy priorities for India. We believe that providing quality care to women and couples seeking family planning and contraception services (particularly for birth spacing) will enable scale-up of interventions to strengthen reach and coverage of the family planning programme.

The David and Lucile Packard Foundation and the Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation provided generous support for this project, without which this book would not have been possible. We are grateful to Anand Sinha, Tamara Karenin, and Lana Dakan from the David and Lucile Packard Foundation and Sandhya Venkateswaran, Lester Coutinho, and Richa Shankar from the Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation for their guidance and encouragement.

To provide strategic guidance to this effort, a Steering Group on Quality of Care in Family Planning was set up with representation from key stakeholders. This group was chaired by Rakesh Kumar, former Joint Secretary, Reproductive and Child Health, Ministry of Health and Family Welfare (MoHFW), Government of India, with S. K. Sikdar, Deputy Commissioner, Family Planning, MoHFW as its convener. We express our gratitude to them for their support. The purpose of this group was to review evidence on “what works”, to identify issues that need further research, and to strengthen discourse around quality of care in family planning.

The book is based on our review of existing knowledge on this topic (research and experiences which represent the work of individuals, different partner organizations, and the national and state governments), a Delphi exercise, and discussions with stakeholders working on family planning in India. We greatly appreciate the support of key individuals, who are mentioned below, in helping us with background information and sharing their perspectives with us:

Aarushi Khanna, Aditi Iyer, Ahana Sood, Alok Banerjee, Alok Vajpayee, Amit Bhanot, Amit Shan, Anchita Patil, Anjali Sen, Anupam Shukla, Aparajita Gogoi, Arnab Dey, Bhartendu Sharma, Deepa Nag Chowdhury, Devika Biswas, Dinesh Aggarwal, Elizabeth Salazar, Fauzdar Ram, Geeta Sethi, Gita Pillai, Ipsita Parida,

Jameel Zamir, Jayanta K. Das, Joanna Percher, Jyoti Vajpayee, Kalpana Apte, Karen Hardee, Kirti Iyengar, M. E. Khan, Madhubala Nath, Manak Matiyani, Manju Katoch, Mansiha Bhise, Nayla Shakeel, Niranjana Saggurti, Nirmala Murthy, Paromita Vohra, Poonam Mutterja, Pragati Singh, Pranita Achyut, Preeti Anand, Pritpal Marjara, Priya Nanda, Rashmi Kukreja, Ravi Anand, Ravi Verma, Rupsa Malik, Sachin Kothari, Sandhya Gautam, Sanghita Bhattacharya, Shanti Mahendra, Sharad Agarwal, Shilpa John, Shriram Haridass, Shubhra Phillips, Sonalini Mirchandani, Sudha Tewari, Sulbha Swaroop, Suneeta Mittal, Teja Ram, Vinoj Manning, V. K. Mathur, V. S. Chandrasekhar, and Yeme Belayneh.

We are grateful to the unknown reviewers whose prescriptive comments helped us refine some of the sections of the book.

We thank K. Srinath Reddy, President, PHFI, for supporting us in this effort and for writing a foreword, and Anrudh K. Jain for his valuable inputs and for writing a second foreword to introduce this important topic.

Finally, we are grateful to Springer for being the publisher of this book. We thank Shinjini Chatterjee, Priya Vyas and the editorial team for assisting us through their able support.

Gujarat, India
Gurgaon, India
August 2017

Jay Satia
Kavita Chauhan

Contents

1	The Need to Improve Quality of Care in India's Family Planning	1
1.1	Introduction	1
1.2	Quality of Care is Critical in Family Planning	3
1.3	Need to Accelerate Improvements in Quality of Care in Family Planning	4
1.4	Description of the Project	6
1.5	Approach for Developing Background Paper	7
	References	10
2	What is Quality? Quality of Care Frameworks	13
2.1	Donabedian's Quality of Care Framework	13
2.2	Quality Improvement in the Health Sector	15
2.2.1	Measurement of Quality	15
2.2.2	Quality Improvement	16
2.3	Reproductive, Maternal, Newborn, Child, and Adolescent Health: Strategy and Quality of Care	16
2.4	National Quality Assurance Programme	17
2.5	Quality of Care Frameworks for Family Planning	19
2.6	Rights of Clients and Providers	20
2.7	Quality of Care as a Right of Clients	21
2.8	Incorporating Health System and Community Influences in Quality of Care Framework	25
2.9	A Proposed Comprehensive Framework for Interventions to Improve Quality of Care	27
	References	29

3	Steps Taken by the Government of India to Improve Quality of Care	33
3.1	Guidelines Developed by the Government of India to Ensure Quality in Family Planning Service Delivery	33
3.2	Family Planning Indemnity Scheme	36
3.3	Quality Assurance Committees	36
3.4	Expanding Contraceptive Choice and Improving Access	37
3.5	Improving Quality of Care in Government Service Delivery with Support from NGOs and Development Partners	37
3.5.1	Strengthening Systems	37
3.5.2	Public–Private Collaboration for Comprehensive Improvement of Quality of Care in Government Services	39
3.5.3	Improving Provider Competence for Intrauterine Contraceptive Devices and Non-scalpel Vasectomy	43
3.6	Other Efforts to Improve Quality of Care	45
3.6.1	EngenderHealth: Improving Quality at Service Sites Through Quality Circles (COPE)	45
3.6.2	Creating Demand for Family Planning to Improve Quality of Care: The Innovations in Family Planning Services Project	46
3.7	Integration of Family Planning Services with Other Health Services	47
3.8	Current Status of Implementation of Quality of Care Improvement Efforts: Perspectives from the Field	47
3.8.1	Visit to Department of Health and Family Welfare, Government of Rajasthan (May 2016)	47
3.8.2	Visit to Department of Health and Family Welfare, Government of Uttar Pradesh (April 2016)	49
3.9	Conclusion	51
	References	52
4	Steps Taken by NGOs and the Private Sector to Improve Quality of Care	53
4.1	Quality Improvement Interventions in NGO-Led Innovations	53
4.1.1	Respond: Project on Scaling up of Non-scalpel Vasectomy	53
4.1.2	FHI 360: PROGRESS (Programme Research for Strengthening Services)	54
4.1.3	Ujjwal Project	54
4.1.4	Population Council: Systematic Screening to Integrate Reproductive Health Services in India	55
4.1.5	The Dimpa Programme	56

4.1.6	Improving Quality of Care of Service Delivery for Intrauterine Contraceptive Devices	57
4.1.7	Odisha Urban Reproductive Health Project	57
4.2	Other Projects in the NGO Sector	58
4.2.1	Parivar Seva Sansthan	58
4.2.2	Marie Stopes India	58
4.2.3	Action Research and Training for Health	59
4.2.4	Population Services International	60
4.2.5	Public Health Foundation of India, United Nations Population Fund, and Federation of Obstetric and Gynaecological Societies of India	61
4.2.6	Addressing the Needs of Young People: Empowerment Through Life Skills Education and Counselling	61
4.2.7	mHealth for Content Distribution	62
4.2.8	Empowering Clients to Demand Quality of Care for Maternal Health Using Mobile Technology: A Pilot Study in Jharkhand	63
4.2.9	Pehel: Addressing Women's Health in Rajasthan, Delhi, and Uttar Pradesh	63
4.2.10	Family Planning Association of India SETU Core+ Project	65
4.2.11	DKT India	66
4.3	Approaches to Assuring Quality of Care in Social Franchising Operations	66
4.3.1	Social Franchising Programmes in India	67
4.3.2	Ensuring Quality of Care in Social Franchising Operations	67
4.3.3	Devising a Quality Metric for Social Franchisee Operations in Family Planning Globally	68
4.4	Social Marketing	69
4.5	Advocating Reproductive Choices: Catalysing Stakeholder Engagement for Repositioning Family Planning	70
4.6	Conclusion and the Way Forward	70
	References	72
5	Review of Research Studies	75
5.1	Introduction	75
5.2	Review of Quality of Care Around 1994	76
5.2.1	Clients' Perspectives	76
5.2.2	Provider Perspectives	76
5.2.3	Improving Quality of Care	77
5.3	Studies Since 1995 on the Quality of Care Provided	77

5.4	Status of Quality of Care	78
5.5	Informed Choice and Discontinuation Rates	81
5.6	Service Quality for Adolescent and Young People	83
5.7	Intervention to Improve Quality of Care	83
5.7.1	Facility-Level Interventions	84
5.7.2	Programme-Level Interventions	85
5.7.3	Community-Level Interventions	85
5.8	Factors Influencing Quality of Care	87
5.9	Impact of Improved Quality of Care	89
5.10	Research Methodologies Used	90
5.11	Global Reviews on Quality of Care in Family Planning	91
5.12	Recent Relevant International Research on Quality of Care	93
	References	99
6	Consensus Building on Quality of Care Priorities	105
6.1	Methodology of Delphi Process	105
6.2	Research Agenda: Summary of Findings from First Round Delphi	107
6.2.1	Research Agenda: Questions Rated as High Priority by Respondents and Key Inputs Received	108
6.2.2	Research Agenda: Questions Rated as Medium Priority by Respondents and Key Inputs Received	109
6.2.3	Research Agenda: Questions Rated as Low Priority by Respondents and Key Inputs Received	111
6.3	Action Agenda	113
6.3.1	Questions Rated as High Priority by Respondents and Key Inputs Received	113
6.3.2	Questions Rated as Low Priority by Respondents and Key Inputs Received	114
6.4	Advocacy Agenda: Key Findings of Delphi First Round	115
6.4.1	Most Recommended Priorities and Key Inputs Received from Respondents	116
6.4.2	Questions Rated as Low Priority and Key Inputs Received from Respondents	116
6.5	Research Agenda: Summary of Findings from Second Round of Delphi	116
6.6	Conclusion	118
	Reference	118
7	Operationalizing the Action and Advocacy Agenda for Quality of Care	119
7.1	Introduction	119
7.2	The Need to Augment Action on the Ground	120
7.3	Operationalizing the Action Agenda	121

7.3.1	Activating Quality of Care Organizational Mechanisms	121
7.3.2	Enhancing the Accountability of the Programme	123
7.3.3	Improving Supplies	124
7.4	Other Actions Identified as Relatively Lower Priority	126
7.4.1	Improving Training	126
7.4.2	Strengthening the Role of ASHAs	126
7.4.3	Quality of Care in the Private Sector	126
7.4.4	Periodic Assessment of Quality of Care	127
7.5	Accelerating Advocacy for Improving Quality of Care	127
7.6	Past and Present Advocacy Efforts	128
7.6.1	NGO-Led Advocacy Efforts Promoting Repositioning of Family Planning in India	128
7.6.2	<i>Legal Instruments: Devika Biswas v. Union of India & Ors (WP [C] 95/2012)</i>	129
7.6.3	Social Audit as an Instrument to Improve Family Planning Services in Uttar Pradesh, 2001–2005	129
7.7	What Is Needed to Advance the Advocacy Agenda for Quality of Care in Family Planning in India?	130
7.8	Key Focus Areas for Advocacy	131
	References	132
8	Developing a Research Agenda for Accelerating Progress on Improving Quality of Care	133
8.1	Need to Accelerate Improvements in Quality of Care	133
8.2	What Has Been Done to Improve Quality of Care?	134
8.3	Review of Research Studies	134
8.4	Findings of the Delphi Study	135
8.5	Emerging Research Agenda	136
8.6	Operationalizing the Research Agenda	137
8.6.1	Client Perceptions of the Quality of Care Being Provided	137
8.6.2	Improving Quality of Care in Adolescent/Youth Family Planning Services	140
8.6.3	Enabling and Motivating Providers to Provide Quality of Care	141
8.6.4	Effect of Health System Operations Influencing Quality of Care in Family Planning	143
8.6.5	Political, Legal, and Organizational Context of the Health System	144
8.6.6	Activating Organizational Mechanisms to Improve Quality of Care	144
8.6.7	Quality of Care Metrics, Monitoring System, and Enhancing Accountability	145

8.6.8	Ensuring Quality of Care While Introducing Additional Methods	149
8.6.9	Benefits of Improved Quality of Care	150
8.7	Summary and Conclusion	152
	References	154
9	Enhancing Demand for Quality of Care in Family Planning in India: A Consultation Report	157
9.1	Why Focus on Enhancing Demand for Quality of Care?	157
9.2	What Does the Available Evidence Indicate?	158
9.3	Clients at the Centre of Quality of Care	160
9.3.1	Client Perspectives	160
9.3.2	Empowering Clients	161
9.4	Empowering Young People to Demand Quality of Care	161
9.4.1	Service-Seeking Behaviour of Young People	161
9.4.2	Unpacking Youth-Friendly Health Services	162
9.5	Engaging Community	162
9.5.1	Influencing Societal Norms	162
9.5.2	Mainstreaming Community Involvement	163
9.6	Working with Other Sectors	164
9.7	Communication for Enhancing Demand	164
9.8	Governance	165
9.9	Accountability	166
9.9.1	Accountability for What and Why	166
9.9.2	Whose Accountability and to Whom	166
9.9.3	Institutionalizing Accountability	168
9.10	Advocacy	168
9.10.1	Evidence Base for Advocacy	169
9.10.2	Process of Advocacy	170
9.11	Service Provision	170
9.11.1	Health System	170
9.11.2	Empowering Providers	172
9.12	Conclusion	172
	References	173
	Erratum to: Review of Research Studies	E1
	Annexure 1: Delphi Questionnaire	175
	Annexure 2: Clients at the Centre of Quality of Care	181
	Annexure 3: Community Engagement for Demanding Quality of Care in Family Planning	183
	Annexure 4: Interventions/Research for Creating Demand for Quality of Care by Clients	187

**Annexure 5: Enhancing Programme Accountability
for Quality of Care 189**

**Annexure 6: Evidence-Based Advocacy to Support Demand for
Quality of Care 193**

About the Authors

Jay Satia is a former Professor of the Indian Institute of Management Ahmedabad (IIMA) where he taught for more than 20 years, and served as its Dean during 1987–1989. At IIMA, he worked on operations management as well as on management of health, population, and nutrition programmes. During the period 1993–2008, he was the Executive Director of the International Council on Management of Population Programmes, a Malaysia-based international non-governmental organization dedicated to seeking excellence in management of population programmes through leadership and management development. At present, he is Emeritus Professor at the Indian Institute of Public Health Gandhinagar. He has several publications to his credit on management, leadership, and population issues and has held a range of management and board of directors positions in various Indian and international organizations. He holds a B.E. from Bombay University and an MS and Ph.D. in industrial engineering from Stanford University, USA.

Kavita Chauhan is a behavioural science professional at the Public Health Foundation of India. She has over 14 years of experience in designing and managing strategic health communication and advocacy initiatives, research on behaviour change, and capacity building on public health communications. Her previous work at CARE India integrated planning and implementing strategies related to reproductive health needs of communities with a special focus on young people. At Futures Group Inc., under the global POLICY Project, she formulated HIV/AIDS policy interventions with the government, faith-based organizations, and NGOs, and facilitated decentralized planning for HIV/AIDS and maternal health efforts. She holds a BA in English literature and MA in social work from Jamia Millia Islamia, New Delhi, and an M.Sc. in public health from the London School of Hygiene and Tropical Medicine (LSHTM). Currently she is enrolled as a research degree student at LSHTM.

Abbreviations

ANM	Auxiliary nurse midwife
ARTH	Action Research and Training for Health
ASHA	Accredited social health activist
BCC	Behaviour change communication
CAC	Comprehensive abortion care
CBD	Community-based distributor
CHARM	Counselling Husbands to Achieve RH and Marital Equity
CHC	Community health centre
COPE	Client-oriented, provider-efficient
CSO	Civil society organization
DLHS	District Level Household Survey
DMPA	Depo-Provera or depot medroxyprogesterone acetate
DQAC	District quality assurance committee
DQAG	District quality assurance group
ECP	Emergency contraceptive pills
ELA	Expected level of achievement
FOGSI	Federation of Obstetrics and Gynaecology Societies of India
FP	Family planning
HLFPPT	Hindustan Latex Family Planning Promotion Trust
HMIS	Hospital management information system
HTSP	Healthy Timing and Spacing of Pregnancy
ICPD	International Conference on Population and Development
ICRW	International Center for Research on Women
IEC	Information education communication
IFPS	Innovations in Family Planning Services
IPHS	Indian Public Health Standards
IPPF	International Planned Parenthood Federation
IUCD	Intrauterine contraceptive device
IUD	Intrauterine device

IVRS	Interactive Voice Response System
JHPIEGO	Johns Hopkins Program for International Education in Gynecology and Obstetrics
LAM	Lactational amenorrhea method
LARC	Long-acting reversible contraception
mCPR	Contraception prevalence rate by modern methods
MNCH	Maternal, neonatal, and child health
MoHFW	Ministry of Health and Family Welfare
MSI	Marie Stopes India
NFHS	National Family Health Survey
NGO	Non-governmental Organization
NHM	National Health Mission
NHSRC	National Health Systems Resource Centre
NQAP	National Quality Assurance Programme
NRHM	National Rural Health Mission
NSV	Non-scalpel vasectomy
OCP	Oral contraceptive pills
PFI	Population Foundation of India
PHC	Primary health centre
PHFI	Public Health Foundation of India
PIP	Programme implementation plan
POP	Progestosterone-only pills
PPFP	Postpartum family planning
PPIUCD	Postpartum intrauterine contraceptive device
PPP	Public-private partnership
PSI	Population Services International
PSS	Parivar Seva Sansthan
QA	Quality assurance
QAC	Quality assurance committee
QI	Quality improvement
QoC	Quality of care
RH	Reproductive health
RHCLMIS	Reproductive health commodities logistics management information system
RKS	Rogi Kalyan Samiti
RKSK	Rashtriya Kishor Swasthya Karyakram
RMNCH+A	Reproductive, maternal, neonatal, child+adolescent health
SDM	Standard Days Method
SQAC	State quality assurance committee
SRH	Sexual and reproductive health
TFR	Total fertility rate
UHI	Urban Health Initiative
UNFPA	United Nations Population Fund

USAID	United States Agency for International Development
VHSNC	Village Health, Sanitation, and Nutrition Committee
WHO	World Health Organization
YFHS	Youth-Friendly Health Services (programme)