SPRINGER BRIEFS IN COMPLEXITY

Carlos M. Lemos

Agent-Based Modeling of **Social Conflict** From Mechanisms to Complex Behavior



SpringerBriefs in Complexity

Editorial Board for Springer Complexity

Henry D.I. Abarbanel, La Jolla, USA Dan Braha, Dartmouth, USA Péter Érdi, Kalamazoo, USA Karl J. Friston, London, UK Hermann Haken, Stuttgart, Germany Viktor Jirsa, Marseille, France Janusz Kacprzyk, Warsaw, Poland Kunihiko Kaneko, Tokyo, Japan Scott Kelso, Boca Raton, USA Markus Kirkilionis, Coventry, UK Jürgen Kurths, Potsdam, Germany Ronaldo Menezes, Florida, USA Andrzej Nowak, Warsaw, Poland Hassan Qudrat-Ullah, Toronto, Canada Peter Schuster, Vienna, Austria Frank Schweitzer, Zürich, Switzerland Didier Sornette, Zürich, Switzerland Stefan Thurner, Vienna, Austria

Springer Complexity

Springer Complexity is an interdisciplinary program publishing the best research and academic-level teaching on both fundamental and applied aspects of complex systems—cutting across all traditional disciplines of the natural and life sciences, engineering, economics, medicine, neuroscience, social and computer science.

Complex Systems are systems that comprise many interacting parts with the ability to generate a new quality of macroscopic collective behavior the manifestations of which are the spontaneous formation of distinctive temporal, spatial or functional structures. Models of such systems can be successfully mapped onto quite diverse "real-life" situations like the climate, the coherent emission of light from lasers, chemical reaction-diffusion systems, biological cellular networks, the dynamics of stock markets and of the internet, earthquake statistics and prediction, freeway traffic, the human brain, or the formation of opinions in social systems, to name just some of the popular applications.

Although their scope and methodologies overlap somewhat, one can distinguish the following main concepts and tools: self-organization, nonlinear dynamics, synergetics, turbulence, dynamical systems, catastrophes, instabilities, stochastic processes, chaos, graphs and networks, cellular automata, adaptive systems, genetic algorithms and computational intelligence.

The three major book publication platforms of the Springer Complexity program are the monograph series "Understanding Complex Systems" focusing on the various applications of complexity, the "Springer Series in Synergetics", which is devoted to the quantitative theoretical and methodological foundations, and the "SpringerBriefs in Complexity" which are concise and topical working reports, case-studies, surveys, essays and lecture notes of relevance to the field. In addition to the books in these two core series, the program also incorporates individual titles ranging from textbooks to major reference works.

More information about this series at http://www.springer.com/series/8907

Carlos M. Lemos

Agent-Based Modeling of Social Conflict

From Mechanisms to Complex Behavior



Carlos M. Lemos Department of Religion, Philosophy and History University of Agder Kristiansand, Norway

ISSN 2191-5326 ISSN 2191-5334 (electronic) SpringerBriefs in Complexity ISBN 978-3-319-67049-2 ISBN 978-3-319-67050-8 (eBook) https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-67050-8

Library of Congress Control Number: 2017952602

© The Editor(s) (if applicable) and The Author(s) 2018

This work is subject to copyright. All rights are reserved by the Publishers, whether the whole or part of the material is concerned, specifically the rights of translation, reprinting, reuse of illustrations, recitation, broadcasting, reproduction on microfilms or in any other physical way, and transmission or information storage and retrieval, electronic adaptation, computer software, or by similar or dissimilar methodology now known or hereafter developed.

The use of general descriptive names, registered names, trademarks, service marks, etc. in this publication does not imply, even in the absence of a specific statement, that such names are exempt from the relevant protective laws and regulations and therefore free for general use.

The publisher, the authors and the editors are safe to assume that the advice and information in this book are believed to be true and accurate at the date of publication. Neither the publisher nor the authors or the editors give a warranty, express or implied, with respect to the material contained herein or for any errors or omissions that may have been made. The publisher remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Printed on acid-free paper

This Springer imprint is published by Springer Nature The registered company is Springer International Publishing AG The registered company address is: Gewerbestrasse 11, 6330 Cham, Switzerland *To my wife São and my daughters Mariana and Marta*

Preface

Simulation of social conflict phenomena using agent-based models and the methods of complex system studies is a topic of growing interest, for it provides a degree of insight and understanding not attainable using classical methods of disciplines like anthropology, social psychology, and political science.

This book describes a study of large-scale conflict against a central authority using an extension of Epstein's agent-based model of civil violence, which started with and builds on my PhD dissertation. The key idea was to extend Epstein's classical model by including mechanisms such as dependence of grievance on relative deprivation, legitimacy feedback, and network influence effects, using simple formulations inspired on social conflict theories.

The scope of the work is the description of large-scale and low-intensity conflict phenomena that involve a significant proportion of the population and are (mostly) self-organized. The purpose of the study was to show how the newly introduced mechanisms increase the generative capacity of the original model and to discuss the plausibility of the patterns of magnitude, duration, and interval of the simulated conflict events, by comparing them with corresponding statistical descriptions of conflict events in some countries affected by the "Arab Spring." As in Epstein's model, the dynamics of conflict are described by the interaction between two populations of artificial agents: "citizens" which remain quiet or rebel and lawenforcing agents (or "cops") which arrest rebellious "citizens." Armed conflict and other conflict manifestations that involve higher violence intensity and organized structures (e.g. insurgences) are not considered. Religious and ethnic conflicts, which would require agents endowed with identity and consideration of more complicated micro-interactions, are also not studied.

The book is organized as follows. The first three chapters contain the introduction (Chap. 1), a summary review of social conflict theories and related concepts (Chap. 2), and a discussion of Epstein's model with emphasis on key variables and mechanisms (Chap. 3). Chapter 4 contains a statistical description of conflict events based on the Social Conflict Analysis Database and an analysis of indicators related to legitimacy, human rights, and inequality, for eight African countries affected by

the "Arab Spring." This chapter addresses aspects of general interest, such as the usefulness and limitations of the information in databases of conflict events; the limited value of indices of legitimacy, human rights, and inequality as prognostic tools; and above all the complexity of real conflict processes. These analyses provide useful information for discussing the plausibility of computer simulations and illustrate the issues and limitations inherent to the parameterization and validation of agent-based models of social conflict. Chapter 5 contains a description of the agentbased model developed in this work, with emphasis on the extensions to Epstein's original model: vanishing of the risk perception below a critical ratio (set via an input parameter) between deterrence and "group support," deprivation-dependent hardship with variable sensitivity to deprivation (to represent the difference between political and economic deprivation), endogenous legitimacy feedback, and network influence effects modeled via dispositional contagion. Chapter 6 describes a set of simulation experiments that illustrate the generative capacity of the model and the influence of the newly introduced mechanisms on the complexity of the solutions. The results in this chapter highlight interesting aspects, such as how patterns of magnitude, duration, and recurrence of simulated events are influenced by input parameters, the occurrence of solutions with different regimes (calm, intermittent peaks of turmoil and permanent rebellion) in scenarios of low legitimacy and high repression, and the importance of deterrence vis-à-vis sensitivity to deprivation.

The present work will be of general interest to researchers working in social simulation using agent-based models. It will be of particular interest to those working on social conflict, under the perspectives of modeling (abstraction), the development and testing of theories, the use of indicators and databases, and the interplay between theory, models, and data analyses. Prospects for future work include improved modeling of network influences and extension of the model to religious and ethnic conflicts.

Kristiansand, Norway July 2017 Carlos M. Lemos

Acknowledgments

I wish to express my gratitude to Professors Rui J. Lopes of the University Institute of Lisbon (ISCTE) and Helder Coelho of the Faculty of Sciences of the University of Lisbon, my former PhD advisors, for their encouragement and support.

Thanks are also due to Lieutenant-General Xavier Matias of the Portuguese Army who, as director of the Portuguese Joint Command and Staff College, always held the highest consideration and enthusiasm toward my work and gave high priority to funding my participation in conferences to present papers while I was a PhD student. Support by the BioISI (Instituto de Biossistemas e Ciências Integrativas) and by the Faculty of Sciences of the University of Lisbon during my PhD work is also gratefully acknowledged.

I also want to thank Professor Bruce Gilley of Portland State University, for sharing his data on legitimacy and presenting valuable explanations and suggestions for modeling legitimacy feedback.

In July 15th, 2016, I started working as a postdoctoral researcher in the Modeling Religion in Norway (MODRN) project, in the Department of Religion, Philosophy and History at the University of Agder, Kristiansand, Norway. This position provided excellent conditions and the computer resources I needed for exploring the model.

Therefore, I am grateful to the Research Council of Norway, grant number 250449, for funding my contract, and to all the staff of the Department of Religion, Philosophy and History at the University at Agder, for the collaboration and support I received since I started working in MODRN. In particular, I want to thank Professor F. LeRon Shults for his interest in my work, personal support, enthusiasm, and encouragement. I also thank Senior Engineer Sigurd Kristian Brinch of the Department of Information and Communication Technology at the University of Agder, for providing me access to computer resources that were vital for performing the simulation experiments and for his help on working via remote access.

I must also acknowledge the excellent support I received from Springer during the preparation of this manuscript for publication. I am grateful to editors Christian Caron and Christopher Coughlin for their interest in my work and personal support to its publication. I also want to thank assistant editor Ho Ying Fan and project coordinator Dhanuj Nair for all their fast yet patient and accurate replies to my queries.

Finally, I thank my wife São and my daughters Mariana and Marta for their continuous love, support, trust, and enthusiasm, without which this work would have never been completed. They were, are, and will always be my strongest source of energy and inspiration.

Contents

| Introduction | | | | | |
|--|--|---|--|--|--|
| 1.1 | Purpose and Scope | 3 | | | |
| 1.2 | Objectives and Research Questions | 4 | | | |
| 1.3 | Methodology of Development and Structure of the Work | 5 | | | |
| Theoretical Foundations | | | | | |
| 2.1 | Overview of Social Conflict Theories | 7 | | | |
| 2.2 | Violence | 10 | | | |
| 2.3 | Ted Gurr's Frustration-Aggression Theory on the | | | | |
| | Psychological Factors of Civil Violence | 12 | | | |
| 2.4 | Gene Sharp's Theory of Non-Violent Action | 15 | | | |
| 2.5 | Legitimacy | 15 | | | |
| 2.6 | Concluding Remarks | 16 | | | |
| Rev | Review of Agent-Based Models of Social Conflict | | | | |
| and | and Civil Violence | | | | |
| 3.1 | Agent Definition, Agent Types and Rule-Based Models of | | | | |
| | Binary Decision | 17 | | | |
| 3.2 | Epstein's Agent-Based Model of Civil Violence | 19 | | | |
| 3.3 | Discussion of Epstein's Agent-Based Model | 21 | | | |
| | 3.3.1 Scope | 22 | | | |
| | 3.3.2 Measures of Size, Duration, and Interval (Waiting | | | | |
| | Time) of Outbursts | 22 | | | |
| | 3.3.3 Input Parameters, Scales and Mechanisms | 23 | | | |
| 3.4 | Other Models Based Epstein's Agent-Based Model | 24 | | | |
| 3.5 | Concluding Remarks | 26 | | | |
| Analysis of Conflict Datasets and Indicators: The Case | | | | | |
| of tl | e "Arab Spring" | 29 | | | |
| 4.1 | Analysis of the Social Conflict Analysis Database for the | | | | |
| | African "Arab Spring" Countries | 29 | | | |
| | Intr 1.1 1.2 1.3 The 2.1 2.2 2.3 2.4 2.5 2.6 Rev and 3.1 3.2 3.3 3.4 3.5 Ana of th 4.1 | Introduction 1.1 Purpose and Scope 1.2 Objectives and Research Questions 1.3 Methodology of Development and Structure of the Work Theoretical Foundations | | | |

| | | 4.1.1 | A Note on Geographic Information, Accuracy of the | | | |
|---|--|-----------------|--|----|--|--|
| | | | Information, and Exceptional Events | 31 | | |
| | | 4.1.2 | Question 1: How Important Were Demonstrations and | | | |
| | | | Riots, in Terms of Number of Events and Estimated | | | |
| | | | Number of Participants? | 32 | | |
| | | 4.1.3 | Question 2: Which Were the Issues, Organization, | | | |
| | | | and Escalation in Large Demonstrations and Riots? | 34 | | |
| | | 4.1.4 | Question 3: What Were the Patterns of Recurrence, | | | |
| | | | Duration and Size of Demonstrations and Riots? | 37 | | |
| | 4.2 | Analys | is of Fragile States Index Indicators for the African | | | |
| | | "Arab S | Spring" Countries | 43 | | |
| | 4.3 | Analys | is of the Freedom in the World Indicator for the African | | | |
| | | "Arab S | Spring" Countries | 47 | | |
| | 4.4 | Analys | is of the "All the Ginis" Dataset for the African "Arab | | | |
| | | Spring' | " Countries | 48 | | |
| | 4.5 | Conclu | ding Remarks | 48 | | |
| 5 | | M of Civ | il Vielence, ODD Description | 51 | | |
| 3 | AD 5 1 | | | 51 | | |
| | 5.1 | Entition | s State Variables and Scales | 51 | | |
| | 5.2 | 5 2 1 | A gents | 52 | | |
| | | 5.2.1 | Network | 54 | | |
| | | 5.2.2 | Environment | 55 | | |
| | 53 | Dr ocess | Environment | 55 | | |
| | 5.5 | Design | Concents | 56 | | |
| | 5.4 | 5 / 1 | Basic Principles | 56 | | |
| | | 542 | Emergence | 56 | | |
| | | 543 | Adaptation | 56 | | |
| | | 544 | Objectives | 57 | | |
| | | 545 | Learning | 57 | | |
| | | 546 | Prediction | 57 | | |
| | | 547 | Sensing | 57 | | |
| | | 548 | Interaction | 57 | | |
| | | 549 | Stochasticity | 58 | | |
| | | 5 4 10 | Collectives | 59 | | |
| | | 5 4 11 | Observation | 59 | | |
| | | 5 4 12 | Initialization | 59 | | |
| | | 5.4.13 | Input Data | 59 | | |
| | | 5.4.14 | Submodels | 59 | | |
| | 5.5 | R Scrir | ots for Pre- and Post-Processing. | 63 | | |
| _ | | | | | | |
| 6 | Model Exploration and Computer Experiments | | | | | |
| | 6.1 | Risk Pe | erception and the Estimated Arrest Probability | 66 | | |
| | | 6.1.1 | Analytical Study | 66 | | |
| | | 6.1.2 | Computer Experiments | 76 | | |

| | 6.2 | 2 The Influence of the Jail Term | | |
|----|-----------------------------|---|-----|--|
| | 6.3 | elative Deprivation | | |
| | 6.4 | Legitimacy Feedback | 90 | |
| | | 6.4.1 Relative Deprivation with Legitimacy Feedback | 91 | |
| | | 6.4.2 Legitimacy Feedback in a Scenario of High | | |
| | | Legitimacy and Low Repression | 97 | |
| | 6.5 | Network Influences | 102 | |
| 7 | Conclusions and Future Work | | 113 | |
| | 7.1 | Conclusions | 113 | |
| | 7.2 | Future Work | 116 | |
| Re | feren | ICES | 117 | |

List of Symbols and Acronyms

| α | Shape parameter in the Pareto distribution |
|------------------------------|--|
| α_i | Learning constant for the <i>i</i> -th agent in Rescorla-Wagner condition- |
| | ing model (salience of conditioned stimulus) |
| β_i | Learning constant for the <i>i</i> -th agent in Rescorla-Wagner condition- |
| | ing model (salience of unconditioned stimulus) |
| δ | Exponent in generalized Rescorla-Wagner conditioning model |
| $\mathcal{N}(\mu, \sigma^2)$ | Normal distribution with mean value μ and variance σ^2 |
| $\mathcal{U}(a,b)$ | Continuous uniform distribution with support $[a, b]$ |
| A | Generic agent |
| \mathscr{P} | Agent's percept |
| \mathscr{R} | Set of possible finite runs |
| S | Sum of social influences in the action rule for a "citizen" agent in |
| | the ABM of social conflict |
| $\mu(X)$ | Mean (or expected) value of random variable X |
| ρ | C/A, the ratio between the number of "cops" and the number of |
| | "active" citizens in the ABM of social conflict |
| $ ho_c$ | Critical "cop"-to-"active" ratio below which risk perception van- |
| | ishes; a parameter in the proposed form of the estimated arrest |
| | probability function (P_a) |
| $ ho_f$ | Fixed point value of the "cop"-to-"active" ratio in the analytical |
| | model described in Sect. 6.1 |
| $ ho_v$ | $= (C_v/A_v)$ |
| AG_i | The set of "active" citizens in the group network, in the <i>i</i> th "citizen" |
| | in the ABM of social conflict |
| \mathtt{AINFL}_i | The set of "active" citizens in the infl network, in the i^{th} "citizen" |
| | in the ABM of social conflict |
| var(X) | Variance of random variable X |
| A(t) | Total number of "active" citizens at time step t |
| A_v | Number of "active" citizens within a citizen's vision radius in the |
| | ABM of social conflict |
| Ac | Set of actions available to an agent \mathscr{A} |