

Essentials in Ophthalmology

Cornea and External Eye Disease

T. Reinhard F. Larkin
Editors



Essentials in Ophthalmology

G. K. Krieglstein R. N. Weinreb
Series Editors

Glaucoma

Cataract and Refractive Surgery

Uveitis and Immunological Disorders

Vitreo-retinal Surgery

Medical Retina

Oculoplastics and Orbit

Pediatric Ophthalmology, Neuro-Ophthalmology, Genetics

Cornea and External Eye Disease

Editors Thomas Reinhard
Frank Larkin

Cornea and External Eye Disease

With 103 Figures, Mostly in Colour
and 14 Tables

 Springer

Series Editors

Günter K. Kriegelstein, MD

Professor and Chairman
Department of Ophthalmology
University of Cologne
Kerpener Straße 62
50924 Cologne
Germany

Robert N. Weinreb, MD

Professor and Director
Hamilton Glaucoma Center
Department of Ophthalmology
University of California at San Diego
9500 Gilman Drive
La Jolla, CA 92093-0946
USA

Volume Editors

Thomas Reinhard, MD

Klinikum Augenheilkunde
Universität Freiburg
Killianstraße 5
79106 Freiburg
Germany

Frank Larkin, MD

Moorfields Eye Hospital
City Road 162
London, EC1V 2PD
UK

ISBN 978-3-540-33680-8
Springer Berlin Heidelberg NewYork

ISSN 1612-3212

Library of Congress Control Number: 2007940437

This work is subject to copyright. All rights are reserved, whether the whole or part of the material is concerned, specifically the rights of translation, reprinting, reuse of illustrations, recitation, broadcasting, reproduction on microfilms or in any other way, and storage in data banks. Duplication of this publication or parts thereof is permitted only under the provisions of the German Copyright Law of September 9, 1965, in its current version, and permission for use must always be obtained from Springer. Violations are liable to prosecution under the German Copyright Law.

Springer is a part of Springer Science + Business Media

springer.com

© Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg 2008

The use of general descriptive names, registered names, trademarks, etc. in this publication does not imply, even in the absence of a specific statement, that such names are exempt from the relevant protective laws and regulations and therefore free for general use.

Product liability: The publishers cannot guarantee the accuracy of any information about dosage and application contained in this book. In every individual case the user must check such information by consulting the relevant literature.

Editor: Marion Philipp, Heidelberg, Germany

Desk Editor: Martina Himberger, Heidelberg, Germany

Production: LE-TeX Jelonek, Schmidt & Vöckler GbR,
Leipzig, Germany

Cover Design: WMXDesign GmbH, Heidelberg,
Germany

Printed on acid-free paper
24/3180Wa 5 4 3 2 1 0

Foreword

The series *Essentials in Ophthalmology* was initiated two years ago to expedite the timely transfer of new information in vision science and evidence-based medicine into clinical practice. We thought that this prosipient idea would be moved and guided by a resolute commitment to excellence. It is reasonable to now update our readers with what has been achieved.

The immediate goal was to transfer information through a high quality quarterly publication in which ophthalmology would be represented by eight subspecialties. In this regard, each issue has had a subspecialty theme and has been overseen by two internationally recognized volume editors, who in turn have invited a bevy of experts

to discuss clinically relevant and appropriate topics. Summaries of clinically relevant information have been provided throughout each chapter.

Each subspecialty area now has been covered once, and the response to the first eight volumes in the series has been enthusiastically positive. With the start of the second cycle of subspecialty coverage, the dissemination of practical information will be continued as we learn more about the emerging advances in various ophthalmic subspecialties that can be applied to obtain the best possible care of our patients. Moreover, we will continue to highlight clinically relevant information and maintain our commitment to excellence.

G. K. Krieglstein

R. N. Weinreb

Series Editors

Preface

The second volume covers a broad range of conjunctival and corneal diseases, again with particular emphasis being placed on problem management.

Various new surgical approaches are currently being evaluated in the clinical setting, an example of which is posterior lamellar keratoplasty in Fuchs endothelial disease. While amniotic membrane transplantation has been in use for some years and for a range of indications, it is now becoming more and more popular for the treatment of ulceration in infectious keratitis. Tissue-engineered scaffolds as templates for corneal reconstruction are being investigated for possible future surgical approaches. Phototherapeutic keratectomy has been established for some years in the therapeutic repertoire for various phenotypes of corneal dystrophy: this intervention is now safe and effective in many patients with superficial dystrophic corneal opacities or recurrent erosion.

Molecular genetic evidence of corneal dystrophies is fascinating and has led to a completely new classification.

The chapter on corneal preservation shows the challenge for tissue banking behind the new surgical approaches. Inflammatory diseases of the cornea and conjunctiva remain a continuing challenge in every external eye disease clinic, described in the chapters on herpes simplex keratitis, ocular pemphigoid, adult inclusion conjunctivitis, and chronic blepharitis. Understanding of the biology of conjunctival melanoma is improving and confocal microscopy may become established as a new diagnostic aid and follow-up technique.

We hope you enjoy reading this book.

Thomas Reinhard
Frank Larkin

Contents

Chapter 1

Fuchs Endothelial Dystrophy: Pathogenesis and Management

Leejee H. Suh, M. Vaughn Emerson,
Albert S. Jun

1.1	Introduction	2
1.2	Historical Perspective	2
1.3	Epidemiology and Inheritance	2
1.4	Pathology	3
1.5	Clinical Findings	5
1.6	Pathophysiology and Genetics	6
1.7	Differential Diagnosis	7
1.8	Management	8
1.8.1	Medical	8
1.8.2	Surgical	8
1.9	Future Directions	11
1.10	Summary	11

Chapter 2

Amniotic Membrane Transplantation for the Treatment of Corneal Ulceration in Infectious Keratitis

Arnd Heiligenhaus, Carsten Heinz,
Klaus Schmitz, Christoph Tappeiner,
Dirk Bauer, Daniel Meller

2.1	Introduction	15
2.2	Clinical Aspects of Corneal Ulceration in Infectious Keratitis	15
2.2.1	Herpetic Corneal Ulceration	15
2.2.1.1	Clinical Features	15
2.2.1.2	Treatment	16
2.2.2	Bacterial Corneal Ulceration	18
2.2.2.1	Clinical Features	18
2.2.2.2	Treatment	18
2.2.3	Parasitic Corneal Ulceration	20

2.2.3.1	Clinical Features	20
2.2.3.2	Treatment	20
2.3	Pathogenesis of Corneal Ulceration	20
2.3.1	Pathogenesis of Corneal HSV-1 Ulceration	20
2.3.1.1	Necrotizing Stromal Keratitis	20
2.3.1.2	Neurotrophic Keratopathy	21
2.3.2	Pathogenesis of Corneal Bacterial Ulceration	21
2.3.3	Pathogenesis of Corneal Parasitic Ulceration	22
2.4	Basics of Amniotic Membrane Transplantation	23
2.4.1	Anti-inflammatory Effects	23
2.4.2	Anti-angiogenic Effects	24
2.4.3	Promoting Re-epithelialization	25
2.4.4	Anti-microbial Effects	26
2.5	Clinical Application of Amniotic Membrane Transplantation	26
2.5.1	Technique of Amniotic Membrane Transplantation	26
2.5.1.1	Human Amniotic Membrane Preparation	26
2.5.1.2	Surgery	27
2.5.1.3	Onlay Technique	27
2.5.1.4	Inlay Technique	27
2.5.1.5	Multilayer Technique	28
2.5.1.6	Postoperative Management	28
2.5.2	Use of Amniotic Membrane Transplantation in Infectious Corneal Ulceration	29
2.5.2.1	Herpetic Ulceration	29
2.5.2.2	Neurotrophic Ulceration	30
2.5.2.3	Bacterial Ulceration	30
2.5.2.4	Acanthamoeba Ulceration	31
2.5.2.5	Keratoplasty and Amniotic Membrane Transplantation	31

Chapter 3**Corneal Regenerative Medicine:
Corneal Substitutes
for Transplantation**

May Griffith, Per Fagerholm,
Wenguang Liu,
Christopher R. McLaughlin, Fengfu Li

3.1	Introduction	37
3.1.1	Key Corneal Properties and the Need for Substitutes for Donor Tissues	37
3.2	Synthetic "Artificial Corneas" or Keratoprotheses	38
3.2.1	Development of Keratoprotheses	38
3.2.2	Keratoprotheses Tested Clinically or in Clinical Use	39
3.2.2.1	Boston Keratoprosthesis	39
3.2.2.2	Osteo-odonto Keratoprosthesis	39
3.2.2.3	AlphaCor™ Keratoprosthesis	39
3.2.2.4	BioKPro III	40
3.2.2.5	Seoul Type Keratoprosthesis	41
3.2.2.6	Pintucci Keratoprosthesis	41
3.2.3	Recent Developments in Keratoprosthesis Research	41
3.2.3.1	Modification of Keratoprosthesis Biomaterials with Bioactive Factors	42
3.2.3	Stanford Keratoprosthesis	42
3.2.3.3	Collagen-based Keratoprosthesis	43
3.3	Naturally Fabricated Corneal Replacements	44
3.3.1	Self-assembled Corneal Equivalents	44
3.3.2	Corneal Layers Reconstructed on Pre-existing Natural Scaffolds	44
3.3.2.1	Corneal Epithelial Reconstruction on Amniotic Membranes	44
3.3.2.2	Reconstruction of Corneal Epithelial and Stromal Layers on Amniotic Membranes	44

3.3.2.3	Reconstruction of Corneal Endothelium on Denuded Corneal Stromas	45
3.3.3	Corneal Replacements with Noncorneal Cell Sources	45
3.4	Biomimetic Tissue- engineered Scaffolds as Templates for Corneal Reconstruction or Regeneration	45
3.4.1	Tissue-engineered Substrates as Cell Delivery Systems	45
3.4.1.1	Substrates for Corneal Epithelial Cells	46
3.4.1.2	Substrates for Corneal Stromal Cells	46
3.4.2	Acellular Scaffolds as Bio-interactive Templates for Regeneration	46
3.4.3	Corneal Substitutes with Delivery of Drugs or Bioactive Factors	50
3.5	Summary of Corneal Substitute Development and Future Clinical Use	51

Chapter 4**Phototherapeutic Keratectomy
in Corneal Dystrophies**

Berthold Seitz and Achim Langenbucher

4.1	Introduction	55
4.2	Nonmechanical Corneal Surgery – Definition	55
4.2.1	Curative	56
4.2.1.1	Corneal Transplantation	56
4.2.1.2	Phototherapeutic Keratectomy	56
4.2.2	Refractive	56
4.3	Patient Counseling	56
4.3.1	Corneal Clarity	57
4.3.2	Visual Acuity and Refraction	57
4.3.3	Recurrent Corneal Erosion Syndrome	58
4.4	Diagnostic Approaches to Surgical Decision-making	58
4.4.1	Patient Selection	59

5.3.1 Granular Dystrophy Type I (MIM 121900) 88

5.3.2 Granular Dystrophy Type II (MIM 607541) 89

5.3.3 Lattice TGFBI type Corneal Dystrophy (MIM 122200) 91

5.3.4 Schnyder Corneal Dystrophy (MIM 121800) 92

5.3.5 Macular Corneal Dystrophy (MIM 217800) 92

5.3.6 Congenital Stromal Dystrophy (MIM 610048) 93

5.3.7 Fleck Corneal Dystrophy (MIM 121850) 93

5.4 Posterior Corneal Dystrophies 94

5.4.1 Congenital Hereditary Endothelial Dystrophy (CHED1 MIM 121700; CHED2 MIM 217700) 94

5.4.2 Posterior Polymorphous Corneal Dystrophy (MIM 122000) 95

5.4.3 Fuchs Endothelial Corneal Dystrophy (MIM 136800) 95

5.4.4 X-linked Endothelial Corneal Dystrophy 96

5.5 Keratoepithelinopathies 97

Chapter 6
Developments in Corneal Preservation

W. John Armitage

6.1 Introduction 101

6.2 Hypothermic Storage 102

6.2.1 Storage of Corneoscleral Discs 102

6.2.2 McCarey-Kaufman Medium 102

6.2.3 Further Development of Hypothermic Storage Media 102

6.2.4 Limitations of Hypothermic Storage 103

6.3 Organ Culture 104

6.3.1 Stromal Edema During Organ Culture 105

6.3.2 Development of Organ Culture in Europe 105

6.3.3 Microbial Contamination ... 105

6.3.4 Integrity Corneal Cell Layers 106

6.3.5 Toward a Defined Organ Culture Medium 107

6.4 Cryopreservation 108

6.5 Endothelial Cell Loss and Transplant Longevity .. 109

6.6 Future Developments 109

Chapter 7
Herpes Simplex Keratitis and Related Syndromes

Anshoo Choudhary, Gareth Higgins, Stephen B. Kaye

7.1 Introduction 116

7.2 Historical Observations 116

7.3 Herpes Simplex Virus 117

7.3.1 Structure of HSV-1 117

7.3.2 Viral Replication 118

7.3.3 Entry into the Host 119

7.3.4 Latency of HSV 119

7.3.4.1 Neuronal Latency 119

7.3.4.2 Non-neuronal Sites of Latency: HSV-1 in the Cornea 121

7.3.5 Transport of HSV-1 to and from the Cornea 121

7.4 Outcome of Infection 122

7.4.1 HSV-1 Strains 122

7.4.2 Host Factors 123

7.4.3 Viral Genes 123

7.5 Clinical Manifestations 123

7.5.1 Primary Disease 124

7.5.2 Recurrent Infection 124

7.5.3 Bilateral Disease 124

7.5.4 Neonatal and Congenital Disease 125

7.5.5 HSK in Children 125

7.5.6 Epithelial Keratitis 125

7.5.7 Stromal Keratitis 126

7.5.8 Endotheliitis 127

7.5.9 Iridocorneal Endothelial Syndrome 127

7.5.10 Uveitis 129

7.6 Pathogenesis of HSK 130

7.6.1 Pathogenesis of Herpetic Keratitis 130

7.6.1.1 Viral Component 130

7.6.1.2 Immune Component 131

7.6.2 Pathogenesis of Herpetic Uveitis 131

7.6.2.1 Viral Component 131

7.6.2.2 Immune Component 132

7.6.2.3 Anterior Chamber-associated Immune Deviation 132

7.6.3 Recurrence: Reactivation and Super-infection 133

7.6.4 Corneal Scarring and Vascularization 133

7.6.4.1 Immune Component 133

7.6.4.2 Healing Response 133

7.7 Diagnosis 135

7.7.1 Laboratory Diagnosis 135

7.7.2 Aqueous Biopsy 137

7.7.3 Diagnosis of HSV-1 in Patients with a History of Inflammatory Corneal Scars 137

7.8 Treatment 138

7.8.1 Pharmacokinetics of Acyclovir 138

7.8.2 Herpetic Epithelial Disease 139

7.8.3 Herpetic Stromal Disease .. 139

7.8.4 Herpetic Uveitis 139

7.8.5 Prevention of HSK Recurrence 140

7.8.6 Recurrence after Penetrating Keratoplasty 140

7.8.7 HSV Vaccines 141

7.8.7.1 Subunit Vaccines 142

7.8.7.2 Live and Killed Virus Vaccines 142

7.8.7.3 DNA Vaccines 142

7.8.7.4 Periocular Versus Systemic Vaccination 142

7.8.7.5 Therapeutic Vaccines 142

7.9 Conclusion 143

Chapter 8
Management of Ocular Mucous Membrane Pemphigoid

Valerie P.J. Saw, John K.G. Dart

8.1 Introduction 154

8.2 Diagnosis 154

8.3 Principles of Management 156

8.4 Inflammation Associated with Ocular Surface Disease 157

8.4.1 Blepharitis 157

8.4.2 Dry Eye 157

8.4.3 Filamentary Keratitis and Punctate Epithelial Keratitis 161

8.4.4 Keratinization 161

8.4.5 Trichiasis, Entropion, and Lagophthalmos 161

8.4.6 Persistent Epithelial Defects and Corneal Perforation 163

8.5 Infections 165

8.6 Toxicity 166

8.7 Systemic Immunosuppression for Immune-mediated Inflammation 166

8.8 Improving Vision 169

8.8.1 Contact Lenses 169

8.8.2 Cataract Surgery 172

8.8.3 Corneal Graft Surgery 173

8.8.4 Ocular Surface Reconstructive Surgery 174

8.8.5 Keratoprosthesis 174

8.9 Recommended Clinical Practice 175

Chapter 9
Adult Inclusion Conjunctivitis

Philippe Kestelyn

9.1 Introduction 179

9.2 Epidemiology 179

9.3 Clinical Picture 180

9.4 Laboratory Diagnosis 181

9.4.1 Culture Methods 182

9.4.2 Nonculture Methods 182

9.4.3 Serologic Tests 182

9.5 Treatment 182

Chapter 10
Chronic Blepharitis: Diagnosis, Pathogenesis, and New Treatment Options

Claudia Auw-Haedrich, Thomas Reinhard

10.1 Introduction 185

10.1.1 Clinical Course and Pathogenesis of Chronic Blepharitis 185

10.1.1.1 Anterior Blepharitis 185

10.1.1.2 Anterior-posterior Blepharitis 187

10.1.1.3 Posterior Blepharitis 187

10.1.1.4 Pathogenesis 189

10.1.2 Sequelae of Chronic Blepharitis 192

10.1.2.1 Dry Eye Syndrome 192

10.1.2.2 Corneal Involvement 193

10.1.2.3 Changes in the Cilia and Lid Position 194

10.2 Treatment 194

10.2.1 Mechanical Measures 194

10.2.2 Treatment of Accompanying Dry Eye Syndrome 194

10.2.3 Immunomodulatory Treatment 195

10.2.3.1 Steroids 195

10.2.3.2 Cyclosporin 195

10.2.3.3 FK506 and Pimecrolimus ... 195

10.2.4 Antibiotic Treatment 196

10.2.4.1 Topical Antibiotic Treatment 196

10.2.4.2 Systemic Antibiotic Treatment 196

10.2.5 Surgical/Invasive Treatment 196

10.3 Conclusion and Outlook ... 197

10.4 Current Clinical Practice and Recommendations 197

Chapter 11
New Aspects on the Pathogenesis of Conjunctival Melanoma

Stefan Seregard, Eugenio Triay

11.1 Introduction 201

11.1.1 Background 201

11.1.2 Conjunctival Melanocyte ... 201

11.1.3 Historical Setting 202

11.2 Precursor Lesions 202

11.2.1 Acquired Conjunctival Nevus 202

11.2.2 Primary Acquired Melanosis 203

11.2.3 Other Potential Precursor Lesions or Entities 204

11.3 Epidemiology of Conjunctival Melanoma 206

11.3.1 Incidence of Conjunctival Melanoma 206

11.3.2 Age and Gender Incidence 206

11.3.3 Ethnic and Regional Incidence Rates 206

11.3.4 Environmental Factors 207

11.3.5 Incidence Trends 207

11.4 Relationship to Melanoma Occurring in Other Species or Sites 208

11.4.1 Conjunctival Melanoma in Other Species 208

11.4.2 Mucous Membrane Melanoma 208

11.4.3 Cutaneous Melanoma 209

11.4.4 Uveal Melanoma 209

11.5 Molecular Events and Protein Expression in Conjunctival Melanoma 210

11.5.1 Mitogen-Activated Protein Kinase Pathway 210

11.5.2 Mutation of the p53 Gene and p53 Protein Overexpression 211

11.5.3 Other Molecular Studies ... 211

11.6 Potential Pathogenetic Pathways 211

11.6.1 Sunlight and UVR Exposure 211

11.6.2 Alternative Pathways 212

Chapter 12
In Vivo Confocal Microscopy in Healthy Conjunctiva, Conjunctivitis, and Conjunctival Tumors

Elisabeth M. Messmer

12.1 Introduction 217

12.2 In Vivo Confocal Microscopy of the Ocular Surface 218

12.2.1 In Vivo Confocal Microscopy of the Cornea 218

12.2.2 In Vivo Confocal Microscopy of the Conjunctiva 218

12.2.2.1 Normal Bulbar Conjunctiva 218

12.2.2.2 Normal Tarsal Conjunctiva 219

12.2.2.3 Normal Lid Margin 219

12.2.3 In Vivo Confocal Microscopy in Ocular Surface Inflammation 220

12.2.3.1 Acute and Chronic Conjunctivitis 220

12.2.3.2 Papillary Conjunctivitis ... 220

12.2.3.3	Follicular Conjunctivitis	220	12.2.5	In Vivo Confocal Microscopy in Melanocytic Tumors of the Ocular Surface	223
12.2.3.4	Cicatrizing Conjunctivitis . . .	221	12.2.5.1	Benign Melanocytic Tumors	224
12.2.3.5	Conjunctival Granuloma . . .	221	12.2.5.2	Malignant Melanocytic Tumors – Malignant Melanoma	225
12.2.3.6	Blepharitis	221	12.2.6	In Vivo Confocal Microscopy in Other Lesions of the Conjunctiva	225
12.2.4	In Vivo Confocal Microscopy in Epithelial Tumors of the Ocular Surface	222	12.2.6.1	Conjunctival Amyloidosis . .	225
12.2.4.1	Benign Epithelial Tumors . . .	222	12.2.6.2	Limbal Dermoid	226
12.2.4.2	Malignant Epithelial Tumors – Conjunctival Intraepithelial Neoplasia and Squamous Cell Carcinoma	222	Subject Index		229

Contributors

Claudia Auw-Haedrich, Dr.

Universitäts-Augenklinik
Killianstrasse 5
79106 Freiburg
Germany

W. John Armitage, MD, PhD

Department of Clinical Sciences
University of Bristol
Bristol B58 1TM
UK

Dirk Bauer

Department of Ophthalmology, St. Franziskus
Hospital
Hohenzollernring 74
48145 Münster
Germany

Anshoo Choudhary, MD

Unit of Ophthalmology
Department of Metabolic and Cellular Medicine
University of Liverpool
Duncan Building, Daulby Street
Liverpool L69 3GA
UK

John K.G. Dart, MA, DM, FRCS, FRCOphth

Moorfields Eye Hospital
162 City Road
London EC1V2PD
UK

Per Fagerholm, MD, PhD

University of Ottawa Eye Institute
The Ottawa Hospital, General Campus
501 Smyth Road
Ottawa, Ontario K1H 8L6
Canada

May Griffith, MD, PhD

University of Ottawa Eye Institute
The Ottawa Hospital, General Campus
501 Smyth Road
Ottawa, Ontario K1H 8L6
Canada

Arnd Heiligenhaus, MD

Department of Ophthalmology
St. Franziskus Hospital
Hohenzollernring 74
48145 Münster
Germany

Carsten Heinz, Dr.

Department of Ophthalmology
St. Franziskus Hospital
Hohenzollernring 74
48145 Münster
Germany

Gareth T. Higgins, MD

St. Paul's Eye Unit
Royal Liverpool University Hospital
Prescot Street
Liverpool L7 8XP
UK

Albert S. Jun, MD, PhD

Cornea and External Disease Service, Wilmer/
Woods 474, Wilmer Eye Institute
Johns Hopkins Medical Institutions
600 N. Wolfe Street
Baltimore, MD 21287
USA

Stephen B. Kaye, MD

St. Paul's Eye Unit/Department of Medical
Microbiology, Royal Liverpool University Hospital
Prescot Street
Liverpool L7 8XP
UK

Philippe Kestelyn, MD

Department of Ophthalmology
Ghent University Hospital
Ghent
Belgium

Achim Langenbucher, Prof. Dr.

Department of Medical Physics
Henkestrasse 91
91052 Erlangen
Germany

Fengfu Li, MD

University of Ottawa Eye Institute
The Ottawa Hospital
General Campus
501 Smyth Road
Ottawa, Ontario K1H 8L6
Canada

Wenguang Liu, MD

University of Ottawa Eye Institute
The Ottawa Hospital
General Campus
501 Smyth Road
Ottawa, Ontario K1H 8L6
Canada

Christopher R. McLaughlin, MD

University of Ottawa Eye Institute
The Ottawa Hospital
General Campus
501 Smyth Road
Ottawa, Ontario K1H 8L6
Canada

Daniel Meller, Prof. Dr.

Department of Ophthalmology
University of Duisburg-Essen
45122 Essen
Germany

Elisabeth M. Messmer, PD, Dr.

Department of Ophthalmology
Ludwig-Maximilians-University
Mathildenstrasse 8
80336 München
Germany

Francis L. Munier, MD, PhD

Jules-Gonin Eye Hospital/Department
of Ophthalmology, University of Lausanne
1015 Lausanne
Switzerland

Thomas Reinhard Prof. Dr.

Universitäts-Augenklinik
Killianstrasse 5
79106 Freiburg
Germany

Valerie P.J. Saw, FRANZCO

Moorfields Eye Hospital
162 City Road
London EC1V2PD
UK

Klaus Schmitz, Dr.

Department of Ophthalmology
University of Duisburg-Essen
45122 Essen
Germany

Daniel F. Schorderet, MD

Department of Ophthalmology, University
of Lausanne/IRO – Institut de Recherche en
Ophthalmologie, Sion/EPFL – Ecole polytechnique
fédérale de Lausanne
1015 Lausanne
Switzerland

Berthold Seitz, MD, FEBO

Department of Ophthalmology
University of Saarland
Kirrbergerstrasse 1, Building 22
66421 Homburg/Saar
Germany

Stefan Seregard, MD, PhD

St. Eriks Eye Hospital
Polhemsgatan 50
112 82 Stockholm
Sweden

Leejee H. Suh, MD

Cornea and External Disease Service, Wilmer/
Woods 474, Wilmer Eye Institute, Johns Hopkins
Medical Institutions
600 N. Wolfe Street
Baltimore, MD 21287
USA

Christoph Tappeiner, Dr.

Department of Ophthalmology
University of Duisburg-Essen
45122 Essen
Germany

Eugenio Triay, MD

St. Eriks Eye Hospital
Polhemsgatan 50
112 82 Stockholm
Sweden

M. Vaughn Emerson, MD

Cornea and External Disease Service, Wilmer/
Woods 474, Wilmer Eye Institute, Johns Hopkins
Medical Institutions
600 N. Wolfe Street
Baltimore, MD 21287
USA

Fuchs Endothelial Dystrophy: Pathogenesis and Management

Leejee H. Suh, M. Vaughn Emerson, Albert S. Jun

Core Messages

- Fuchs endothelial dystrophy (FED) is a progressive disorder of the corneal endothelium with accumulation of focal excrescences called guttae and thickening of Descemet's membrane, leading to stromal edema and loss of vision
- The inheritance of FED is autosomal dominant, with modifiers such as increased prevalence in the elderly and in females
- Corneal endothelial cells are the major "pump" cells of the cornea that allow for stromal clarity
- Descemet's membrane is grossly thickened in FED, with accumulation of abnormal wide-spaced collagen and numerous guttae
- Corneal endothelial cells in end-stage FED are reduced in number and appear attenuated, causing progressive stromal edema
- Symptoms include visual blurring predominantly in the morning with stromal and epithelial edema from relatively low tear film osmolality
- FED can be classified into four stages, from early signs of guttae formation to end-stage subepithelial scarring
- Diagnosis is made by biomicroscopic examination; other modalities, such as corneal pachymetry, confocal microscopy, and specular microscopy can be used in conjunction
- Exact pathogenesis is unknown, but possible factors include endothelial cell apoptosis, sex hormones, inflammation, and aqueous humor flow and composition
- Mutations in collagen VIII, a major component of Descemet's membrane secreted by endothelial cells, have been linked to FED
- Medical management includes topical hypertonic saline, the use of a hairdryer to dehydrate the precorneal tear film, and therapeutic soft contact lenses
- Definitive treatment is surgical in the form of penetrating keratoplasty (PK)
- New surgical modalities such as various forms of endothelial keratoplasty are gaining popularity in the treatment of FED
- DLEK and DSEK avoid the surgical complications of PK, such as wound dehiscence, suture breakage/infection and high postoperative astigmatism
- Future directions in the treatment of FED include gene or cell therapy and continued advances in endothelial keratoplasty

1.1 Introduction

Fuchs endothelial dystrophy (FED) is a primary, progressive disorder of the corneal endothelium that results in corneal edema and loss of vision. The initial stages of FED typically begin in the fifth through seventh decades of life and are characterized by progressive accumulation of focal excrescences, termed “guttae,” and thickening of Descemet’s membrane, a collagen-rich layer secreted by endothelial cells. Eventually, there is loss of endothelial cell density and functionality as the “pump” of the cornea, causing vision-threatening corneal edema. Although corneal guttae are not pathognomonic for FED, the development of stromal edema defines this disorder.

1.2 Historical Perspective

In 1902 Ernst Fuchs initially described the disorder that would later bear his name, and he postulated that this disease of the elderly was related to changes in the posterior cornea that allowed for increased fluid movement from the aqueous into the corneal stroma [11]. He later published a case series of 13 patients with FED in which he suggested pathologic involvement of both the endothelial and epithelial corneal layers [12]. After the introduction of the slit-lamp biomicroscope in 1911, Vogt was the first to report detailed biomicroscopic observations of FED and coined the term “cornea guttata,” in reference to focal excrescences on the endothelial surface, which when confluent, resembled beaten bronze [58]. The natural progression of FED from isolated, asymptomatic guttae to the formation of corneal edema with painful loss of vision was first noted in 1953 [53]. These and other important observations led to the understanding of FED as a primary disease of the corneal endothelium with secondary involvement of the other layers of the cornea.

1.3 Epidemiology and Inheritance

The prevalence of FED is difficult to estimate given its later onset, slow progression, and lack

of symptoms in the early stages. Furthermore, mild guttae can occur in normal individuals in such conditions as aging, ocular trauma, ocular inflammation, and glaucoma. In a large study of 2002 normal individuals, Lorenzetti et al. found scattered central guttae in 0.18% of eyes in those between the ages of 20 and 39, and in 3.9% of eyes in those above 40 years of age [33]. Despite the lack of an accurate estimate of the prevalence of FED, it remains one of the most common indications for corneal transplantation, accounting for up to 29% of cases [1].

Fuchs endothelial dystrophy can be either sporadic or hereditary. In hereditary cases, the inheritance of FED has been demonstrated to be autosomal dominant, with penetrance as high as 100% [10, 35]. In a large study of 228 relatives from 64 pedigrees with FED, Krachmer et al. observed that 38% of first-degree relatives over 40 years of age were affected, suggesting autosomal dominant inheritance with possible genetic or environmental modifiers [30]. Some studies, including Fuchs’ original case series, also report an increased prevalence and severity in female patients [12, 30, 49]. This may reflect a possible recruitment bias or a physiologic effect of sex hormones on corneal endothelial cell function and survival [1, 62]. The incidence of FED has been reported to be similar among white and black patients, and much lower in Japanese individuals [17]. Central corneal guttae have been reported in Japanese individuals and significant vision loss is rare in these patients [29].

Summary for the Clinician

- Corneal guttae can be present in non-affected individuals and are associated with conditions such as aging, inflammation, trauma, and glaucoma
- Fuchs endothelial dystrophy is defined as the accumulation of corneal guttae with stromal edema
- Inheritance of FED is autosomal dominant, but sporadic forms can occur

1.4 Pathology

The corneal endothelium is a neural crest-derived cellular monolayer that utilizes an ATP-dependent pump to maintain physiologic stromal hydration necessary for corneal clarity [13, 61]. Corneal endothelial cells in humans do not normally proliferate in vivo [25, 26]. Corneal endothelial cells are normally lost throughout life at an estimated rate of 0.6% per year, although higher rates of cell loss occur in the settings of trauma (both surgical and nonsurgical) and primary endotheliopathies [3, 7]. Corneal endothelial cell loss is compensated for through flattening and enlargement of remaining cells without cell division in order to maintain a continuous monolayer [61].

The corneal endothelial cells in end-stage FED are reduced in number and appear thinned with attenuated nuclei, as seen by light microscopy (Fig. 1.1) [17]. With scanning electron microscopy, corneal endothelial cells show evidence of degeneration with large vacuoles and swollen organelles with disrupted membranes [17]. Corneal endothelial cells also demonstrate dilated sacs of endoplasmic reticulum filled with a finely granular material along with a marked increase in cytoplasmic filaments and ribosomes, suggesting transformation to a fibroblastic cell type [17, 20, 62].

Normal corneal endothelial cells produce Descemet's membrane, beginning in utero and continuing throughout postnatal life [34]. Histologically and ultrastructurally, Descemet's membrane consists of an anterior "banded" zone subjacent to the corneal stroma and containing 110 nm of banded collagen and a posterior "non-banded" zone that lies anterior to the corneal endothelium [62]. At birth, the thickness of the anterior banded zone is approximately 3 μm , and this varies little throughout life [62]. In contrast, the thickness of the posterior nonbanded zone increases from approximately 3 μm at age 20 to 10 μm at age 80 [9], reflecting the ongoing synthesis and deposition of Descemet's membrane by the corneal endothelium [22].

Normal Descemet's membrane contains collagen IV, collagen VIII, fibronectin, entactin, laminin, and perlecan [31, 32]. The supramolecular structure of Descemet's membrane resembles

stacks of hexagonal lattices arranged parallel to the surface of the membrane [52]. Monoclonal antibody analysis has shown the lattice array of Descemet's membrane to be composed of collagen VIII, a nonfibrillar short chain collagen [50, 52].

The abnormalities of Descemet's membrane are a striking feature of FED. Descemet's membrane is invariably thickened in FED up to 20 μm or greater [62]. Thickened Descemet's membrane also contains numerous focal excrescences (guttatae) along its posterior surface (Fig. 1.2a).

Descemet's membrane also differs strikingly from normal on electron microscopy. In addition to a relatively normal anterior banded zone produced in fetal life, the posterior nonbanded zone of Descemet's membrane is attenuated or absent in FED and is replaced by a markedly thickened posterior collagenous layer with an average thickness of 16.6 μm (Fig. 1.3a) [7, 20]. The posterior collagenous layer is characterized by a diffuse, granular banding pattern, focal posterior guttae, and the accumulation of spindle-shaped bundles with 110-nm collagen banding, known as wide-spaced collagen (Fig. 1.3b) [7]. The composition of wide-spaced collagen in the posterior collagenous layer of FED corneas was shown by immunoelectron microscopy to be collagen VIII [31].

Summary for the Clinician

- Corneal endothelium is a monolayer of cells that acts as the major pump to de-turgescence the cornea and ensure clarity
- There is a normal attrition rate of endothelial cells of 0.6% per year; the rate is accelerated in FED
- Normal endothelial cells produce Descemet's membrane, made up of an anterior banded zone and posterior non-banded zone, the latter of which expands with age
- In FED, Descemet's membrane is abnormally thickened, with attenuation or absence of the posterior nonbanded zone and replacement with abnormal collagen, known as wide-spaced collagen




Fig. 1.1 **a** Light microscopy section of a normal human cornea. Note numerous endothelial cell nuclei lining the posterior surface (*arrow*). **b** Light microscopy section of FED cornea. Note the markedly thickened Descemet's membrane and the absence of endothelial cell nuclei on the posterior surface (*dashed arrow*). (Photos courtesy of W. Richard Green, M.D.)




Fig. 1.2 **a** Slit-lamp biomicroscopy of stage I Fuchs endothelial dystrophy (FED; see Table 1.1). Note scattered, punctate, refractile endothelial guttae to the left of the *arrow*. **b** Stage III FED. Note thickening of the cornea, with the irregular surface and epithelial bullae indicated by scattered surface reflection (*dashed arrow*). (Photos courtesy of Walter J. Stark, M.D.)




Fig. 1.3 **a** Low power electron micrograph of Descemet's membrane from a FED patient. Note the normal anterior banded zone (*arrow*), the markedly thickened and diffusely banded posterior collagenous zone (PCL; *dashed arrow*), and the focal posterior excrescences (guttae, *asterisks*). **b** High-power electron micrograph of PCL showing a spindle-shaped bundle with 110-nm collagen banding (wide-spaced collagen, *white arrow*). (Photos courtesy of W. Richard Green, M.D.)

1.5 Clinical Findings

The earliest clinical signs of FED include few (<10), central, focal excrescences (guttae) of Descemet's membrane (Fig. 1.2a). Over decades, accumulation of guttae coincides with the normal, gradual attrition of corneal endothelial cells occurring throughout postnatal life. Normal adult central corneal endothelial cell density is approximately 2,500 cells/mm², and a density of approximately 500–1,000 cells/mm² is the minimum threshold for physiologic corneal deturgescence. Once this threshold is crossed, corneal edema occurs, resulting in loss of vision and pain due to formation of epithelial bullae (Fig. 1.2b).

The clinical course of FED can be divided into four stages (Table 1.1) [1]. Stage I is characterized by biomicroscopic evidence of central corneal guttae, with a possibly thickened, grayish Descemet's membrane (Fig. 1.2a). At this stage, the patient is asymptomatic. In stage II disease, the vision may be predominantly blurred in the morning because of decreased tear evaporation, which lowers tear film osmolality when the eyes are closed [36]. Stromal and epithelial edema is notable on biomicroscopy. Stage III and IV disease are characterized by the presence of epithelial bullae, which cause pain upon rupture (Fig. 1.2b). Stage IV is distinguished by the presence of subepithelial scar tissue, resulting in further worsening of visual acuity, but relief from pain.

The diagnosis of FED is made principally on the basis of the biomicroscopic examination. Other modalities that have been used in conjunction with slit-lamp biomicroscopy include corneal pachymetry, confocal microscopy, and noncontact specular microscopy. Corneal pachymetry measures are of limited utility given the wide variation in corneal thickness of normal individuals. The greatest utility of pachymetry is in the consideration of penetrating keratoplasty (PK) in known or suspected FED patients being evaluated for cataract surgery (see Sect. 1.8).

Confocal microscopy and noncontact specular microscopy rely on slightly different methods of light emission and different patterns of light reflection at the interface between Descemet's membrane and corneal endothelial cells. The absence of corneal endothelial cells adjacent to and overlying guttae leads to transmission of light without reflection in these areas. Corneal endothelial cells (Fig. 1.4a) and corneal guttae (Fig. 1.4b) can be easily demonstrated with confocal microscopy. Both confocal and specular microscopy can aid in demonstrating corneal endothelial cell polymorphism and pleomorphism, as well as measuring endothelial cell density. These characteristics have potential clinical and research applications as markers of disease progression.

Confocal microscopy is superior to specular microscopy for evaluating the corneal endothelial layer in the setting of corneal stromal edema [8, 16]. However, the benefits of specular mi-

Table 1.1 Clinical stages of Fuchs endothelial dystrophy^a

Stage	Symptoms	Clinical findings	Visual acuity
Stage I	No symptoms	Few to moderate corneal guttae	Normal (20/20)
Stage II	Mild to moderate loss of vision, no pain	Moderate to numerous corneal guttae, mild corneal edema	Mild to moderate reduction (20/20 to 20/80)
Stage III	Moderate to severe loss of vision and pain	Confluent corneal guttae, moderate to severe corneal edema, epithelial bullae	Moderate to severe reduction (20/100 to 20/400)
Stage IV	Severe loss of vision, reduced pain	Subepithelial scar, fewer epithelial bullae	Severe reduction (20/400 or worse)

^aAdapted from [1].




Fig. 1.4 **a** In vivo confocal microscopy image of normal corneal endothelial cells (CECs). Note ordered, hexagonal array of cells. **b** Confocal microscopy image of CECs in FED. Note the numerous excrescences (guttata) of Descemet's membrane as well as the irregular size and shape of the cells

scopy over confocal microscopy include its relative cost-effectiveness and its ease of use [8]. Neither modality is effective in cases of extreme corneal edema or stromal opacity [16]. In addition, the utility of these auxiliary tests in the diagnosis of FED is primarily in unusual cases, as the diagnosis can usually be made on the basis of slit-lamp biomicroscopy.

Summary for the Clinician

- Diagnosis of FED is primarily made by the appearance of guttae with or without corneal edema on biomicroscopy
- Fuchs endothelial dystrophy can be classified into four stages: (I) presence of subclinical central guttae; (II) presence of stromal and epithelial edema; (III) presence of epithelial bullae; (IV) presence of subepithelial scarring

1.6 Pathophysiology and Genetics

Studies of FED have been predominantly limited to end-stage corneas because milder cases are asymptomatic and therefore less readily available for clinicopathologic correlation. Many of the observations likely reflect complex secondary changes occurring as a result of corneal endothelial cell decompensation. Furthermore, initiating events are largely unexplored, and virtually no

information exists about early cellular and extracellular matrix changes leading to corneal endothelial cell loss.

Using scanning fluorophotometry, Wilson et al. demonstrated a decreased endothelial pump rate in corneas with advanced FED [63]. McCartney et al. demonstrated a decline in the density of ATPase pump sites in the basolateral corneal endothelial cell membranes [39]. Nucleus labeling, transmission electron microscopy, and TUNEL assays were used to demonstrate apoptosis in corneal endothelial cells of advanced stage FED corneas [6]. Serial analysis of gene expression studies of FED corneal endothelial cells demonstrated decreased transcripts related to apoptosis defense and mitochondrial energy production [14]. Whether corneal endothelial cell apoptosis is primary in the pathogenesis of FED or secondary to an abnormality of the basement membrane remains to be elucidated. Other proposed factors with unclear relevance include fibrinogen/fibrin, reduced sulfur content and increased calcium of Descemet's membrane, aqueous humor flow/composition, sex hormones, and inflammation [5].

To date, only mutations in the $\alpha 2$ collagen VIII (COL8A2) gene have been identified as causing FED [4, 15]. Biswas et al. performed genetic linkage analysis of a pedigree with three affected generations and identified an FED locus on chromosome 1p34.2-p32. DNA sequencing revealed a mutation in the COL8A2 gene resulting in a substitution of glutamine with lysine at amino acid 455 (Q455K). This

mutation cosegregated with FED in this pedigree and was absent in 244 ethnically matched control individuals [4]. The COL8A2 gene was sequenced in 115 additional unrelated FED patients, with a total of 8 individuals demonstrating mutations in the COL8A2 gene [4]. Gottsch et al. performed genetic linkage analysis in a large early-onset FED pedigree originally described by Magovern [35] and identified a second point mutation in COL8A2 at amino acid 450, resulting in a substitution of leucine with tryptophan (L450W) [15]. In contrast to common FED, members of this pedigree had an earlier onset of disease with children as young as 3 years of age affected and with distinct features such as a fine, patchy distribution of guttae.

Collagen VIII is a major component of normal Descemet's membrane and forms the abnormally thick posterior collagenous layer in FED corneas. Furthermore, the characteristic aggregates of wide-spaced collagen in Descemet's membrane of FED consist of collagen VIII [31]. Based on the implied functional effects of these mutations, one pathophysiologic hypothesis is that amino acid substitutions reduce the turnover of COL8A2, resulting in the abnormal accumulation of collagen VIII and abnormalities of Descemet's membrane. These abnormalities eventually become incompatible with endothelial cell function and survival, resulting in apoptosis. If this model proves accurate, additional candidate genes for FED could include other protein constituents of Descemet's membrane. To date, however, no published reports have associated mutations in these genes with FED.

Alternatively, the accumulation of collagen VIII in FED may occur as a secondary response to another primary insult to the endothelium. This possibility is consistent with the observation that a posterior collagenous layer of Descemet's membrane, presumably composed of collagen VIII, is present in other hereditary and acquired diseases of the corneal endothelium [23, 31, 38, 48]. Thus, a more complex relationship may exist between corneal endothelial cell dysfunction and abnormal accumulations of collagenous material in Descemet's membrane [15, 31].

Summary for the Clinician

- The pathogenesis of FED is unclear. Possible factors include sex hormones, inflammation, and endothelial cell apoptosis
- Collagen VIII is a major component of Descemet's membrane and is secreted by healthy and pathologic corneal endothelial cells
- Mutations in collagen VIII have been linked to FED

1.7 Differential Diagnosis

The diagnosis of FED is based on clinical findings, mainly slit-lamp biomicroscopy. Distinguishing FED from other entities is important because the diagnosis has implications for treatment and prognosis of both patients and their family members. Other entities that must be differentiated from FED include other posterior dystrophies, including posterior polymorphous dystrophy. In this autosomal dominant condition, groups of small round vesicles are found at the level of the endothelium, interspersed with sheets of gray material within Descemet's membrane [60]. This condition is not generally associated with stromal or epithelial edema or corneal guttae.

Another form of endothelial dystrophy, congenital hereditary endothelial dystrophy, is present at birth or early in postnatal life and is characterized by edema of the entire cornea and severe visual impairment [60]. Hassall-Henle bodies have the same appearance as guttae, but are located only in the peripheral cornea and are not associated with progressive visual loss or corneal edema [23]. Aphakic and pseudophakic bullous keratopathies are caused by endothelial cell dysfunction related to trauma during or after cataract extraction and presuppose a normal corneal endothelium prior to cataract extraction [23]. Inflammatory diseases, such as anterior uveitis or interstitial keratitis, may be mistaken for FED and can be differentiated by resolution of keratic precipitates with proper treatment in the case of anterior uveitis, or on the basis of se-

1 rologic testing for syphilis in the case of interstitial keratitis [59].

1.8 Management

1.8.1 Medical

Early treatment modalities are not specific for FED, but are commonly applied to all etiologies of corneal epithelial and stromal edema. These approaches involve artificially raising the osmolality of the tear film and include hypertonic saline solutions and ointments, as well as the use of a hairdryer in the morning to dehydrate the precorneal tear film [62]. The use of therapeutic soft contact lenses may help in relieving the pain from recurrent epithelial erosions, while decreasing irregular astigmatism in cases that have progressed to bullous keratopathy [62]. The use of cycloplegics and nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory agents may also aid in diminishing corneal pain from bullous keratopathy. The use of intraocular pressure-lowering medications may reduce corneal edema in patients with elevated or even normal intraocular pressure [1].

1.8.2 Surgical

If conservative management options do not provide adequate clarity of the visual axis or alleviation of discomfort or pain, surgical options may be considered [37]. PK has been regarded as the definitive procedure in patients with corneal decompensation due to FED. In one study of PK in patients with FED, the proportion of patients with visual acuity of 20/40 or better was 50% at 3 months postoperatively, and increased to 80% by 24 months [47]. The authors attribute this improvement over time to corneal healing, suture removal, and fitting of rigid contact lenses. A 10-year follow-up study of 908 patients who underwent PK for FED found a graft survival rate of 97% at 5 years and 90% at 10 years [56]. The most common cause of graft failure in these patients was endothelial rejection, followed by non-immunologic endothelial failure. Uncorrectable irregular astigmatism was another leading cause of poor postoperative visual acuity. Others have

reported graft survival rates of 89% at a mean follow-up of 8.4 years [44], and 81% after 10 years' follow-up [18] in patients with FED.

However, visual function after PK may not be dramatically improved, as one study found 42% of patients who had undergone corneal transplant for FED had visual acuities of worse than 20/200 at an average of 50 months after surgery [42]. The disparity between these results suggests that outcomes may be operator-dependent, and surgeons with more experience tend to have better results [57].

Because many patients with FED and corneal decompensation also have cataracts, attention has turned toward combined versus staged surgical management of the cataract and cornea. It has been suggested that combined procedures in the hands of an experienced surgeon have the same outcome as staged procedures with PK preceding cataract extraction [2]. The American Academy of Ophthalmology suggests that corneal thickness measurements greater than 600 μm portend a poor prognosis following cataract surgery and recommends consideration of combined cataract extraction and PK in these patients [21]. However, in the hands of a skilled surgeon, one study suggests that cataract extraction may be safely performed in patients with corneal thickness measurements up to 640 μm [51].

New posterior lamellar techniques to selectively replace diseased endothelium, as in FED, have been developed and are gaining popularity over traditional PK. In 1998, Melles described "posterior lamellar keratoplasty" or PLK, which consisted of manually dissecting both recipient and donor tissues at 80–90% stromal depth and transplanting the donor posterior lamellar disc through a scleral incision [40]. This technique was later modified and popularized as deep lamellar endothelial keratoplasty (DLEK) by Terry and Ousley [54].

Deep lamellar endothelial keratoplasty has the advantage over PK of being a "sutureless" technique, thereby avoiding the potential infectious and refractive complications associated with sutures. This technique also has the advantage of maintaining the tensile strength of the cornea, which is not possible with PK. The largest disadvantage of DLEK is its technical difficulty, even for highly-experienced anterior segment sur-

geons, and the potential media opacity created by irregularities in the lamellar dissection stage of the procedure. Early results of DLEK are encouraging, with mean best corrected visual acuities of 20/46 and 20/50 and mean average astigmatism of 1.34 and 2.3 D at 6 and 12 months respectively [43, 55].

More recently, Descemet's stripping with endothelial keratoplasty, or DSEK, has been developed, which eliminates the need to perform the recipient lamellar dissection and posterior button excision in DLEK. DSEK replaces the sometimes laborious lamellar dissection of the recipient cornea by simply stripping Descemet's membrane and endothelium, a maneuver first introduced in 2004 by Melles et al. [41]. The folded donor posterior lamellar button is then inserted into the recipient anterior chamber and allowed to unfold adjacent to the bare stromal surface, while maintaining the proper endothelial orientation. An intracameral air bubble is placed to promote

attachment of the disc to the recipient stromal bed, and the patient is maintained in the supine position postoperatively. With attachment of the donor posterior lamellar disc, the donor endothelial cells deturgesce the recipient stroma and epithelium, allowing for a clear cornea (Fig. 1.5). Anterior chamber optical coherence tomography (AC-OCT) can be utilized to demonstrate attachment of the donor posterior disc (Fig. 1.6).

Initially, the donor lamellar button for DSEK was created with manual lamellar dissection. Recently, however, preparation of the donor endothelial button has been simplified by use of an automated microkeratome to cut the corneal button mounted on an artificial chamber. This variation has been termed Descemet's stripping with automated endothelial keratoplasty (DSAEK). However, the terms DSEK and DSAEK have generally become interchangeable, as use of the automated microkeratome for donor button preparation has gained popularity.




Fig. 1.5 **a** Slit-lamp examination of a cornea after Descemet's stripping with endothelial keratoplasty (DSEK) shows a clear stroma with a remaining air bubble that resolves postoperatively. **b** Slit-lamp beam shows thinning of the recipient stroma with an attached donor posterior disc. (Photos courtesy of William W. Culbertson, M.D.)




Fig. 1.6 Anterior chamber optical coherence tomography (AC-OCT) image shows a cross-section of a recipient cornea with an attached donor posterior disc. (Image courtesy of William W. Culbertson, M.D.)