



# New Speakers of Minority Languages

Cassie Smith-Christmas  
Noel P. Ó Murchadha • Michael Hornsby  
Máiréad Moriarty  
Editors

# New Speakers of Minority Languages

Linguistic Ideologies and Practices

palgrave  
macmillan

*Editors*

Cassie Smith-Christmas  
University of Limerick  
Limerick, Ireland

Noel P. Ó Murchadha  
Trinity College Dublin  
Dublin, Ireland

Michael Hornsby  
Adam Mickiewicz University  
Poznań, Poland

Máiréad Moriarty  
University of Limerick  
Limerick, Ireland

ISBN 978-1-137-57557-9      ISBN 978-1-137-57558-6 (eBook)  
<https://doi.org/10.1057/978-1-137-57558-6>

Library of Congress Control Number: 2017954728

© The Editor(s) (if applicable) and The Author(s) 2018

The author(s) has/have asserted their right(s) to be identified as the author(s) of this work in accordance with the Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988.

This work is subject to copyright. All rights are solely and exclusively licensed by the Publisher, whether the whole or part of the material is concerned, specifically the rights of translation, reprinting, reuse of illustrations, recitation, broadcasting, reproduction on microfilms or in any other physical way, and transmission or information storage and retrieval, electronic adaptation, computer software, or by similar or dissimilar methodology now known or hereafter developed.

The use of general descriptive names, registered names, trademarks, service marks, etc. in this publication does not imply, even in the absence of a specific statement, that such names are exempt from the relevant protective laws and regulations and therefore free for general use.

The publisher, the authors and the editors are safe to assume that the advice and information in this book are believed to be true and accurate at the date of publication. Neither the publisher nor the authors or the editors give a warranty, express or implied, with respect to the material contained herein or for any errors or omissions that may have been made. The publisher remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Cover design by Tjaša Krivec

Printed on acid-free paper

This Palgrave Macmillan imprint is published by Springer Nature  
The registered company is Macmillan Publishers Ltd.

The registered company address is: The Campus, 4 Crinan Street, London, N1 9XW, United Kingdom

# Acknowledgements

Bringing this volume to fruition would not have been possible without the support of the EU COST Action IS1306 ‘New Speakers in a Multilingual Europe: Opportunities and Challenges’. This network has allowed researchers from across Europe the chance to come together and embark on collaborative work on multilingualism. A number of chapters in this volume (Atkinson; Dunmore; Kennard; Nance; Ó hÍfeárnáin; Moal, Walsh, and Ó Murchadha; O’ Rourke and Ramallo; Sallabank and Marquis; Selleck; and Smith-Christmas) had their naissance in two panels, one on linguistic ideologies and one on linguistic practices, at the whole action meeting in Barcelona in 2014. Since then, the ideas that have formed these chapters have continued to grow, and through fruitful dialogue, the authors have produced the chapters you see in this volume. As well, network members who were not in the panels but who nonetheless have played a large role in advancing our understanding of ‘new speakers’ (Birmingham, Carty, Lantto) have come to play a vital part of this volume.

The editors would like to extend their deepest gratitude to action chairs Bernie O’Rourke and Joan Pujolar for the inception of the network and their continued dedication towards it. We would also like to extend the warmest thanks to Sara Brennan, who has worked tirelessly over the years in dealing with the administrative side of the network. We would also like to thank Working Group 10 leader James Costa for his valuable insights as well as Wilson McLeod for his support.

# Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>New Speakers, Familiar Concepts?</b>	<b>1</b>
	<i>Noel P. Ó Murchadha, Michael Hornsby, Cassie Smith-Christmas, and Máiréad Moriarty</i>	
	New Speakers and the Dynamics of Late Modern Society	1
	Defining the ‘New Speaker’	4
	Authority, Authenticity, and the New Speaker	5
	New Speakers and Minority Languages	7
	Structure of the Volume	12
	References	17
<b>2</b>	<b>New Gaelic Speakers, New Gaels? Ideologies and Ethnolinguistic Continuity in Contemporary Scotland</b>	<b>23</b>
	<i>Stuart Dunmore</i>	
	Introduction: Theoretical Approaches to Language	
	Revitalisation and Bilingual Education	23
	Research Context: GME and Gaelic in Twenty-First-Century Scotland	26
	Method	29
	Gàidheil ùra: ‘New Gaels’?	31
	New Speakers: Domains of Use?	33

New Speakers of Gaelic: (New) Gaels?	35
Discussion: Gaels and ‘Xians’ in Twenty-First-Century Scotland	38
Conclusions	39
References	41
<b>3 ‘We’re Not Fully Welsh’: Hierarchies of Belonging and ‘New’ Speakers of Welsh</b>	<b>45</b>
<i>Charlotte Selleck</i>	
Introduction	45
Welsh Language Policy	47
The Research Context	51
Language Policy in the English School: ‘Flexible Bilingualism’	54
Complications, Contradictions, and Contestation	55
Conclusion	60
References	63
<b>4 ‘We Don’t Say It Like That’: Language Ownership and (De)Legitimising the New Speaker</b>	<b>67</b>
<i>Julia Sallabank and Yan Marquis</i>	
Introduction and Background	67
Language Ideologies in Guernsey	72
Creating a ‘New Speaker’ Community	78
Conclusions	83
References	87
<b>5 Identities and New Speakers of Minority Languages: A Focus on Galician</b>	<b>91</b>
<i>Bernadette O’Rourke and Fernando Ramallo</i>	
Introduction	91
New Speakers of Galician	93
Identities	96
New Speakers Versus Native Speaker Identities	100
References	106

<b>6</b>	<b>Double New Speakers? Language Ideologies of Immigrant Students in Galicia</b>	111
	<i>Nicola Bermingham</i>	
	Introduction: Situating Immigrant New Speakers of Galician	111
	Socio-Historical Context of Language Planning in Galicia	113
	Research Site: As Rocas	115
	Spanish as a Prestigious and Universal Language	117
	Issues of Authenticity and Anonymity	121
	Conclusions	124
	Appendix: Transcription Conventions	126
	References	127
<b>7</b>	<b>Land, Language and Migration: World War II Evacuees as New Speakers of Scottish Gaelic</b>	131
	<i>Cassie Smith-Christmas</i>	
	Land and Language: A Powerful Metaphor	131
	Land, Language, and Migration in a Scottish Gaelic Context	133
	What Constitutes a ‘Gaelic-Speaking Area’?	135
	Interviews	137
	Evacuees Marked as Non-native Speakers	137
	Language and the Experience of Place	143
	Transcription Conventions	145
	References	146
<b>8</b>	<b>The Ideological Construction of Boundaries Between Speakers, and Their Varieties</b>	151
	<i>Tadhg Ó hIfearnáin</i>	
	Language Ideologies	151
	At This Juncture of Time and Space	153
	Space and Place	154
	Porous and Impermeable Boundaries	157
	Rights of Speakers	161
	The Traditional Language and the New Speaker	162
	References	163



<b>9</b>	<b>New Basques and Code-Switching: Purist Tendencies, Social Pressures</b>	165
	<i>Hanna Lantto</i>	
	Introduction	165
	Basque Revitalisation and New Basques	166
	Data and Methods	168
	Results: New Basques' CS	169
	Code-Switching Patterns in New Basques' Spontaneous Speech Data	174
	Discussion: CS and Speaker Trajectories	181
	References	184
<b>10</b>	<b>New Speakers and Language in the Media: Audience Design in Breton and Irish Broadcast Media</b>	189
	<i>Stefan Moal, Noel P. Ó Murchadha, and John Walsh</i>	
	Introduction	189
	Irish-Medium Radio: <i>RíRá ar RnaG</i>	191
	Post-traditional Speech in Irish	192
	<i>RíRá ar RnaG</i> : A Cultural and Linguistic Outlier on Raidió na Gaeltachta	193
	Breton-Medium Radio: Tud deus ar Vro and Breizh O Pluriel	197
	Breton Radio: A Site of Interaction Between Traditional and Post-traditional Speech Practices	199
	Discussion	205
	Conclusion	208
	References	210
<b>11</b>	<b>Linguistic Innovation Among Glasgow Gaelic New Speakers</b>	213
	<i>Claire Nance</i>	
	Introduction	213
	Analysis	215
	Discussion and Conclusions	223
	References	226

<b>12</b>	<b>Verbal Lenition Among Young Speakers of Breton: Acquisition and Maintenance</b>	231
	<i>Holly J. Kennard</i>	
	Breton: Decline and Revitalisation	231
	The Study	236
	Use of Mutation	238
	Discussion	246
	Conclusions	248
	References	249
<b>13</b>	<b>New Speakers, Potential New Speakers, and Their Experiences and Abilities in Scottish Gaelic</b>	253
	<i>Nicola Carty</i>	
	Introduction	253
	Issues in ‘New Speakerness’ and L2 Proficiency	254
	Study Design	255
	Frequency, Type of Use, and Learning Trajectories	258
	Proficiency	259
	Discussion and Conclusion	263
	Appendix 1: Communicative Adequacy Scale	265
	Appendix 2: Spearman’s Rho Results for D and CAF Measures and Communicative Adequacy	267
	References	267
<b>14</b>	<b>New Speakers and Linguistic Practices: Contexts, Definitions, and Issues</b>	271
	<i>David Atkinson</i>	
	Contextualising Studies of New Speaker Practices	272
	Definitions and Ascription	274
	‘Deficit’: (In)Competence, (In)Authenticity, Ownership, and Indexicality	277
	Future Directions	280
	References	281

<b>15</b>	<b>Reflections on New Speaker Research and Future Trajectories</b>	283
	<i>Cassie Smith-Christmas and Noel P. Ó Murchadha</i>	
	References	288
<b>Index</b>		289

## Notes on Contributors

**David Atkinson** is a Senior Lecturer in Spanish at the University of Limerick, Ireland. His main research interests are the sociolinguistics of minoritised languages in Spain, in particular Catalan; Irish in Ireland, with particular reference to the media; and critical discourse analysis of the language of politics. He has published on these areas in a wide variety of international journals. His most recent work on Irish focuses on, respectively, the interplay between (potential) speakers' language-ideological positions and the ways in which the language is thematised in the media. In the case of Catalonia, he is currently researching the ways in which established tropes around civic, ethnic, and ethnolinguistic are being discursively exploited by both left and right political constituencies in the context of the independence movement.

**Nicola Bermingham** is a Lecturer in Hispanic Studies in the Department of Modern Languages and Cultures at the University of Liverpool. She completed her MA in Hispanic Studies and French at Trinity College Dublin (2011) and her PhD at Heriot-Watt University, Edinburgh (2017). Her PhD thesis looked at immigration and language learning in the context of Galicia. Her main research interests include sociolinguistics, migration studies and minority languages.

**Nicola Carty** is the Postdoctoral Research Assistant on the project *Comasan Labhairt ann an Gàidhlig/Gaelic Adult Proficiency* at the University of Glasgow. Her major research interests lie in the acquisition of Gaelic as a second language by adults. She has conducted research in this area from a range of perspectives,

including language processing, language testing and assessment, learner motivation, and language in education policy. Her current research project, in collaboration with colleagues from the Universities of Glasgow and Aberdeen, takes a corpus-based approach to the development of a framework for the teaching and learning of Scottish Gaelic.

**Stuart Dunmore** holds a British Academy postdoctoral fellowship at the University of Edinburgh. Stuart received his doctorate, which examined long-term outcomes of Gaelic-medium education, in 2015, having previously studied at the Universities of Edinburgh and Oxford. His principal research interests lie in the sociolinguistics of minority language use, ideological perceptions, and the sociology of the Celtic languages more generally. During his previous fellowship with Soillse, the interuniversity Gaelic language network, Stuart undertook research for an assessment of current language planning structures in Scotland on behalf of policymakers. He is currently preparing his doctoral research for publication as a monograph.

**Michael Hornsby** is Professor at the Department of Celtic languages and literatures, Adam Mickiewicz University, Poznań, Poland. He is one of the members of the European Cooperation in Science and Technology (COST) network on New Speakers in a Multilingual Europe and is an editorial board member of three journals: *Sociolinguistic Studies*, *Studia Celtica Posnaniensia* and *Poznań Studies in Contemporary Linguistics*. His most recent publications include articles on the Lemko minority in Poland (*International Journal of Multilingualism*) and on new speakers of Breton and Yiddish (*International Journal of the Sociology of Language*) as well as the monograph *Revitalizing Minority Languages: New Speakers of Breton, Yiddish and Lemko* (Palgrave Macmillan).

**Holly Kennard** is a British Academy Postdoctoral Fellow in Linguistics, based at Somerville College, University of Oxford. She obtained her DPhil from the University of Oxford in 2013. Her thesis, 'Breton morphosyntax in two generations of speakers: evidence from word order and mutation', investigated the impact that a gap in the transmission of a language can have on the structure of a language. Aspects of this research have been published in the *Journal of Historical Linguistics* and the *Journal of Linguistics*. Her current research project examines new speakers and 'traditional' speakers of Breton in more detail, through the lens of the adaptation of French loanwords in Breton.

**Hanna Lantto** is a postdoctoral researcher at the University of Eastern Finland. Hanna received her MA (2008) and PhD (2015) in Spanish Philology at the University of Helsinki, where she worked as a Basque teacher from 2005 to

2014. The title of her doctoral dissertation was *Code-switching in Greater Bilbao: A bilingual variety of colloquial Basque*. She frequently visits the city of Bilbao for data gathering and research cooperation for her project *New Basque speakers as agents in language revitalization and change*. Her major research interests include language contact and language change, language ideologies, new speakers, and various phenomena of bilingual speech. She is currently one of the editors of *SKY Journal of Linguistics*. She has also worked as a Spanish and Portuguese teacher, published a small Finnish-Basque-Finnish dictionary together with her colleagues from *Suomitar* (Basque Country-Finland Association), and translated *Linguae Vasconum Primitiae* (by Bernard Etxepare in 1545), the first book written in the Basque language, into Finnish.

**Yan Marquis** is a freelance researcher, language teacher, and translator who has been documenting and teaching Guernesiais for 25 years. His research interests include language change, orthography, and teaching methods for endangered/minority languages. His recent publications include *The Toad and the Donkey: An Anthology of Channel Islands Literature* (co-edited with Geraint Jennings) and *Warro!*, an introduction to Guernesiais for children (co-authored with Jo Dowding). Julia Sallabank and Yan Marquis have co-authored several papers: ‘Speakers and language revitalization: A case study of Guernesiais (Guernsey)’, in *Keeping Languages Alive*, edited by Mari Jones and Sarah Ogilvie (Cambridge University Press, 2013); ‘Ideologies, beliefs and revitalization of Guernesiais (Guernsey)’, in *Endangered Languages: Beliefs and Ideologies in Language Documentation and Revitalisation*, edited by Peter K. Austin and Julia Sallabank (Oxford University Press/Proceedings of the British Academy, 2014); and ‘Spelling trouble: ideologies and practices in Giernesiei / Dgernesiais / Guernesiais / Guernésiais / Djernezié ...’ in *Creating Orthographies for Endangered Languages*, edited by Mari C. Jones and Damien Mooney (Cambridge University Press, 2017).

**Stefan Moal** (University of Rennes, Brittany) is an Associate Professor of Breton language and culture and a member of the CRBC (Breton and Celtic Research Centre, Brest and Rennes). Stefan obtained his doctorate from the University of Rennes in 2004 with his thesis on Breton language programmes on radio and television since the 1960s. His main fields of research are media studies, bilingual education, and contemporary Breton literature.

**Máiréad Moriarty** is a Lecturer in Sociolinguistics and New Media at the Centre for Applied Language Studies, University of Limerick, Ireland. Máiréad is a member of the Peripheral Multilingualism Project funded by the Finnish

Academy and previously held the position of postdoctoral researcher on the Northern Multilingualism project. Máiréad's publications are largely in the field of multilingualism, minority language planning and policy, minority language revitalisation, and new roles for minority languages in globalising society. She is the author of *Globalizing Language Policy: An Irish Language Perspective*, published by Palgrave Macmillan.

**Claire Nance** is a Lecturer in Phonetics and Phonology at Lancaster University. She completed her BA in Modern and Medieval Languages at Selwyn College, Cambridge, and her PhD in Celtic and Gaelic at the University of Glasgow. Her research considers phonetic variation in Scottish Gaelic and is particularly concerned with the social and phonetic outcomes of language revitalisation. Claire has researched intergenerational change in Gaelic in heartland communities in the Outer Hebrides and in communities in urban lowland Scotland. Her work appears in journals such as *Journal of Phonetics*, *Language in Society*, *Journal of the International Phonetic Association*, and *Journal of Sociolinguistics*.

**Tadhg Ó hIfearnáin** works in the School of Culture and Communication at the University of Limerick, where he teaches linguistics, sociolinguistics, and aspects of the cultural production and civilisation of Irish and the other Celtic languages from the early modern period until present, mostly through the medium of Irish. Specialising in the contemporary sociolinguistics of Irish and the other Gaelic languages, his research is informed by field-based and theoretical projects in language policy, ideology, and practice. Recent and ongoing projects include work on authority and authenticity in language revitalisation, linguistic standardisation and de-standardisation, perceptions of linguistic distance in spoken and written varieties, family language policy, the interaction of individuals and communities with institutional or state authorities in language policy, and the contemporary sociolinguistics of Manx Gaelic. He is a member of Údarás na Gaeltachta's advisory committee on language policy and an honorary patron of Iaith, the Welsh Centre for Language Planning, and serves on the editorial boards of several of the leading international journals in sociolinguistics. He is a member of the Management Committee of the COST Action 'New Speakers in a Multilingual Europe—Opportunities and Challenges' (2013–2017).

**Noel P. Ó Murchadha** is an Assistant Professor in Language Education in Trinity College Dublin. He teaches courses on bilingualism/multilingualism, language in society, language pedagogy, researching language, and research methods in the social sciences. Previously, he taught at University College

Dublin, University of Toronto, and the University of Limerick. His research focuses on attitudes and ideologies around linguistic variation and on folk linguistics. He has completed projects on teenagers' and educators' perceptions of linguistic variation in contemporary spoken Irish and on the standardisation of modern Irish. His research examines the changing relationship between self and society in the late modern age and the impact such changes can have on language variation and change in a minoritised language context.

**Bernadette O'Rourke** is a Professor of Sociolinguistics and Spanish at Heriot-Watt University, Edinburgh. Her research focuses on the role of language in the construction of social difference and social inequality in multilingual societies. She is the chair of the European COST Action IS1306 New Speakers in a Multilingual Europe: Opportunities and Challenges. She is the author of *Galician and Irish in the European Context* (Palgrave) and has published widely on issues around language ideologies, language policy, and language revitalisation in minority language contexts.

**Fernando Ramallo** is a Senior Lecturer of Linguistics at the University of Vigo (Spain). He is a correspondent member of the Royal Academy of Galician Language and, since 2013, a member of the Committee of Experts of the European Charter for Regional or Minority Languages (Council of Europe). In recent years, his main line of research has been closely related to Galician new speakers (*neofalantes*) and their role in Galician sociolinguistic change, especially the social processes linked to the experiences of people who usually speak a language different from that of their primary socialisation.

**Julia Sallabank** is Reader in Language Policy and Revitalisation at the School of Oriental and African Studies, University of London. Her main research interests are sociolinguistics/sociology of language; endangered language documentation and revitalisation; language policy and planning; and Channel Islands French. Her most recent books are *Attitudes to Endangered Languages: Identities and Policies* (Cambridge University Press, 2013) and *Endangered Languages: Beliefs and Ideologies in Language Documentation and Revitalisation* edited by Peter K. Austin and Julia Sallabank (Oxford University Press/Proceedings of the British Academy, 2014).

**Charlotte Selleck** is a Lecturer in English language at the University of Worcester. Her principle research interests are in the field of sociolinguistics, including sociolinguistic theory, the sociolinguistics of Wales, and interactional sociolinguistics as well as linguistic ethnography. Charlotte's doctorate, com-



pleted at Cardiff University and funded by the Economic and Social Research Council (ESRC), investigated the interplay of linguistic practices, linguistic representations, language ideologies, and social inclusion between students at three related research sites in West Wales. Charlotte subsequently took up a postdoctoral research position at the University of Copenhagen, working on the Standard Language Ideology in a Contemporary Europe (SLICE) project before her appointment at the University of Worcester.

**Cassie Smith-Christmas** holds a Government of Ireland Postdoctoral Fellowship awarded by the Irish Research Council at the University of Limerick. Prior to this appointment, Cassie held a research fellowship with Soillse, the inter-university Gaelic language network at the University of the Highlands and Islands. Cassie completed a PhD at the University of Glasgow and has also held a fellowship with the Institute for Advanced Studies in the Humanities at the University of Edinburgh, where she wrote her monograph *Family Language Policy: Maintaining an Endangered Language in the Home* (Palgrave, 2016). Among Cassie's research interests are issues of language and migration, and she is currently the communications officer for Workgroup 10 of the COST Action IS1306 'New Speakers in a Multilingual Europe: Opportunities and Challenges' network.

**John Walsh** is a Senior Lecturer in Irish in the School of Languages, Literatures and Cultures at the National University of Ireland, Galway. From 2012 to 2015, he was Vice-Dean for Research in the College of Arts, Social Sciences, and Celtic Studies. His research interests include critical sociolinguistics, Irish language policy, language ideology, minority language media, and language and socio-economic development. The second edition of his book *Contests and Contexts: The Irish Language and Ireland's Socio-economic Development* (Peter Lang) was published in 2012. He jointly edited *Ag Siúl an Bhealaigh Mhóir: Aisti in Ómós don Ollamb Nollaig Mac Congail (LeabhairCOMHAR, 2016)* and *TG4@10: Deich mBliana de TG4* (Cló Iar-Chonnacht, 2008). He is an active member of the COST (European Cooperation in Science and Technology) network which researches 'new speakers' of various languages including Irish.

# List of Figures

Fig. 11.1	<i>Left panel:</i> Sample spectrograms and waveform from the dataset showing a Glaswegian ‘rise plateau’ contour. <i>Right panel:</i> Results of the intonational coding	218
Fig. 11.2	Vowel plots showing the formant values for each speaker group	220
Fig. 11.3	F2-F1 values for each lateral in each speaker group	221
Fig. 12.1	Children’s lenition by verb	243

## List of Tables

Table 11.1	Total participants in this study	216
Table 12.1	Linguistic features of Neo-Breton	233
Table 12.2	Lenition in Breton (IPA)	235
Table 12.3	Children's use of verbal lenition (percentage with n in parentheses) presented speaker by speaker	240
Table 13.1	New speakers and their linguistic practices	256
Table 13.2	Potentials and their linguistic practices	257
Table 13.3	Raters' comments focusing on new speakers	262
Table 13.4	Raters' comments focusing on potentials	262

# 1

## New Speakers, Familiar Concepts?

Noel P. Ó Murchadha, Michael Hornsby, Cassie Smith-Christmas, and Máiréad Moriarty

### New Speakers and the Dynamics of Late Modern Society

It seems appropriate to begin this volume by stating that the ‘new speaker’ is not a newly discovered linguistic species. New speakers have existed for as long as speakers of different languages have been in contact with each other—in other words, their presence has spanned the millennia

---

N.P. Ó Murchadha (✉)

School of Education, Trinity College Dublin, Dublin, Ireland

M. Hornsby

Centre for Celtic Studies, Faculty of English, Uniwersytet im. Adama Mickiewicza, Poznań, Poland

C. Smith-Christmas

School of Culture and Communication, University of Limerick, Limerick, Ireland

M. Moriarty

School of Modern Languages and Applied Linguistics, University of Limerick, Limerick, Ireland

(e.g., Lim and Ansaldo 2016; Matras 2009; Thomason 2001). Currently, there are many millions of new speakers worldwide and unsurprisingly, in light of its ubiquity, as well as the fact that becoming a new speaker is often a by-product of migration, what we refer to as the ‘new speaker phenomenon’ has been the subject of much academic research and public discourses alike. In January 2016, for example, former UK Prime Minister David Cameron declared that Muslim women must ‘improve’ their English within two and a half years of moving to the United Kingdom or face possible deportation. Thus, in extreme cases, becoming a ‘new speaker’ of English may be a matter of life and death for some of these women, depending on the circumstances in the countries which they have left. They are not alone; as the world now finds itself in the midst of a refugee crisis, it is abundantly clear that the primary forces underlying migration are war, starvation, and lack of employment. As becoming a new speaker of a particular language may make the difference between the right to remain and being deported, new speakerhood can literally be a matter of life and death.

less dramatically, in terms of academic research, a vast body of literature on new speaker issues has emanated from many interwoven branches of general linguistics: applied linguistics, sociolinguistics, language psychology, social psychology of language, ethnography of language, and linguistic anthropology, to name a few. However, the majority of this research has not used the term ‘new speaker’. Instead, researchers have tended to operationalise terms such as ‘second language’, ‘L2’, ‘learner’, ‘non-native’, or ‘non-mother tongue’ as oppositional constructs to terms such as ‘native’, ‘mother tongue’, ‘first language’, ‘L1’, and ‘primary language’. By now, these terms are well-established, enduring emic and etic designations used to distinguish different types of speakers and different ways of using language. Despite its establishment in the various fields of linguistics, however, this binary categorisation of speakers can be problematic. As O’Rourke et al. (2015) write in the introduction to the *International Journal of the Sociology of Language* special issue on new speakers, these terms imply a hierarchy and a deficit model, suggesting an evaluative paradigm that privileges ‘native’ speakers and ‘native’ speech, and marginalises ‘non-native’ speakers and their practices. In the important article, ‘Who, if

anyone, is a native speaker?', Ingrid Piller (2001, p. 117), for example, challenges the concept of the valorised native speaker by posing a number of important rhetorical questions:

Does the native speaker's early acquisition lead to privileged access to the language? Is the linguistic competence of native speakers somehow fundamentally different from that of non-native speakers (who have acquired the language at a later point in their lives)? Is the speech of native speakers for instance less error-prone than that of non-native speakers? Does that capacity make them the sole arbiters of correct usage...?

When answered in the affirmative, these questions point to the various ideological assumptions people may have about language. To paraphrase Ben Rampton (1990, p. 2), much of what is assumed about 'native' and 'non-native' speech spuriously emphasises the biological ahead of the social, conflating language as an instrument for communication on the one hand with language as a symbol of social identification on the other. Linguists have long argued that there is no linguistic evidence to support the hierarchical classification of what are considered different languages or of different language varieties and styles (e.g., Trudgill 1975, p. 26). The same logic can be extended to include new speakers and their practices. Although frequently fundamental to social actors' engagement with language and society, the concepts of the native speaker and the non-native speaker are merely socially constructed categorisations (Cook 1999, 2015; Eckert 2003), in the same way that concepts such as authenticity (Bucholtz 2003; Coupland 2003; Eckert 2003) and standard language (Coupland 2003; Coupland and Kristiansen 2011; Milroy 2001; Lippi-Green 2012) represent reified abstractions. Dichotomising speakers and ways of using language is unhelpful for linguists who seek to describe different categories of language users (Rampton 1990). As Ferguson (1983, p. vii) suggests, the mystique of the native speaker and the mother tongue should be jettisoned from the linguist's set of myths about language (cited in Davies 2000, p. 92). In view of this, it is germane to reconsider how we conceive of language and how folk and academic conceptualisations of different types of speakers feed into broader projects that ostensibly seek to promote multilingual societies, as well as social and linguistic cohesion. The term 'new

speaker' has thus been proposed (and accepted by many) as an alternative to the deficit model implicit in more established terms like 'second language', 'L2', 'non-native', and 'learner' (e.g., O'Rourke et al. 2015; Hornsby 2015a, b; Ortega et al. 2015, Walsh et al. 2015b).

## Defining the 'New Speaker'

At its most basic level, the designation 'new speaker' refers to social actors who use and claim ownership of a language that is not, for whatever reason, typically perceived as belonging to them, or to 'people like them'. The new speaker label has been used to describe language users with a wide range of language competences. These competences range along a continuum from emergent speakers (see García and Kleifgen 2010) or what Carty (Chap. 13, this volume) terms 'potentials'—that is, speakers with limited linguistic repertoires—through to expert language users (see Piller 2001; Rampton 1990) often with 'native-like' language proficiency. Similarly, the new speaker designation has been applied to a number of disparate contexts. An immigrant who acquires an additional language in their new environment, for instance, can be labelled a new speaker (e.g., Bermingham, Chap. 6, this volume; Duchêne et al. 2013; Márquez Reiter and Martin Rojo 2014). Likewise, individuals who have learnt a language other than the home or community language through immersion, bilingual, or subject-only educational programmes have been classified as new speakers (Walsh et al. 2015b; Dunmore, Chap. 2 this volume). Owing to the reliance of many minoritised languages on education for their sociolinguistic vitality, the literature on minoritised languages abounds with descriptions of language users who have acquired their proficiency, at least partially, as a result of schooling (e.g., Robert 2009; Hill and May 2011; Jaffe 2003; King and Leeman 2014; Ó hÍfearnáin 2015; Morris 2014; Dunmore 2015; Nance 2015; Nic Fhlannchadha and Hickey 2016; Kennard, Chap. 12, this volume; O' Rourke and Ramallo, Chap. 5, this volume; Selleck, Chap. 3, this volume).

In addition to differences in their levels of competence and circumstances of language acquisition or language learning, the motivations of new speakers can also vary (Dörnyei et al. 2015, Dörnyei and Ushioda

2011; Gardner 1982; Gardner and Lambert 1972). While instrumentality (e.g., gaining employment) is consistently an important motivating factor in acquiring the new language (Ó Riagáin 2007; Murtagh 2009; Walsh et al. 2015b), symbolic motivations also abound as individuals recognise the integrative and identificational potential of language (McCubbin 2010; Sallabank and Marquis, Chap. 4, this volume). Despite the range of linguistic competencies, contexts, and motivations, however, one common feature of ‘new speakerhood’ is the ability to communicate in a new language and the access it provides to new social, cultural, and economic spheres and markets. Conversely, one of the net results of the presence of new speakers in any language is often the association of ‘otherness’ with new speaker profiles and practices (Costa 2015; Hornsby 2015a; Moriarty 2015). Idealised sociocultural and linguistic models of language users frequently delegitimise new speakers in a way that places them at the bottom of a socially constructed sociolinguistic hierarchy (Cook 1999, 2015; Costa 2015; Hornsby 2015a, b; Ó Murchadha 2016; Sallabank and Marquis, Chap. 4, this volume). This is because new speakers tend to transgress the sociocultural profiles of an imagined ideal speaker and because they also, and not infrequently, move beyond what is regarded as authentic, legitimate, and correct language usage (Ó Murchadha and Ó hIfearnáin forthcoming). In doing so, new speakers, at least implicitly, challenge pervading conceptualisations of what users of a particular language should be like and what shape their linguistic forms and practices should take. As a result of such transgressions, the practices and profiles of what we now call new speakers have frequently been ideologically evaluated as sociolinguistically deviant, since they do not conform to an implicitly agreed model.

## Authority, Authenticity, and the New Speaker

Historically, of course, studies in the areas of dialectology and linguistics can be said to have contributed to the perpetuation, reinforcement, or even in the construction of models of the ideal language user—models that often see new speakers and their practices regarded as a *bête noire*. Chambers and Trudgill (1998, p. 29) remind us, for example, how the pursuit of linguistic ‘purity’ in traditional dialectological studies led researchers to mainly seek out participants who fitted the mould of



NORMs—nonmobile, older, rural males. The focus on NORMs can be explained as a result of the political and scholarly focus on Romanticism that became common following the Industrial Revolution where rural populations, considered unaffected by urbanity, were seen as the authentic source of traditional cultural knowledge and practice and whose spirit was seen as underpinning the European nation-state (Bucholtz 2003, p. 399). Thus, the language varieties of NORMs often became the yardstick used to establish degrees of linguistic authority and ‘authenticity’. Much of the early research in this area was thus confined to the study of the language practices of those seen as most worthy of academic attention. The profiles and practices of NORMs then became ‘the norm’. This approach historically established a linguistic hierarchy that privileged the speech varieties and profiles of a particular gendered cohort of speakers and, by corollary, rendered others, such as new speakers, less ‘pure’ and less ‘authentic’.

Of course, linguistic hierarchies neither begin nor end with NORMs. An abundant literature in various academic fields describes (and also reifies) ‘standard’ language use in various different languages. This, allied with institutional and folk beliefs in the existence of an ideologised standard variety and in its inherent correctness, establishes and sustains certain language varieties as prestige models (Coupland and Kristiansen 2011; Milroy 2001; Lippi-Green 2012). New speaker varieties, as well as many other varieties, do not typically meet the rigours of these language standards (Davies 2000). Many researchers in sociolinguistics, as well as in related fields, have for some time recognised the value of studying language users whose biographies and linguistic experiences are more diverse and nuanced than prototypical ‘native speakers’, and some have gone so far as to question the efficacy of the native speaker model for language learners and ‘non-native’ users (e.g., Cook 1999, 2015; Davies 2000). However, valuing speakers in terms of their ‘authentic’ and ‘correct’ language use persists within academia, and, importantly, within the language communities themselves. As Sallabank (2013, p. 79) has pointed out: ‘Postmodern ideas on the constructed, fluid nature of languages and identity are not well known among “lay” people, so that...respondents tend to have quite traditional, even “essentialist”, views on these matters’. Notwithstanding, the fundamental motivations that underlie the poststructuralist study of the practices and ideologies of new speakers is an approach that contributes significantly to our current understanding of both language and society and

forms part of the research mosaic that illustrates how social actors experience and engage with language in contemporary society. Furthermore, and arguably more pertinently, the study of new speakers allows us to further explicate the ways in which language users recruit languages and language varieties in order to understand and negotiate their role in the social order.

## New Speakers and Minority Languages

As many authors have illustrated (e.g., Austin and Sallabank 2014; Crystal 2000; Dorian 2014; Krauss 1992; Nettle and Romaine 2000; Romaine 2007), the prevailing linguistic trend worldwide has seen the number of languages used throughout the globe reduce dramatically over the past centuries. Whatever the stance adopted in relation to assessments of language vitality and language death (e.g., Grenoble 2016; Huiying Lee and Van Way 2016), it can hardly be refuted that there are far fewer languages actively used today than used to be the case. The social, cultural, economic, and political arrangements that characterise what we might refer to in its various guises as late modernity, late capitalism, or globalisation do not appear to align well with efforts to maintain linguistic heterogeneity (Skutnabb-Kangas and Phillipson 2010). As these global flows show few signs of abating, it is likely that the trend of language loss is set to continue into the future and that many languages already classified as ‘minority languages’ will struggle to maintain current levels of vitality (Crystal 2000; Krauss 1992; Nettle and Romaine 2000). Of course, for many of the world’s more dominant languages, the pattern of language loss that accompanies the geographic, economic, cultural, and sociolinguistic mobility of globalisation leads to the advent of new speakers of those languages (e.g., Ammon 2010; Blommaert 2005, 2010; Crystal 2003; Mar-Molinero 2010; Mufwene 2010). Conversely, however, these same dynamics can provide the impetus for language shift and can have a profound impact on the vitality of languages with fewer speakers (Crystal 2000; Skutnabb-Kangas and Phillipson 2010). As traditional minority language communities undergo language shift, the presence of new speakers can provide an additional avenue for the continued

vitality of the language (Jaffe 2015; Ortega et al. 2015; Pujolar and Puigdevall 2015). Within this context, the continued active use of minority languages may often be contingent upon new speakers who elect to adopt a language that is not ‘their own language’ (Ó hÍfearnáin 2015).

While the phenomenon of the new speaker is discussed and debated in the sociolinguistics of majority languages in a variety of ways, the new speaker is becoming a key factor in minority language sociolinguistics as well, perhaps because of the aforementioned potential of new speakers to contribute to language vitality. Further, the new speaker of the minority language is indeed a relatively ‘new’ phenomenon. Typically, the language’s minority status is due in part to the language’s exclusion from education, and thus the presence of the language in school, as well as a cohort actively willing to learn the language, is the result of ideological clarification (see Dauenhauer and Dauenhauer 1998) and shifting socio-historical trajectories. It is therefore apt to dedicate an edited volume entirely to the study of new speakers in the context of minority languages. This is all the more fitting since much of the impetus for the new speaker framework emerged from research on autochthonous minority languages. Woolard (1989), for example, used the term ‘new Catalans’ as far back as the 1980s to refer to Spanish speakers who had learnt Catalan through the education system. Among the earliest appearances of the term ‘new speaker’ in the academic literature in the English language is in a chapter by Robert (2009) on perceptions of linguistic variation in Welsh. The term has since been embraced in the burgeoning literature on revival speakers in many minority languages, especially in Europe (e.g., Moriarty 2015; Ó Murchadha and Ó hÍfearnáin *forthcoming*; Hornsby and Vigers *forthcoming*). Far from being an academic categorisation, however, the ‘new speaker’ has for some time been part of the collective consciousness of many minority language communities (Walsh et al. 2015a). As previously mentioned, the new speaker is no new species, and this is reflected in the various labels that we encounter in different contexts; for example, ‘*neofalante*’ in the Galician context (O’Rourke and Ramallo 2011), ‘*euskaldunberri*’ in the Basque language (Ortega et al. 2015), and ‘*néo-bretonnant*’ in Breton (Hornsby 2005, 2015a, b; Jones 1998). It is perhaps unsurprising, then, that the genesis of the

COST Action on New Speakers in a Multilingual Europe: Opportunities and Challenges, from which this volume has emerged, is in fact in the study of new speakers of minority languages. From this origin, the new speaker paradigm has since been operationalised in diverse sociolinguistic environments so that one might now encounter investigations of new speakerhood in minoritised contexts alongside new-speaker-based studies in some of the world's most dominant languages. The proliferation of the model, however, does not diminish the relevance to new speaker issues in minority languages but rather lays the groundwork for investigating a number of other important questions about language and identity.

Although the study of new speakers of languages not classified as minority or minoritised languages yields critical insights that enhance our understanding of language and society, a sense of immediacy permeates the study of new speakers of languages with few speakers. As the bulk of research on minority languages seeks to uncover the mechanisms by which language maintenance, revitalisation, and revival take place, the investigation of new speakers of minority languages is a pivotal part of this endeavour. After all, new speakers go against the grain of language shift and in some instances, minority languages owe their very existence to the presence of new speakers of the language. For example, while it is generally accepted that the last 'native' speaker of Manx died in 1974, the language is spoken today by individuals who have acquired proficiency mainly through educational initiatives and through their own personal commitment to the language. Were it not for these new speakers, the Manx language would not exist as a spoken language and the global linguistic palette would subsequently be less diverse. Thus, the contribution of new speakers to the maintenance of minority languages has the potential to be a significant force in halting the decline of individual languages, and it also has the potential to play a role in halting broader processes of linguistic homogenisation worldwide.

Despite this potential contribution, new speakers tend not to be uncritically accepted as legitimate minority language users (Ó Murchadha and Ó hIfearnáin [forthcoming](#); O'Rourke et al. 2015). New speakers of minority languages are frequently vilified and their practices indexed as illegitimate, inauthentic, and lacking authority (Hornsby 2015a; Moriarty

2015; Ó Murchadha 2013; Jones 2015; McEwan-Fujita 2010; Armstrong 2013; O'Rourke and Ramallo 2013, 2015; Smith-Christmas and Armstrong 2014; Sallabank and Marquis, Chap. 4, this volume). While this has already been illustrated more generally in the earlier discussion of 'inauthentic' and 'non-standard' language varieties, the fissure separating traditional and new speaker cohorts can arguably be even more accentuated in minority language contexts than in others. The history of language documentation and language revitalisation in minority languages, for example, reveals a conscious bias towards speakers and speech varieties considered unaffected by language shift and modernisation. Consider, for instance, the quotation from Professor Angus McIntosh, that precedes the Foreword in the *Survey of the Gaelic Dialects of Scotland* (Ó Dochartaigh 1997, p. vi), where the 'native speakers' on whom the research is based are described as 'those indispensable and hitherto all too insufficiently recognised experts who deserve that title in this context by virtue of being in possession of a knowledge of their own everyday dialect'. The purist ideological stance revealed here (and elsewhere, e.g., O'Rahilly 1932, p. 121) is illustrative of a broader pining for 'traditional', 'authentic' practices in minority languages by linguists and non-linguists alike. This also surfaces in efforts to reverse language shift (RLS) in minority languages, as many RLS initiatives have been characterised by a goal to return the language and its users to pre-shift social and linguistic conditions (Hornsby forthcoming; Ó Murchadha and Ó hÍfearnáin forthcoming; Romaine 2006). Restoration, rather than transformation, has therefore routinely been the explicit goal of much minority language management (Hornsby 2015b; Ó Murchadha and Ó hÍfearnáin forthcoming; Ó Murchadha and Migge 2016), even though in many cases, as Bentahila and Davies (1993) have pointed out, transformation has often been the unexpected (and sometimes unwelcome) outcome of language management. To the extent that new speakers are acknowledged in RLS efforts (see Fishman 1991), the predilection for 'traditional', 'authentic' speakers and speech practices confines the role of new speakers to that of 'emulators' of the valorised 'traditional' language users. Despite new speakers' potential contribution to the vitality of minority languages, they thus tend to be relegated to the margins of a sociolinguistic understanding of minority languages.

The marginalisation of the role of new speakers in RLS is compounded by their often peripheral position in traditional minority language communities of practice (e.g., McEwan-Fujita 2010), and there may even be palpable schisms between native and new speaker cohorts in minority languages (e.g., Sallabank and Marquis, Chap. 4, this volume). These divisions may be reflective of new speakers' lack of integration into minority language social networks, and social identities of new speakers are often contested, as are claims to legitimacy, authority, authenticity, and language ownership (see Selleck, Chap. 3, this volume). Moreover, the circumstances through which new speakers acquire their new languages and the linguistic varieties that they practise can be considered deficient by native speakers and even by new speakers themselves. These issues, as well as other factors, can militate against a coherent pool of habitual language users in contexts where languages may already be in a precarious position. Ultimately, discord may preclude efficient language management and may impact transmission and, thus, endanger future language vitality. The negligible recognition traditionally afforded new speakers of minority languages, and their practices in terms of research and language planning, as well as the folk enregisterment of new speakers as sociolinguistically inferior, have served to maintain the status quo. New speakers, despite their potential contribution to the vitality of minority languages, therefore, remain at the bottom of a sociolinguistic hierarchy that primarily values traditional speakers and their practices. To echo Alasdair MacCaluim's (2007) observation on Gaelic learners in Scotland, new speakers have often been confined to the 'periphery of the periphery': they are perceived as 'other' vis-à-vis the native speaker cohort and are thus sometimes marginalised within an already-marginal group. However, as previously mentioned, new speakers of minority languages have a potentially pivotal role to play in RLS and are crucial to a deeper understanding of minority language dynamics more generally. This volume, therefore, seeks to broaden perspectives on the challenges facing new speakers of minority languages and does so by focusing on two main facets of language use in social life: linguistic ideologies and practices.

The focus on ideologies and practices in this volume provides scope for the investigation of a wide range of issues that surface in relation to new speakers of minority languages. We interpret both ideologies and practices