

PIVOTAL STUDIES IN THE
GLOBAL AMERICAN
LITERARY IMAGINATION

Series Editors: Daniel T. O'Hara and Donald E. Pease

palgrave▶pivot

**MODERNITY AND
AUTOBIOGRAPHY IN
NINETEENTH-
CENTURY AMERICA**

Literary Representations
of Communication
and Transportation
Technologies

James E. Dobson



Pivotal Studies in the Global American Literary
Imagination

Series editors
Daniel T. O'Hara
Temple University
Philadelphia, PA
USA

Donald E. Pease
Dartmouth College
Hanover, NH
USA

This series will present new critical perspectives on the histories and legacies shaping the divergent visions of America in the world within literary texts. Texts that re-envision America and its relationship to the larger world, in ways other than exceptionalist, will provide a point of critical focus for these cutting edge scholarly studies. Using the unique format of Palgrave Pivot to make an incisive intervention into current scholarship, the stress in these books will be on how American literary texts have and continue to contribute to the reformation of the vision of America in the world from roughly the antebellum period to the present. As “transnational” approaches to scholarly production have become mainstream, Pivotal Studies in the Global American Literary Imagination considers the complexities of such an appropriation and, instead, develop alternative global perspectives. All American genealogies from the New England preeminence through the mid-century modern cold war consensus to post-modern dissensus, transatlantic, global/transnational turns (and counter-turns) would be tapped and the word “American” in the title will include all of North America. All critical perspectives would also be welcome, so long as the focus is on the question of how the texts and subjects discussed bear on the question of the global American literary imagination. Finally, the authors will demonstrate how to read their chosen texts, revealing the ways these new interpretations foster informed critique and revised critical methods.

More information about this series at
<http://www.palgrave.com/gp/series/15082>

James E. Dobson

Modernity
and Autobiography
in Nineteenth-Century
America

Literary Representations of Communication and
Transportation Technologies

palgrave
macmillan

James E. Dobson
Dartmouth College
Hanover, NH
USA

Pivotal Studies in the Global American Literary Imagination
ISBN 978-3-319-67321-9 ISBN 978-3-319-67322-6 (eBook)
DOI 10.1007/978-3-319-67322-6

Library of Congress Control Number: 2017952829

© The Editor(s) (if applicable) and The Author(s) 2017

This work is subject to copyright. All rights are solely and exclusively licensed by the Publisher, whether the whole or part of the material is concerned, specifically the rights of translation, reprinting, reuse of illustrations, recitation, broadcasting, reproduction on microfilms or in any other physical way, and transmission or information storage and retrieval, electronic adaptation, computer software, or by similar or dissimilar methodology now known or hereafter developed.

The use of general descriptive names, registered names, trademarks, service marks, etc. in this publication does not imply, even in the absence of a specific statement, that such names are exempt from the relevant protective laws and regulations and therefore free for general use.

The publisher, the authors and the editors are safe to assume that the advice and information in this book are believed to be true and accurate at the date of publication. Neither the publisher nor the authors or the editors give a warranty, express or implied, with respect to the material contained herein or for any errors or omissions that may have been made. The publisher remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Cover credit: © nemesi2207/Fotolia.co.uk

Printed on acid-free paper

This Palgrave Macmillan imprint is published by Springer Nature
The registered company is Springer International Publishing AG
The registered company address is: Gewerbestrasse 11, 6330 Cham, Switzerland

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Some of the material in this book began in the form of a dissertation under the brilliant guidance of Jennifer L. Fleissner at Indiana University. Thank you, Jen, for your mentorship, support, and prodding and for your ongoing friendship. My committee members helped in numerous ways to form my scholarly interests and practices. Thank you, Jonathan Elmer, Scott Herring, and Paul Gutjahr. Louis A. Renza's great "American Prose" course at Dartmouth was life changing and affirming. He brought me to the academic study of American literature and autobiography and introduced me to Henry Adams. I am deeply indebted to Lou's generous and critical reading of the ideas contained within this manuscript. Our friendship over the decade since has been the most valuable part of my intellectual life. Thank you for everything, Lou. Donald E. Pease has served so many roles: teacher, mentor, a fellow panelist, collaborator, colleague, and, most importantly, a very good friend. He has carefully read almost every word that I have written over the past decade. Teaching together has been the highlight of my academic career as I have moved from the audience to take a position side-by-side, on the stage with Prof. Pease. He continuously leads me and our field to rethink so much of what we thought we knew about American literature. I would like to thank Daniel O'Hara for considering this book for his series and to my anonymous readers for their valuable criticism and helpful reframing of the crucial problems at the center of my project. Thank you, also, to Allie Bochicchio and Emily Janakiram at Palgrave for helping to usher this book into all its various final forms.

Thank you to my colleagues in the English Department at Dartmouth including Barbara Will, Andrew McCann, Melissa Zeiger, Aden Evens, and Colleen Boggs, and especially to Michael A. Chaney, who was kind enough to invite me to present sections of this project in their earliest phase to his “Vox Clamantis: Wilderness in nineteenth-Century American Literature” seminar and provided me with valuable feedback on this manuscript. Thank you to my colleagues, friends, and alums of the Department of Psychological and Brain Sciences including Michael S. Gazzaniga, Scott T. Grafton, George L. Wolford, James V. Haxby, Jason Gors, Carolyn Parkinson, Alex Schlegel, Sam Nastaste, Peter Tse, Thalia Wheatley. For our unfortunately too short friendship and for the initial push back into the classroom, thank you so very much Mark R. Samco (1979–2005). I also need to acknowledge the thoughtful comments of my work by members of the numerous seminars that I’ve participated in over the years at the Futures of American Studies Institute at Dartmouth. Thank you to my fellow Futures faculty, especially Eric Lott, Cindi Katz, Marty Favor, Duncan Faherty, Winfried Fluck, and Elizabeth Maddock Dillon, all of whom helped to spark and inspire my work over these past few years. Thank you, also, to my friends and colleagues at Indiana University including Jessica Waggoner, Christopher Martiniano, John Kofron, Bekah Trollinger, and Matthew Lynch. Thank you to my family, to unfailingly supportive parents and sister: Jim, Maida, and Emily.

Finally, a very special thank you to Rena J. Mosteirín, my first and best reader and an unmatched editor, critic, and friend. You have patiently entertained all my thoughts about these authors and ideas and more importantly, you have helped refine the arguments and accounts contained within this volume. Thank you, for everything.

Hanover, New Hampshire
October 2017

James E. Dobson

CONTENTS

1	The American Modernity Crisis and Disruptive Technologies	1
2	Modernity and the Dialectic of Detachment	17
3	“An Alien at Home”: Henry James’s Failed Homecoming	41
4	Theodore Dreiser, Temporary Homes, and the Compensatory Commemorative State	63
5	The Telephonic Self: Non-Systemic Systems and Autobiographical Self-Representation	81
	Bibliography	109
	Index	115

The American Modernity Crisis and Disruptive Technologies

Where does our modern world belong—to exhaustion or ascent?
—Friedrich Nietzsche, *The Will to Power*.

Abstract This introductory chapter provides a theoretical and historical account of nineteenth-century disruptive technologies and ways in which these disrupt the phenomenology of autobiographical narrative. The chapter closes with a reading of Mark Twain’s *The Autobiography of Mark Twain* in which I argue that this text registers Twain’s ambivalence to the mediation produced by his increasingly technologized act of composition.

Keywords Modernity crisis · Phenomenology of autobiography
Temporal disorientation · Typewriter · Modernizing technologies
Self-observation

This book concerns the relation between various new technologies and the formal innovations and experimentation found in American autobiographical writing in the late nineteenth and early twentieth century. I call these technologies “disruptive” in an attempt to gesture toward the ways in which these technologies were registered through formal features

found within a range of autobiographical narratives and to suggest that the problems introduced at the intersection of self-writing, technology, and media at the fin-de-siècle continue to be felt in our present moment.¹ Disruption as an aesthetic feature, of course, brings to mind the fragmentation of narrative that we have long associated with the literature of this period, but there are other ways in which we see disruption manifested within narrative—from representations of geographical or temporal displacement to regular and rhythmic punctuating events. Each of the following four chapters focuses on the autobiographical representation of two main categories of what were experienced as disruptive nineteenth and early twentieth-century technologies: transportation, the train and the automobile being the two most important modes, and communication, primarily the telephone and the typewriter. I do so in order to demonstrate the complex relationship between the literary representation of lived experience that we find in self-reflective American autobiographical writing and the phenomenological disruptions produced by these modern and modernizing technologies.

But why autobiography? Several landmark studies of nineteenth-century fiction including Mark Seltzer's *Bodies and Machines* have argued that literary texts are the crucial site through which we can witness the troubled relationship between technology or machine culture and humans, but in focusing on fictional characters and plots and ignoring autobiographical writing, the historicist "cultural relay" of this body-machine complex, to use Seltzer's terms, displaces two important relationships: the mediation between the author as narrator and subject of the literary text and the historical complexities involved in writing about one's own past while bridging the gap between that past and the present. Autobiography, like the major transportation and communication technologies discussed in each chapter, mediates between an inside and an outside, between the assumed privacy of interiority and lived experience and the publicness of the generic conventions deployed by literary narrative and its dissemination. It is also the case that self-observation or just its promise, which provides the spine or structure of autobiographical narrative, foregrounds the phenomenological problem of representing past events to ourselves. This genre or mode of writing, even with texts that claim to only represent the present, involve aspects of retro-spection that are simultaneously susceptible to interference from the moment of composition and troubling for a fully determinist account of any autobiographical narrative as resulting from only present concerns.²

At the same time, autobiography, as Georges Gusdorf reminds us, must remain structurally incomplete: “autobiography is condemned to substitute endlessly the completely formed for that which is in the process of being formed.”³ The writer of autobiography or autobiographical prose might be said to be haunted by this incompleteness at the core of the autobiographical act.⁴ Autobiography, finally, is a modern, nineteenth-century “technology” through which we have gained some sense of what it is like to be another person in the world. Autobiography gives us limited access to the complex internal lives of others and makes it possible to know some of the rich variety of human experience.

Throughout this book I use the terms modern, modernity, and modernization not just to signal the major changes brought by fin-de-siècle and early twentieth-century culture, a moment that many literary critics tend to associate with the origin of full-fledged modernism, but also, and more importantly, to highlight an ongoing and unevenly developed process best understood through some form of *longue durée* historical analysis. Different modes of historicism, and not least the methodology referred to as the “new historicism” that has held a lock on American literary studies for the past few decades, has prevented a full inquiry into mechanics of time that are operative throughout the nineteenth century and central to autobiography. In claiming temporal coincidence, the contemporaneity of the text and its cultural context, new historicist practices erased the complexities of lived experience while simultaneously positing an organizing logic existing within a singular temporal order. This is not to say that history does not matter—far from it, for the historicity of the literary works under question in the following pages is quite important and indeed central to my arguments—but that in reading these works, we need to be able to select from many different possible contexts and potentially much larger contextualizing periods.⁵

This historical framing is important because the problems that appear with significant force within the twentieth-century modernist moment do not suddenly and spontaneously appear *de novo*. Many of the features of modernization that were of interest and concern to my coterie of authors began in Europe during the era of Enlightenment and continue through to the present. This process of modernization, marked by an increasing attempt to address and understand the public through rationalized abstractions, statistical tables, and reference to the population, was the subject of critique as early as Søren Kierkegaard’s *The Present Age* (1846). The late nineteenth century was an age characterized by some

rather marked ambivalence toward the modern project. While many Americans were excited by the scope and promise of modern social and intellectual reconfiguration, others expressed their dissatisfaction with the promises and outcomes of modernity and the modern project. The three major autobiographers taken up by this book—Henry James, Theodore Dreiser, and Henry Adams—as well as a range of important thinkers and critics appearing alongside these writers, all understood the modern project to mean the institutional, intellectual, and social reconfigurations emerging out of the age of Enlightenment. Among these diverse modern projects, we should count the abstractions and concepts such as the idea of the public sphere, the nation-state, empiricism, reason, individualism, and individual rights. The rapid technological innovation that has been frequently paired with these projects gave many people cause to believe in modernity’s myth of progress.

Yet at the same time, there was some doubt that life was improving as a result of these reforms. Robert Pippin adds to the above list of modern projects a clarifying statement of belief that came to be under increasing pressure in fin-de-siècle Europe: “Above all else, modernity is characterized by the view that human life after the political and intellectual revolutions of the seventeenth and eighteenth centuries is fundamentally better than before, and most likely will, thanks to such revolutions be better still.”⁶ Friedrich Nietzsche, one of Pippin’s star witnesses to the European indictment of modernity, gives voice to the discontent rising from across the continent. Nietzsche’s *Twilight of the Idols* (1889) enumerates his “criticism of modernity” beginning with what he takes as a self-evident truth that “[modern] institutions are no longer any good.”⁷ By this Nietzsche means a whole range of institutions that by the end of the century have degraded and emptied the value out of people’s lives. Following this line of thinking, we might characterize Nietzsche’s whole intellectual project as an attempt to deflate the pretensions of an Enlightened modernity that has become convinced that it has truly “progressed” beyond the past.

The modernity crisis, as we might call this transnational moment of doubt and dissatisfaction with the modern project, was widespread, but we have yet to connect its many different manifestations. For example, critics have neglected to examine the intersection of many of these modern technologies to disruptions in phenomenological experience and the understanding of the life narrative. In the USA, the constant exposure to new technologies and environments was believed to cause what was then called the “modernity disease” of neurasthenia. Respected medical