Editors Michael K. Johnson • Robert A. Scott

# Metalloprotein Active 54.938 26 55 Site Assembly 25

Encyclopedia of Inorganic and Bioinorganic Chemistry



WILEY

# METALLOPROTEIN ACTIVE SITE ASSEMBLY

# **EIBC Books**



Application of Physical Methods to Inorganic and Bioinorganic Chemistry Edited by Robert A. Scott and Charles M. Lukehart ISBN 978-0-470-03217-6 Nanomaterials: Inorganic and Bioinorganic Perspectives Edited by Charles M. Lukehart and Robert A. Scott ISBN 978-0-470-51644-7 Computational Inorganic and Bioinorganic Chemistry Edited by Edward I. Solomon, R. Bruce King and Robert A. Scott ISBN 978-0-470-69997-3 Radionuclides in the Environment Edited by David A. Atwood ISBN 978-0-470-71434-8 Energy Production and Storage: Inorganic Chemical Strategies for a Warming World Edited by Robert H. Crabtree ISBN 978-0-470-74986-9 The Rare Earth Elements: Fundamentals and Applications Edited by David A. Atwood ISBN 978-1-119-95097-4 Metals in Cells Edited by Valeria Culotta and Robert A. Scott ISBN 978-1-119-95323-4 Metal-Organic Framework Materials Edited by Leonard R. MacGillivray and Charles M. Lukehart ISBN 978-1-119-95289-3 The Lightest Metals: Science and Technology from Lithium to Calcium Edited by Timothy P. Hanusa ISBN 978-1-118-70328-1 Sustainable Inorganic Chemistry Edited by David A. Atwood ISBN 978-1-118-70342-7 Metalloprotein Active Site Assembly Edited by Michael K. Johnson and Robert A. Scott ISBN 978-1-11915983-4

### Forthcoming

*The Heaviest Metals: Science and Technology of the Actinides and Beyond* Edited by William J. Evans and Timothy P. Hanusa ISBN 978-1-11930409-8

Inorganic Battery Materials Edited by Hailiang Wang and Boniface P.T. Fokwa ISBN 978-1-11943199-2

# **Encyclopedia of Inorganic and Bioinorganic Chemistry**

The Encyclopedia of Inorganic and Bioinorganic Chemistry (EIBC) was created as an online reference in 2012 by merging the Encyclopedia of Inorganic Chemistry and the Handbook of Metalloproteins. The resulting combination proves to be the defining reference work in the field of inorganic and bioinorganic chemistry. The online edition is regularly updated and expanded. For information see:

www.wileyonlinelibrary.com/ref/eibc

# METALLOPROTEIN ACTIVE SITE ASSEMBLY

**Editors** 

Michael K. Johnson

University of Georgia, Athens, GA, USA

## **Robert A. Scott**

University of Georgia, Athens, GA, USA

# WILEY

This edition first published 2017 © 2017 John Wiley & Sons Ltd

#### **Registered** office

John Wiley & Sons Ltd, The Atrium, Southern Gate, Chichester, West Sussex, PO19 8SQ, UK

The right of the authors to be identified as the authors of this work has been asserted in accordance with law.

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording or otherwise, except as permitted by law. Advice on how to obtain permission to reuse material from this title is available at http://www.wiley.com/go/permissions.

Wiley also publishes its books in a variety of electronic formats and by print-on-demand. Some content that appears in standard print versions of this book may not be available in other formats.

#### Limit of Liability/Disclaimer of Warranty

While the publisher and authors have used their best efforts in preparing this work, they make no representations or warranties with respect to the accuracy or completeness of the contents of this work and specifically disclaim all warranties, including without limitation any implied warranties of merchantability or fitness for a particular purpose. No warranty may be created or extended by sales representatives, written sales materials or promotional statements for this work. The fact that an organization, website, or product is referred to in this work as a citation and/or potential source of further information does not mean that the publisher and authors endorse the information or services the organization, website, or product may provide or recommendations it may make. This work is sold with the understanding that the publisher is not engaged in rendering professional services. The advice and strategies contained herein may not be suitable for your situation. You should consult with a specialist where appropriate. Further, readers should be aware that websites listed in this work may have changed or disappeared between when this work was written and when it is read. Neither the publisher nor authors shall be liable for any loss of profit or any other commercial damages, including but not limited to special, incidental, consequential, or other damages.

#### Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data

Names: Johnson, Michael K., 1953- editor. | Scott, Robert A., 1953- editor.
Title: Metalloprotein active site assembly / editors, Michael K. Johnson, University of Georgia, Athens, GA, USA, Robert A. Scott, University of Georgia, Athens, GA, USA.
Description: Chichester, West Sussex : Wiley, 2017. | Series: EIC books | Includes bibliographical references and index. |
Identifiers: LCCN 2017027900 (print) | LCCN 2017029404 (ebook) | ISBN 9781119159841 (pdf) | ISBN 9781119159858 (epub) | ISBN 9781119159834 (cloth)
Subjects: LCSH: Metalloproteins. | Bioinorganic chemistry. | Biochemistry.
Classification: LCC QP552.M46 (ebook) | LCC QP552.M46 M4675 2017 (print) | DDC 572/.6-dc23

LC record available at https://lccn.loc.gov/2017027900

Cover Background and Images: Wiley

Set in 10/12pt TimesNewRomanMTStd by SPi-Global, Chennai, India

 $10\ 9\ 8\ 7\ 6\ 5\ 4\ 3\ 2\ 1$ 

### **Encyclopedia of Inorganic and Bioinorganic Chemistry**

### **Editorial Board**

### **Editor-in-Chief**

Robert A. Scott University of Georgia, Athens, GA, USA

### **Section Editors**

David A. Atwood University of Kentucky, Lexington, KY, USA

Timothy P. Hanusa Vanderbilt University, Nashville, TN, USA

Charles M. Lukehart Vanderbilt University, Nashville, TN, USA

Albrecht Messerschmidt Max-Planck-Institute für Biochemie, Martinsried, Germany

> Robert A. Scott University of Georgia, Athens, GA, USA

### **Associate Editors**

Boniface Fokwa University of California, Riverside, CA, USA

> Rebecca L. Melen Cardiff University, Cardiff, UK

Yvain Nicolet Institut de Biologie Structurale, Grenoble, France

Tim Storr Simon Fraser University, Burnaby, BC, Canada

Nilay Hazari Yale University, New Haven, CT, USA

### **Editors-in-Chief Emeritus & Senior Advisors**

Robert H. Crabtree Yale University, New Haven, CT, USA

R. Bruce King University of Georgia, Athens, GA, USA

### **International Advisory Board**

Michael Bruce Adelaide, Australia

Tristram Chivers Calgary, Canada

Valeria Culotta MD, USA

Mirek Cygler Saskatchewan, Canada

Marcetta Darensbourg TX, USA

Michel Ephritikhine *Gif-sur-Yvette, France* 

Robert Huber Martinsried, Germany

Susumu Kitagawa *Kyoto, Japan*  Leonard R. MacGillivray *IA*, *USA* 

Thomas Poulos *CA*, *USA* 

David Schubert *CO, USA* 

Edward I. Solomon *CA*, *USA* 

Katherine Thompson Vancouver, Canada

T. Don Tilley *CA*, *USA* 

Karl E. Wieghardt Mülheim an der Ruhr, Germany

Vivian Yam Hong Kong

# Contents

Contributors	IX
Series Preface	XIII
Volume Preface	XV
PART 1: ASSEMBLY AND TRAFFICKING OF SIMPLE Fe-S CLUSTERS	1
<b>Nif System for Simple [Fe–S] Cluster Assembly in Nitrogen-Fixing Bacteria</b> <i>Patricia C. Dos Santos and Dennis R. Dean</i>	3
<b>Iron–Sulfur Cluster Assembly in Bacteria and Eukarya using the ISC Biosynthesis Machinery</b> Sandrine Ollagnier de Choudens and Hélène Puccio	17
<b>The Suf System in Archaea, Bacteria, and Eukaryotic Organelles</b> <i>Guangchao Dong, Savannah Witcher, F. Wayne Outten and Marinus Pilon</i>	37
Roles of Class II Glutaredoxins in the Maturation of Fe–S Proteins Jonathan Przybyla-Toscano, Thomas Roret, Jérémy Couturier and Nicolas Rouhier	53
PART 2: ASSEMBLY OF COMPLEX AND HETEROMETALLIC Fe-S CLUSTER ACTIVE SITES	73
Nitrogenase Metallocluster Assembly Nathaniel S. Sickerman, Lee A. Rettberg, Yilin Hu and Markus W. Ribbe	75
Metallocluster Assembly: Maturation of [FeFe]-Hydrogenases Giorgio Caserta, Ludovic Pecqueur, Cecilia Papini and Marc Fontecave	93
CO Dehydrogenase and Acetyl-CoA Synthase Holger Dobbek	111
PART 3: ASSEMBLY OF HOMOMETALLIC AND HETEROMETALIC Cu CLUSTER ACTIVE SITES	123
Assembly of Dinuclear Copper Center in Tyrosinases and Hemocyanins Nobutaka Fujieda and Shinobu Itoh	125
Multicopper Oxidases Daniel J. Kosman	139
Assembly of the Redox-Active Metal Centers of Cytochrome c Oxidase Eva Nyvltova, Antoni Barrientos and Jonathan Hosler	157

CuA and CuZ Center Assembly in Nitrous Oxide Reductase Sofia R. Pauleta and Isabel Moura	185
<b>MoCu CO Dehydrogenase and its Active-Site Assembly</b> Frank Mickoleit	197
PART 4: ASSEMBLY OF HOMOMETALLIC AND HETEROMETALLIC Mn CLUSTERS	213
Homo- and Heterometallic Dinuclear Manganese Proteins: Active Site Assembly Gustav Berggren, Daniel Lundin and Britt-Marie Sjöberg	215
<b>Biogenesis and Assembly of the CaMn<sub>4</sub>O<sub>5</sub> Core of Photosynthetic Water Oxidases and Inorganic Mutants</b> Colin Gates, Gennady Ananyev and G. Charles Dismukes	233
PART 5: ASSEMBLY OF HOMOMETALLIC AND HETEROMETALLIC NI CLUSTERS	249
Urease Activation Robert P. Hausinger	251
<b>Insights into [NiFe]-Hydrogenase Active Site Metallocluster Assembly</b> Robert Gary Sawers and Constanze Pinske	261
PART 6: ASSEMBLY OF COFACTORS FOR BINDING ACTIVE-SITE METAL CENTERS	273
Moco in Mo/W Enzymes Silke Leimkühler	275
Heme Biosynthesis Amy E. Medlock and Harry A. Dailey	299
Siroheme Assembly and Insertion to Nitrite and Sulfite Reductase M. Elizabeth Stroupe and Martin J. Warren	315
<b>Biosynthesis of Coenzyme F430 and the Posttranslational Modification of the Active Site Region of</b> <b>Methyl-Coenzyme M Reductase</b> <i>Steven O. Mansoorabadi, Kaiyuan Zheng and Phong D. Ngo</i>	323
<b>Coenzyme B<sub>12</sub> Biosynthesis in Bacteria and Archaea</b> Theodoric A. Mattes, Jorge C. Escalante-Semerena, Evelyne Deery and Martin J. Warren	335
<b>Crosslinked Cys–Tyr Free Radical Redox Cofactor</b> James W. Whittaker	361
<b>Topaquinone Biogenesis and Lysyl Tyrosine Quinone Biogenesis in Cu Amine Oxidases</b> David M. Dooley, Doreen E. Brown and Eric M. Shepard	375

389

# Contributors

Gennady Ananyev	<ul> <li>Rutgers University, Piscataway, NJ, USA</li> <li>Biogenesis and Assembly of the CaMn<sub>4</sub>O<sub>5</sub> Core of Photosynthetic Water Oxidases and Inorganic Mutants</li> </ul>
Antoni Barrientos	<ul> <li>University of Miami, Miami, FL, USA</li> <li>Assembly of the Redox-Active Metal Centers of Cytochrome c Oxidase</li> </ul>
Gustav Berggren	<ul> <li>Uppsala University, Uppsala, Sweden</li> <li>Homo- and Heterometallic Dinuclear Manganese Proteins: Active Site Assembly</li> </ul>
Doreen E. Brown	<ul> <li>Montana State University, Bozeman, MT, USA</li> <li>Topaquinone Biogenesis and Lysyl Tyrosine Quinone Biogenesis in Cu Amine Oxidases</li> </ul>
Giorgio Caserta	<ul><li>Université Pierre et Marie Curie, Paris, France</li><li>Metallocluster Assembly: Maturation of [FeFe]-Hydrogenases</li></ul>
Jérémy Couturier	<ul> <li>Université de Lorraine/INRA, Vandoeuvre-lès-Nancy Cedex, France</li> <li>Roles of Class II Glutaredoxins in the Maturation of Fe–S Proteins</li> </ul>
Harry A. Dailey	<ul><li>University of Georgia, Athens, GA, USA</li><li>Heme Biosynthesis</li></ul>
Dennis R. Dean	<ul> <li>Virginia Tech, Blacksburg, VA, USA</li> <li>Nif System for Simple [Fe–S] Cluster Assembly in Nitrogen-Fixing Bacteria</li> </ul>
Evelyne Deery	<ul> <li>University of Kent, Canterbury, UK</li> <li>Coenzyme B<sub>12</sub> Biosynthesis in Bacteria and Archaea</li> </ul>
G. Charles Dismukes	<ul> <li>Rutgers University, Piscataway, NJ, USA</li> <li>Biogenesis and Assembly of the CaMn<sub>4</sub>O<sub>5</sub> Core of Photosynthetic Water Oxidases and Inorganic Mutants</li> </ul>
Holger Dobbek	<ul><li>Humboldt-Universität zu Berlin, Berlin, Germany</li><li>CO Dehydrogenase and Acetyl-CoA Synthase</li></ul>
Guangchao Dong	<ul><li>University of South Carolina, Columbia, SC, USA</li><li>The Suf System in Archaea, Bacteria, and Eukaryotic Organelles</li></ul>
David M. Dooley	<ul> <li>University of Rhode Island, Kingston, RI, USA</li> <li>Topaquinone Biogenesis and Lysyl Tyrosine Quinone Biogenesis in Cu Amine Oxidases</li> </ul>

Patricia C. Dos Santos	<ul> <li>Wake Forest University, Winston-Salem, NC, USA</li> <li>Nif System for Simple [Fe–S] Cluster Assembly in Nitrogen-Fixing Bacteria</li> </ul>
Jorge C. Escalante-Semerena	<ul> <li>University of Georgia, Athens, GA, USA</li> <li>Coenzyme B<sub>12</sub> Biosynthesis in Bacteria and Archaea</li> </ul>
Marc Fontecave	<ul><li>Université Pierre et Marie Curie, Paris, France</li><li>Metallocluster Assembly: Maturation of [FeFe]-Hydrogenases</li></ul>
Nobutaka Fujieda	<ul> <li>Osaka University, Osaka, Japan</li> <li>Assembly of Dinuclear Copper Center in Tyrosinases and Hemo- cyanins</li> </ul>
Colin Gates	<ul> <li>Rutgers University, Piscataway, NJ, USA</li> <li>Biogenesis and Assembly of the CaMn<sub>4</sub>O<sub>5</sub> Core of Photosynthetic Water Oxidases and Inorganic Mutants</li> </ul>
Robert P. Hausinger	<ul><li>Michigan State University, East Lansing, MI, USA</li><li>Urease Activation</li></ul>
Jonathan Hosler	<ul> <li>University of Mississippi Medical Center, Jackson, MS, USA</li> <li>Assembly of the Redox-Active Metal Centers of Cytochrome c Oxidase</li> </ul>
Yilin Hu	<ul><li>University of California, Irvine, CA, USA</li><li>Nitrogenase Metallocluster Assembly</li></ul>
Shinobu Itoh	<ul> <li>Osaka University, Osaka, Japan</li> <li>Assembly of Dinuclear Copper Center in Tyrosinases and Hemo- cyanins</li> </ul>
Daniel J. Kosman	<ul><li>University at Buffalo, Buffalo, NY, USA</li><li>Multicopper Oxidases</li></ul>
Silke Leimkühler	<ul><li>University of Potsdam, Potsdam, Germany</li><li>Moco in Mo/W Enzymes</li></ul>
Daniel Lundin	<ul> <li>Stockholm University, Stockholm, Sweden</li> <li>Homo- and Heterometallic Dinuclear Manganese Proteins: Active Site Assembly</li> </ul>
Steven O. Mansoorabadi	<ul> <li>Auburn University, Auburn, AL, USA</li> <li>Biosynthesis of Coenzyme F430 and the Posttranslational Modification of the Active Site Region of Methyl-Coenzyme M Reductase</li> </ul>
Theodoric A. Mattes	<ul> <li>University of Georgia, Athens, GA, USA</li> <li>Coenzyme B<sub>12</sub> Biosynthesis in Bacteria and Archaea</li> </ul>
Amy E. Medlock	<ul><li>University of Georgia, Athens, GA, USA</li><li>Heme Biosynthesis</li></ul>
Frank Mickoleit	<ul><li>University of Bayreuth, Bayreuth, Germany</li><li>MoCu CO Dehydrogenase and its Active-Site Assembly</li></ul>
Isabel Moura	<ul><li>Universidade NOVA de Lisboa, Caparica, Portugal</li><li>CuA and CuZ Center Assembly in Nitrous Oxide Reductase</li></ul>

Phong D. Ngo	<ul> <li>Auburn University, Auburn, AL, USA</li> <li>Biosynthesis of Coenzyme F430 and the Posttranslational Modification of the Active Site Region of Methyl-Coenzyme M Reductase</li> </ul>
Eva Nyvltova	<ul><li><i>University of Miami, Miami, FL, USA</i></li><li>Assembly of the Redox-Active Metal Centers of Cytochrome c Oxidase</li></ul>
Sandrine Ollagnier de Choudens	<ul> <li>CEA/DRF/BIG/CBM/BioCat; CNRS UMR 5249, LCBM; Université Grenoble Alpes, LCBM, Grenoble, France</li> <li>Iron-Sulfur Cluster Assembly in Bacteria and Eukarya using the ISC Biosynthesis Machinery</li> </ul>
F. Wayne Outten	<ul> <li>University of South Carolina, Columbia, SC, USA</li> <li>The Suf System in Archaea, Bacteria, and Eukaryotic Organelles</li> </ul>
Cecilia Papini	<ul><li>Université Pierre et Marie Curie, Paris, France</li><li>Metallocluster Assembly: Maturation of [FeFe]-Hydrogenases</li></ul>
Sofia R. Pauleta	<ul><li>Universidade NOVA de Lisboa, Caparica, Portugal</li><li>CuA and CuZ Center Assembly in Nitrous Oxide Reductase</li></ul>
Ludovic Pecqueur	<ul><li>Université Pierre et Marie Curie, Paris, France</li><li>Metallocluster Assembly: Maturation of [FeFe]-Hydrogenases</li></ul>
Marinus Pilon	<ul><li><i>Colorado State University, Fort Collins, CO, USA</i></li><li>The Suf System in Archaea, Bacteria, and Eukaryotic Organelles</li></ul>
Constanze Pinske	<ul> <li>Martin-Luther-University Halle-Wittenberg, Halle, Germany</li> <li>Insights into [NiFe]-Hydrogenase Active Site Metallocluster Assembly</li> </ul>
Jonathan Przybyla-Toscano	<ul> <li>Université de Lorraine/INRA, Vandoeuvre-lès-Nancy Cedex, France</li> <li>Roles of Class II Glutaredoxins in the Maturation of Fe–S Proteins</li> </ul>
Hélène Puccio	<ul> <li>IGBMC (Institut de Génétique et de Biologie Moléculaire et Cellulaire);</li> <li>Inserm U596; CNRS, UMR7104; Université de Strasbourg; Collège de France, Chaire de Génétique Humaine, Illkirch, France</li> <li>Iron-Sulfur Cluster Assembly in Bacteria and Eukarya using the ISC Biosynthesis Machinery</li> </ul>
Lee A. Rettberg	<ul><li>University of California, Irvine, CA, USA</li><li>Nitrogenase Metallocluster Assembly</li></ul>
Markus W. Ribbe	<ul><li>University of California, Irvine, CA, USA</li><li>Nitrogenase Metallocluster Assembly</li></ul>
Thomas Roret	<ul> <li>Université de Lorraine/INRA, Vandoeuvre-lès-Nancy Cedex, France</li> <li>Roles of Class II Glutaredoxins in the Maturation of Fe–S Proteins</li> </ul>
Nicolas Rouhier	<ul> <li>Université de Lorraine/INRA, Vandoeuvre-lès-Nancy Cedex, France</li> <li>Roles of Class II Glutaredoxins in the Maturation of Fe–S Proteins</li> </ul>
Robert Gary Sawers	<ul> <li>Martin-Luther-University Halle-Wittenberg, Halle, Germany</li> <li>Insights into [NiFe]-Hydrogenase Active Site Metallocluster Assembly</li> </ul>
Eric M. Shepard	<ul> <li>Montana State University, Bozeman, MT, USA</li> <li>Topaquinone Biogenesis and Lysyl Tyrosine Quinone Biogenesis in Cu Amine Oxidases</li> </ul>

Nathaniel S. Sickerman	<ul><li>University of California, Irvine, CA, USA</li><li>Nitrogenase Metallocluster Assembly</li></ul>
Britt-Marie Sjöberg	<ul> <li>Stockholm University, Stockholm, Sweden</li> <li>Homo- and Heterometallic Dinuclear Manganese Proteins: Active Site Assembly</li> </ul>
M. Elizabeth Stroupe	<ul><li><i>Florida State University, Tallahassee, FL, USA</i></li><li>Siroheme Assembly and Insertion to Nitrite and Sulfite Reductase</li></ul>
Martin J. Warren	<ul> <li>University of Kent, Canterbury, UK</li> <li>Coenzyme B<sub>12</sub> Biosynthesis in Bacteria and Archaea</li> <li>Siroheme Assembly and Insertion to Nitrite and Sulfite Reductase</li> </ul>
James W. Whittaker	<ul><li><i>Hillsboro, OR, USA</i></li><li>Crosslinked Cys–Tyr Free Radical Redox Cofactor</li></ul>
Savannah Witcher	<ul><li>University of South Carolina, Columbia, SC, USA</li><li>The Suf System in Archaea, Bacteria, and Eukaryotic Organelles</li></ul>
Kaiyuan Zheng	<ul> <li>Auburn University, Auburn, AL, USA</li> <li>Biosynthesis of Coenzyme F430 and the Posttranslational Modification of the Active Site Region of Methyl-Coenzyme M Reductase</li> </ul>

## **Series Preface**

The success of the Encyclopedia of Inorganic Chemistry (EIC), pioneered by Bruce King, the founding Editor in Chief, led to the 2012 integration of articles from the Handbook of Metalloproteins to create the newly launched Encyclopedia of Inorganic and Bioinorganic Chemistry (EIBC). This has been accompanied by a significant expansion of our Editorial Advisory Board with international representation in all areas of inorganic chemistry. It was under Bruce's successor, Bob Crabtree, that it was recognized that not everyone would necessarily need access to the full extent of EIBC. All EIBC articles are online and are searchable, but we still recognized value in more concise thematic volumes targeted to a specific area of interest. This idea encouraged us to produce a series of EIC (now EIBC) Books, focusing on topics of current interest. These will continue to appear on an approximately annual basis and will feature the leading scholars in their fields, often being guest coedited by one of these leaders. Like the Encyclopedia, we hope that EIBC Books continue to provide both the starting research student and the confirmed research worker a critical distillation of the leading concepts and provide a structured entry into the fields covered.

The EIBC Books are referred to as *spin-on* books, recognizing that all the articles in these thematic volumes are destined to become part of the online content of EIBC, usually forming a new category of articles in the EIBC topical structure. We find that this provides multiple routes to find the latest summaries of current research.

I fully recognize that this latest transformation of EIBC is built on the efforts of my predecessors, Bruce King and Bob Crabtree, my fellow editors, as well as the Wiley personnel, and, most particularly, the numerous authors of EIBC articles. It is the dedication and commitment of all these people that are responsible for the creation and production of this series and the "parent" EIBC.

> Robert A. Scott University of Georgia Department of Chemistry

> > September 2017

## **Volume Preface**

The study of metals in biology has evolved over the past half century as our tools and our understanding have progressed at an ever-accelerating pace. Early characterization of function and structure of metalloenzymes inevitably led to studies of their origin through cellular interaction with environmental metals and the evolution of assembly pathways to incorporate metals as active sites in metalloproteins. These pathways can be quite complex, given that simply admitting free metal ions to the cellular environment to "find" their metalloprotein "homes" can be detrimental to cellular machinery (i.e., toxic). Thus, these assembly mechanisms are intimately tied to mechanisms to control the cell's metal portfolio (homeostasis) and both comprise the exquisite result of organic life taking advantage of its inorganic environment, while protecting itself from toxic consequences.

Following on from the earlier book in this series, *Metals in Cells*, in which both the positive and negative effects of cellular interactions with metals were discussed, the current book focuses on the processes that have evolved to orchestrate the assembly of metal cofactor sites in functional metalloproteins. As you would expect, this goes beyond the simple incorporation of single metal ions in a protein framework, and includes metal cluster assembly, metal-cofactor biosynthesis and insertion, and metal-based post-translational modifications of the protein environments that are necessary for function. Several examples of each of these areas have now been identified and studied; the current volume provides the current state-of-the-art understanding of the processes involved. As such, it will make an excellent companion volume for the previous *Metals in Cells*.

*Metalloprotein Active Site Assembly* provides a diverse sampling of what is known about these assembly processes: The multiple systems that have evolved to create Fe-S clusters; the complex processes that result in the creation of the active sites that carry out some of the most important processes for life on earth (photosynthesis, respiration, nitrogen fixation, nitrous oxide reduction; carbon monoxide dehydrogenation, hydrogen production and oxidation); assembly of a number of Mn, Ni, Cu, Mo, and Fe enzyme active sites; cofactor (heme, siroheme, F430, cobalamin, molybdopterin) biosynthesis and insertion; and others. In most cases, we have learned a lot about the multiple steps and the gene products involved. In other cases, we are still at the beginning of our understanding.

With the baseline of the articles from both *Metals* in Cells and Metalloprotein Active Site Assembly, we plan to continue to add articles to the parent Encyclopedia of Inorganic and Bioinorganic Chemistry as new results are obtained on these and other metal transport and incorporation processes.

> Michael K. Johnson and Robert A. Scott University of Georgia, Athens, GA, USA

> > September 2017

Group		1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18
Period Atomic	- 1	1 H 1.0079																	<sup>2</sup> He <sup>4.0026</sup>
Atomic weight	2 	<sup>3</sup> Li 6.941	4 Be 9.0122									Zintl		5 <b>B</b> 10.811	6 C 12.0107	7 <b>N</b> 14.0067	8 O 15.9994	9 F 18.9984	10 Ne 20.179
	3	11 Na 22.9898	${\overset{_{12}}{Mg}}_{_{_{24,305}}}$									border		13 Al 26.9815	<sup>14</sup> Si <sup>28.0855</sup>	P 15 30.9738	16 S 32.066	17 <b>Cl</b> 35.453	${\mathop{\rm Ar}\limits_{}^{}}^{}_{_{39.948}}$
	4	19 K 39.0983	20 Ca 40.078	<sup>21</sup> Sc 44.9559	22 Ti 47.867	23 V 50.9415	<sup>24</sup> Cr <sup>51.996</sup>	25 Mn 54.9380	Fe 55.845	27 Co 58.933	28 <b>Ni</b> 58.693	<sup>29</sup> Cu <sub>63.546</sub>	<sup>30</sup> Zn 65.409	Ga 69.723	<sup>32</sup> Ge <sup>72.64</sup>	<sup>33</sup> As <sup>74.9216</sup>	<sup>34</sup> Se <sub>78.96</sub>	<sup>35</sup> Br <sup>79.904</sup>	<sup>36</sup> Kr <sup>83.798</sup>
	5	37 <b>Rb</b> 85.4678	<sup>38</sup> Sr <sup>87.62</sup>	39 Y 88.9059	40 Zr 91.224	41 <b>Nb</b> 92.9064	<sup>42</sup> Mo <sub>95.94</sub>	43 Tc 98.9062	<sup>44</sup> <b>Ru</b> 101.07	<sup>45</sup> Rh 102.9055	${\overset{_{46}}{\mathrm{Pd}}}_{_{106.42}}$	47 Ag 107.8682	<sup>48</sup> Cd <sup>112.41</sup>	49 In 114.818	50 Sn 118.710	51 Sb 121.760	$\sum_{127.60}^{52}$	53 I 126.9045	54 Xe 131.29
	6	55 Cs 132.9054	56 Ba 137.327	57-71 lanthanoids	72 Hf 178.49	<sup>73</sup> Ta 180.9479	74 W 183.84	75 <b>Re</b> 186.207	76 Os 190.2	77 Ir 192.22	<sup>78</sup> Pt 195.08	79 Au 196.9665	<sup>80</sup> Hg 200.59	81 <b>Tl</b> 204.3833	<sup>82</sup> <b>Pb</b> <sub>207.2</sub>	<sup>83</sup> Bi <sup>208.9804</sup>	Po (209)	<sup>85</sup> At (210)	86 <b>R</b> n (222)
	7	<sup>87</sup> Fr (223)	88 <b>Ra</b> (226.0254)	89-103 actinoids	<sup>104</sup> Rf (261.1088)	105 Db (262.1141)	106 Sg (266.1219)	107 Bh (264.12)	108 Hs (277)	109 Mt (268.1388)	Ds (271)	nu n	Copernicium		Fl flerovium		Lv		
					l														
				Lanthanum 138.9	58 Ce 140.12	59 Pr 140.9077	60 Nd 144.24	<sup>61</sup> Pm (147)	${\mathop{{\rm Sm}}\limits_{}^{}}^{62}$	Eu	64 Gd 157.25	5 Tb 158.9254	$\overset{_{66}}{\underset{_{162.50}}{Dy}}$	67 Ho 164.9304	68 Er 167.26	69 Tm 168.9342	70 Yb 173.04	71 Lu 174.967	
				Ac actinium	${\overset{_{90}}{{}}}{\overset{_{232.0381}}{{}}}$	<sup>91</sup> Pa <sup>231.0359</sup>	92 U 238.0289	93 Np 237.0482	94 Pu (244)	95 Am (243)	96 Cm (247)	97 Bk (247)	${\mathop{\rm Cf}\limits_{^{(251)}}}^{_{98}}$	99 Es (252)	$\mathop{Fm}\limits_{^{(257)}}$	<sup>101</sup> Md	102 No (259)	103 Lr (262)	

### Periodic Table of the Elements

Based on information from IUPAC, the International Union of Pure and Applied Chemistry (version dated 1st May 2013). For updates to this table, see http://www.iupac.org/reports/periodic\_table.

# PART 1

# Assembly and Trafficking of Simple Fe-S Clusters

# Nif System for Simple [Fe–S] Cluster Assembly in Nitrogen-Fixing Bacteria

#### Patricia C. Dos Santos

Wake Forest University, Winston-Salem, NC, USA

and

### Dennis R. Dean

Virginia Tech, Blacksburg, VA, USA

1	Introduction	3
2	The Nif System as a Model for Analysis of Simple	
	[Fe–S] Cluster Assembly	4
3	Analysis of the Mo-Dependent Nitrogen-Fixing	
	System in A. vinelandii	7
4	Genetic Phenotypes and Biochemical Features	
	Indicated a Role for NifU and NifS in [Fe-S]	
	Cluster Formation	7
5	NifS Cysteine Desulfurase	8
6	NifU Provides a Scaffold for [Fe–S] Cluster	
	Assembly	9
7	NifU and NifS as the Minimum Set for the	
	Assembly and Transfer of Fe-S Clusters	11
8	The NifS/NifU [Fe–S] Cluster Assembly Toolkit	
	Provides a Paradigm for Simple [Fe-S] Cluster	
	Assembly	12
9	Functional Cross Talk Between [Fe-S] Cluster	
	Biosynthetic Systems	13
10	Concluding Remarks	13
11	Acknowledgments	14
12	Related Articles	14
13	Abbreviations and Acronyms	14
14	References	14

#### **1 INTRODUCTION**

In this chapter, biological iron–sulfur [Fe–S] clusters are defined as any protein-bound prosthetic group that contains Fe and S, and releases  $H_2S$  when treated with acid.<sup>1,2</sup> Owing to their structural and chemical versatilities [Fe–S] clusters participate in a very large number of key biological processes, including electron transfer, substrate activation, DNA synthesis and repair, sulfur mobilization, Fe storage, and gene regulation.<sup>2–4</sup> Indeed, [Fe-S] clusters are essential players in a wide range of life-sustaining processes. The most prevalent [Fe-S] clusters found in nature are [2Fe-2S] and [4Fe-4S] (Figure 1), which are attached most frequently to their cognate protein partners through cysteine residue thiol ligands. However, other coordination types, either N-ligands provided by a histidine residue or carboxylate ligands provided by an aspartate residue, have also been described.<sup>3</sup> In addition to simple [Fe-S] clusters,

Metalloprotein Active Site Assembly. Edited by Michael K. Johnson & Robert A. Scott. © 2017 John Wiley & Sons, Ltd. ISBN 978-1-119-15983-4



**Figure 1** [Fe–S] clusters associated with nitrogen-fixing proteins. Atoms are color-coded in green (iron), yellow (sulfur), magenta (molybdenum), red (oxygen), and gray (carbon)

there are also more complex [Fe-S] clusters having a higher nuclearity, for example the [8Fe-7S] P cluster contained within nitrogenase.<sup>5</sup> Even more complex [Fe-S] clusters are those that contain a different metal in addition to Fe and also an organic constituent, for example the suite of active site cofactors contained in the nitrogenases described later (Figure 1).

A basic architecture constructed from simple [2Fe-2S] and/or [4Fe-4S] cluster units is a common feature of most complex [Fe-S] clusters.<sup>2</sup> Simple [2Fe-2S] and [4Fe-4S] clusters can be readily formed in the laboratory under anoxic and reducing conditions by mixing Fe and S in appropriate concentrations in the presence of suitable ligands.<sup>6</sup> However, the biological process is complicated by the fact that free Fe<sup>2+</sup> and free S<sup>2-</sup> are highly toxic to living cells. Thus, a basic question that has challenged the bioinorganic research community is: how are simple [2Fe-2S] and [4Fe-4S] clusters formed biologically?

A common approach toward probing fundamental biological processes is to characterize mutants impaired in some aspect of the process in order to identify key components. Once participating components are identified by genetic means, biochemical and biophysical analyses can be applied in efforts to duplicate the process using purified components. This approach is often an iterative one wherein genetic manipulations inform biochemical experiments, the outcome of which, in turn, suggests further genetic manipulation, and vice versa. However, for such a process to be useful several criteria must be met. First, the experimental organism must be amenable to genetic manipulation. Second, it must be possible to correlate genetic defects with perturbations in the process under study. Third, the identified components must be obtained in sufficient levels to permit structural and functional interrogation.

It might seem remarkable that an understanding of the biosynthetic pathways for the formation of many highly complex organic cofactors far preceded the discovery of mechanistic features associated with biological [Fe-S] cluster formation. Timely identification of key aspects of [Fe-S] cluster assembly that paralleled elucidation of the pathways for formation of many organic cofactors was denied for several important reasons. Namely, owing to the structural simplicity of [2Fe-2S] and [4Fe-4S] clusters, as well as the development of facile methods for their robust chemical synthesis, the process did not capture the interest of the scientific community until relatively recently. Also, because [Fe-S] clusters are essential to so many biological processes, the fortuitous discovery of a particular metabolic defect that could be unambiguously assigned to a perturbation in [Fe-S] cluster assembly did not occur. In this chapter, we describe why components involved in producing an active nitrogenase, the catalytic component of biological nitrogen fixation, proved to be a useful experimental system for the study of simple [Fe-S] cluster assembly. We also describe how this experimental system was used to discover some of the key principles of biological [Fe–S] cluster assembly.

### 2 THE NIF SYSTEM AS A MODEL FOR ANALYSIS OF SIMPLE [Fe–S] CLUSTER ASSEMBLY

Nitrogenase is an enzyme found only in a specialized class of microorganisms called diazotrophs (nitrogen eaters). It catalyzes biological nitrogen fixation, the reduction of N<sub>2</sub> to ammonia. The most thoroughly studied diazotroph is Azotobacter vinelandii. This organism has three distinct but structurally and mechanistically similar systems that are capable of nitrogen fixation.<sup>7-10</sup> A striking similarity among the three systems is that the catalytic entity for each is comprised of two component proteins, neither of which has the capacity to reduce N2 in the absence of the other. One component serves as a nucleotidedependent reductase that delivers electrons to the other component, which contains the active site [Fe-S] cluster. A fundamental differentiating feature of the three systems concerns the metal content of the complex [Fe-S] cluster that provides the active site. In the case of the "Modependent" nitrogenase, the active site [Fe-S] cluster has the composition [7Fe-9S-Mo-C-Homocitrate] and is most commonly referred to as the FeMo-cofactor (Figure 1).<sup>5,11</sup>

The Mo-dependent nitrogenase was the first nitrogenase discovered, and it has been the subject of

intense mechanistic and structural analyses. The genes encoding many of the core functions related to formation and activation of the Mo-dependent nitrogenase are designated as *nif* genes (Figure 2).<sup>12-14</sup> However, there are also many other genes associated with Modependent nitrogen fixation in A. vinelandii that have not yet been assigned functions and, therefore, they have no formal genetic designation. Furthermore, there are genes associated with Mo-dependent nitrogen fixation that have been assigned provisional genetic designations only on the basis of their primary structure similarity to orthologous proteins. A second nitrogen fixation system found in A. vinelandii is designated as the V-dependent system because its active site [Fe-S] cluster, analogous to FeMo-cofactor, appears to contain V in place of Mo [7Fe-9S-V-C-Homocitrate].<sup>15-17</sup> The structure and composition of this [Fe-S] cluster have been inferred from detailed spectroscopic analyses but have not been established crystallographically. Genes that specifically encode proteins associated with the V nitrogenase are designated vnf (Figure 2).<sup>18</sup> The third nitrogen-fixing system has an active site [Fe–S] cluster that contains neither Mo nor V.<sup>9</sup> Instead, it has an anticipated [8Fe–9S–C–Homocitrate] structure that is analogous to FeMo-cofactor. Genes encoding proteins specifically associated with this system are designated *anf* indicating they are an alternative to the *nif-* and *vnf*-encoded systems (Figure 2). Although the catalytic components of the *nif*, *vnf*, and *anf* systems are functionally equivalent, but genetically distinct, they all require the auxiliary proteins encoded by *nifU*, *nifS*, *nifV*, *nifM*, and *nifB* to satisfy the common aspects of their respective activations (Figure 2, red outline).<sup>19</sup> As an example, *nifV* encodes a homocitrate synthase, which catalyzes the formation of the organic acid associated with the active site [Fe–S] cluster of all three systems.<sup>20</sup>

Whether or not a particular nitrogen fixation system is expressed physiologically depends upon the metal composition of the growth medium. Under conditions of sufficient Mo availability, the expression of the *vnf*- and *anf*-encoded systems are repressed and only the Mo-dependent nitrogenase accumulates.<sup>10</sup> When Mo is scarce, but V is available, accumulation of the V-dependent



**Figure 2** Nitrogen fixation-associated gene regions in the *Azotobacter vinelandii* genome. Mo-dependent (*nif*), V-dependent (*vnf*), and iron-only (*anf*) nitrogen fixation systems are shown. Genes encoding nitrogenase catalytic components are shown in green, regulatory proteins are shown in blue, and genes essential to all three nitrogenase systems are indicated by red borders. Coding sequences having paralogs also located elsewhere in the genome, but whose expression is not controlled by *nif* regulatory elements, are shown in gray. Various *nif* and *nif*-associated genes encoding proteins having [Fe–S] cluster-binding motifs are indicated by a dot below the designated gene. Arrows above the gene segments indicate the location of known or proposed promoters controlled by nitrogen fixation–specific regulatory elements

system is favored. If neither Mo nor V is available, only the *anf*-encoded nitrogenase is accumulated. This hierarchy in differential accumulation and activation of the different nitrogenases reflects their relative capacities to catalyze nitrogen fixation. For example, the Mo-dependent nitrogenase has a much higher catalytic efficiency than the other two systems.<sup>5,7</sup>

As already noted, all three nitrogenases contain two structurally and functionally equivalent components required for N<sub>2</sub> reduction: a nucleotide-dependent reductase and another protein that contains the substratebinding active site. In the case of the Mo-dependent system, these component proteins are respectively designated as the "Fe protein" and the "MoFe protein" (Figure 3).<sup>21,22</sup> The Fe protein is comprised of two identical subunits, each of which contains a nucleotide-binding site. A single [4Fe-4S] cluster is bridged between the Fe protein subunits. The MoFe protein is an  $\alpha_2\beta_2$  tetramer that contains two cluster types. One of these is an [8Fe-7S] cluster, designated the P cluster, and the other is the [7Fe-9S-Mo-C-Homocitrate] cluster, designated FeMocofactor. One Fe protein and one MoFe protein  $\alpha\beta$ -unit is considered to comprise a single quasi-independent catalytic unit (Figure 3a). Various structural and mechanistic features of the Mo-dependent nitrogenase continue to be under intense scrutiny. These aspects have been recently summarized in the literature and are not further discussed here.<sup>23</sup> Important for the present discussion, however, is that each Mo-dependent nitrogenase catalytic unit contains 19 Fe atoms and 20 S atoms distributed among three [Fe-S] cluster types, a [4Fe-4S] cluster, the P cluster, and FeMo-cofactor. The second notable fact is that nitrogenase is such a poor catalyst that cells must produce the enzyme components at prodigious levels in order to sustain growth under nitrogen-fixing conditions (Figure 4). Another significant feature of the Mo-dependent system is that, of the 60 different proteins whose accumulation is elevated in response to a physiological demand for nitrogen fixation, at least 19 are known or suspected to contain [Fe-S] clusters (see dotted genes in Figure 2).<sup>12,14,24</sup> Thus, in aggregate, the formation and activation of the Mo-dependent nitrogenase place an exceedingly high demand on the capacity for cellular [Fe-S] cluster formation. Because of this feature, and because intact [Fe-S] clusters are absolutely required for nitrogenase activity, the system provided an ideal opportunity to monitor cellular capacity for [Fe-S] cluster formation.

There are also several other features that made the Mo-dependent nitrogenase system from *A. vinelandii* an attractive model to explore the formation of simple [Fe–S] cluster units.<sup>25,26</sup> First, it is easy to monitor nitrogenase activity by using either in vivo or in vitro assays. Second, when there is no physiological demand for nitrogen



**Figure 3** *A. vinelandii* nitrogenase structures. Ribbon diagram of MoFe protein and Fe protein complex in the presence of Mg-ADP and the ATP analog, Mg-AMPPCP (PDB ID 4WZA). (a) A catalytic unit comprised of one Fe protein dimer showing each subunit in blue and cyan and one  $\alpha/\beta$  dimer shown in dark and light pink, respectively. (b) The entire complex with two catalytic units. [Fe–S] clusters, Mg-ADP, and Mg-AMPPCP are shown in space filling models

fixation, the expression of genes required for the formation and activation of the system are not needed to sustain growth. Consequently, a growth defect is only manifested in cells impaired in the formation or activation of nitrogenase when they are cultured in the absence of a fixed nitrogen source. Finally, A. vinelandii is tractable to genetic manipulation such that targeted inactivation of specific genes, separately and in various combinations, is readily accomplished. In aggregate, these features enabled the implementation of biochemical and genetic strategies that were used to assess the specific functions of individual genes whose products are associated with the formation and activation of the nitrogenase catalytic components. We now describe how this approach led to the identification of NifU and NifS as a biochemical toolkit that provides the [Fe-S] cluster building blocks necessary for the activation of nitrogenase. Also described is how this discovery provided mechanistic insight into the general process of formation of simple [Fe–S] clusters to sustain many cellular processes.



**Figure 4** Two-dimensional polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis profile of soluble *A. vinelandii* extracts prepared from cells cultured under nitrogen-fixing conditions. The gel illustrates the high level of accumulation of Fe protein (H) and MoFe protein (D and K for  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  subunits, respectively) in nitrogen-fixing cells

### 3 ANALYSIS OF THE Mo-DEPENDENT NITROGEN-FIXING SYSTEM IN *A. VINELANDII*

The in vitro assay of the Mo-dependent nitrogenase has five basic requirements.<sup>27</sup> These include an anoxic environment, MgATP, Fe protein, MoFe protein, and reducing equivalents. Reducing equivalents are usually supplied in vitro by dithionite. Catalytic reduction of acetylene is frequently used as a proxy for N<sub>2</sub> reducing capacity. The product of the nitrogenase-catalyzed, two-electron reduction of acetylene is ethylene, and it is readily quantified by gas chromatography. Because both Fe protein and MoFe protein are required for activity, the activity of either component can be evaluated by the addition of the appropriate, separately purified, complementary component to assay cocktails. It is also possible to isolate intact FeMocofactor from purified MoFe protein.<sup>28,29</sup> Thus, a MoFe protein sample that lacks FeMo-cofactor can be identified by the simple addition of isolated FeMo-cofactor as a way to recover nitrogenase activity.

Through a combination of placement of targeted mutations within genes associated with the Mo-dependent nitrogenase, and evaluation of the consequences on diazotrophic growth and associated biochemical phenotypes, the following features emerged: (i) *nifH* encodes the Fe protein and is essential for Mo-nitrogenase activity,<sup>30</sup> (ii) *nifD* and *nifK*, respectively, encode the MoFe protein  $\alpha$ - and  $\beta$ -subunits and are essential for Mo-nitrogenase activity,<sup>30</sup> (iii) *nifE*, *nifN*, and *nifB* are required for FeMo-cofactor formation and are essential for MoFe protein activity,<sup>12,13</sup> (iv) *nifV* encodes a homocitrate synthase that catalyzes the formation of the organic acid contained in FeMocofactor.<sup>20</sup> FeMo-cofactor produced in cells deficient in a *nifV*-deficient strain has homocitrate replaced by citrate,<sup>31</sup> (v) inactivation of *nifM* results in the production of an inactive Fe protein,<sup>32</sup> (vi) inactivation of either *nifU* or *nifS* results in a significant loss in both Fe protein and MoFe protein activities, and *nifU*- and *nifS*-deficient strains are impaired in their respective capacities for diazotrophic growth.<sup>32</sup> The combined inactivation of both *nifU* and *nifS* results in a severe, but not complete, loss in both Fe protein and MoFe protein activities, (vii) the growth and biochemical phenotypes associated with the inactivation of *nifM*, *nifV*, *nifB*, *nifU*, or *nifS* that affect various activities of the MoFe or Fe protein also result in parallel defects in the analogous *vnf*- and *anf*-encoded nitrogenases.<sup>19</sup>

This narrative provides only a brief sketch of the general functions of some of the most important players involved in producing an active Mo-nitrogenase (see Nitrogenase Metallocluster Assembly). The other associated gene products shown in Figure 2, although not essential, are either known or are suspected to have variously dispensable auxiliary functions related to (i) the effective assembly, trafficking, and insertion of [Fe-S] clusters for Mo-nitrogenase activation, (ii) protection from damage by  $O_2$ , (iii) supply of reducing equivalents to sustain catalytic activity, or (iv) regulatory elements that control the coordinated expression of the many associated components in response to a demand for fixed nitrogen or in response to an oxygen challenge.<sup>14,33–35</sup> These aspects are not further discussed here. Rather the remaining discussion focuses on the individual functions of NifS and NifU that, together, supply the [Fe-S] cluster units necessary to support all three nitrogenase types.

### 4 GENETIC PHENOTYPES AND BIOCHEMICAL FEATURES INDICATED A ROLE FOR NifU AND NifS IN [Fe–S] CLUSTER FORMATION

A notable feature of nitrogen-fixing cell pellets prepared from A. vinelandii is that they have a much darker brown color when compared with cell pellets prepared from cells growing in the presence of a fixed nitrogen source, such as ammonia. The dark brown color of nitrogen-fixing cells is attributed to the accumulation of copius amounts of the nitrogenase catalytic components, as well as a variety of other nitrogenase-associated proteins that contain [Fe-S] clusters, as already discussed. Cell pellets prepared from either *nifU*- or *nifS*-deletion mutants cultured in the absence of a fixed nitrogen source do not exhibit the characteristic brown color. This aspect of nifUand nifS-deletion strains indicated that they are unable to accumulate nitrogen fixation-associated proteins that are replete with their cognate [Fe-S] clusters. Furthermore, mutant strains deleted for either nifU or nifS are impaired in both Fe protein and MoFe protein activities.<sup>32</sup> Finally,

such strains are not only defective in the production of a robust Mo-dependent nitrogenase but are also impaired in their respective capacities to accumulate fully active *vnf*-and *anf*-encoded nitrogenases.<sup>19</sup> These combined features provided compelling circumstantial evidence that NifS and NifU are involved in complementary functions necessary for some aspect of Fe and S acquisition and mobilization for the assembly of active nitrogen-fixing systems.

#### 5 NifS CYSTEINE DESULFURASE

The sulfur source for the biosynthesis of [Fe-S] clusters in nitrogenase is provided by the free amino acid cysteine. Biochemical characterization of NifS revealed that the pyridoxal-5'-phosphate (PLP) cofactor is associated with the enzyme.<sup>36</sup> Since PLP-dependent enzymes are typically involved in transamination and elimination reactions using an amino acid as substrate, it was hypothesized that NifS might react with cysteine during the formation of [Fe-S] clusters. The presence of a *cvsE1* gene within the same transcriptional unit as nifU and nifS (Figure 2) supported this possibility, because cysEl encodes an Oacetyl serine transferase, which catalyzes the rate-limiting step for *de novo* cysteine synthesis.<sup>32</sup> It was subsequently shown that incubation of NifS with cysteine, but no other standard amino acid, results in changes in the absorption spectrum of the enzyme-associated PLP cofactor.<sup>36,37</sup> Prolonged co-incubation of NifS and Cys also resulted in the formation of a cloudy solution attributed to the accumulation of colloidal sulfur. When NifS and cysteine are incubated under the same conditions in the presence of a thiol reducing agent, such as dithiothreitol, H<sub>2</sub>S is evolved. Thus, NifS is a cysteine desulfurase that uses PLP chemistry to remove S from cysteine to yield alanine. These observations led to the discovery of other cysteine desulfurases that are involved in the assembly of [Fe-S] clusters not associated with nitrogenase. Later studies have also shown that this class of enzyme also functions in sulfur trafficking by promoting sulfur transfer reactions for the biosynthesis of sulfur-containing cofactors other than [Fe-S] clusters.<sup>38</sup> Despite the diverse roles of various cysteine desulfurases, the mechanism for the formation of the persulfide enzyme intermediate initially described for the A. vinelandii NifS in 1994 appears to be a universal feature of this class of enzyme (Figure 5).<sup>37</sup>

Like NifS, all cysteine desulfurases studied to date are found as dimers containing one active site within each monomer. The NifS mechanism is schematically shown in Figure 5 and proceeds as follows. Under resting state conditions, PLP is covalently bound to a conserved lysine residue via a Schiff base (internal Lys-aldimine) having a characteristic absorption spectrum around 392 nm. The binding of cysteine leads to the rapid formation of a Cys-PLP Schiff base (external Cys-aldimine). A key step in the activation of cysteine is the abstraction of the  $\alpha$ -proton of the substrate by a protein residue acting as a general base. Isotope labeling studies showed that this step is facilitated by a monoprotic residue. It is, therefore, unlikely that the active site lysine participates in this aspect of catalysis.<sup>39</sup> The proposed reaction mechanism suggests the participation of a general base residue that performs a nucleophilic attack on the  $\alpha$ -proton. The crystal structures of other cysteine desulfurases show the presence of a conserved His residue in close proximity to the C4' of PLP. In *A. vinelandii*, NifS His101 is a candidate to serve this function.<sup>26</sup>

The PLP Cys-quinonoid intermediate then abstracts a proton from the active site cysteine during protonation of C4' of PLP. This event leads to the formation of a hydrogen bond between the imine hydrogen and the phenolate oxygen, which allows the expansion of the  $\pi$  orbital system leading to a highly conjugated structure of PLP, therefore allowing electron delocalization. The enzyme inhibition profile with allyl- and vinylglycine and the formation of associated  $\gamma$ -methylcystathionyl or cystathionyl adducts at Cys325 position support the participation of the Cys active site residue during the formation the Cys-ketinime intermediate.<sup>37</sup>

The first committed step of the cysteine desulfurase reaction occurs by the nucleophilic attack of the deprotonated active site cysteine thiol on the thiol group of the substrate leading to the formation of the persulfide bond and conversion to an Ala-enamine intermediate. Reactions performed in <sup>2</sup>H<sub>2</sub>O confirmed that  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ hydrogens are exchanged during the reaction, supporting the occurrence of this intermediate. The subsequent release of alanine is proposed to follow the same sequence, but in the reverse order, to restore the internal Schiff base with Lys202. The persulfurated form of the enzyme marks the end of the first half of the cysteine desulfurase reaction, in which the release of Ala precedes the binding of the sulfur acceptor NifU. In vitro, the activity of cysteine desulfurases are typically determined in the presence of artificial reducing conditions (e.g., dithiothreitol), which regenerates the resting state of the enzyme by releasing sulfide. In the absence of a reducing agent, the enzyme can still undergo turnover, albeit at a slower rate, and forms polysulfide species. Under these conditions, the absorption spectrum of NifS results in a spectral shift from 392 nm peak to form a broad spectral feature with two maxima at 416 and 370 nm.<sup>36</sup> This change in the spectrum is proposed to represent the accumulation of the Cys-aldimine and Cysketimine intermediates steps preceding the participation of the active site Cys residue during the subsequent turnover of the persulfurated form of the enzyme. In vivo, the second half of the NifS reaction involves the sulfur transfer to NifU for the subsequent synthesis of Fe-S clusters.



**Figure 5** Proposed catalytic mechanism NifS cysteine desulfurase reaction. Under resting state, NifS Lys<sup>202</sup> is covalently attached via a Schiff base (internal Lys-aldimine, 1). The binding of Cys results in the formation of the external Cys-aldimine intermediate (2). The abstraction of the substrate  $\alpha$ -proton then leads to a rearrangement to form the Cys-quinonoid (3) and Cys-ketimine (4) intermediates. The active site Cys<sup>325</sup>, then, promotes the nucleophilic attack onto the thiol group of the substrate, leading to the formation of the persulfide enzyme and Ala-enamine intermediates (5). The reaction is completed through sequential formation of Ala-ketimine (6), Ala-aldimine (7), and restoration of the Lys-aldimine bond (1). The second half of the NifS cysteine desulfurase mechanism is the sulfur transfer reaction from the persulfide intermediate to NifU during Fe–S cluster assembly

### 6 NIFU PROVIDES A SCAFFOLD FOR [Fe-S] CLUSTER ASSEMBLY

Early gene inactivation studies showed that the phenotype associated with the inactivation of *nifS* was similar to that observed upon *nifU* inactivation. That is, deletion of either or both genes results in a decrease in the activities of both nitrogenase components.<sup>32</sup> This observation led to the hypothesis that NifS and NifU have complementary roles in mobilizing sulfur and iron for the formation of [Fe–S] clusters that are contained in both nitrogenase proteins. Furthermore, analysis of NifU primary structures from various nitrogen-fixing species pointed to the presence of nine conserved cysteines present within three distinct

domains (Figure 6).<sup>40</sup> Because cysteine residues typically serve as metal coordination sites, the collection of nine conserved cysteine residues indicated that NifU might serve as an iron reservoir or function as a transient [Fe-S] cluster assembly site to provide [Fe-S] clusters associated with nitrogen fixation.

Isolation of NifU heterologously expressed in *Escherichia coli* revealed the presence of two spectroscopically identical  $[2Fe-2S]^{2+/+}$  clusters associated with a NifU dimer (Figure 6).<sup>40</sup> Substitution by alanine for any of the four conserved cysteine residues located within the central domain led to loss of these clusters as well as a lowered capacity for diazotrophic growth.<sup>41</sup> Spectroscopic characterization showed that these clusters display properties similar to those detected for the bacterioferritin-associated



**Figure 6** NifU is an [Fe-S] cluster assembly scaffold containing three functional domains. The critical cysteine residues located in each domain are indicated. The N-terminal IscU-type domain (purple) is able to accommodate transient [2Fe-2S] and [Fe-4S] clusters, while the C-terminal Nfu-type (cyan) is able to accommodate transient [4Fe-4S] clusters. The central domain (gray) contains a stable ferredoxin-like [2Fe-2S] cluster. The working model involves the sequential assembly of [2Fe-2S] clusters, followed by reductive coupling to form a [4Fe-4S] cluster within the N-terminal domains and transfer to the C-terminal domain

ferredoxin supporting the suggestion of tetra Cys coordination of a [2Fe-2S] cluster associated within each central domain of the NifU dimer.<sup>40</sup> Given the resistance of these clusters to oxidizing, reducing, or chelating reagents, they were designated as "permanent" clusters. In other words, it appears unlikely that these clusters are transferred to other proteins. Nevertheless, despite their identification over 20 years ago, the exact role of the permanent clusters located within NifU remains unknown. Among the possibilities are involvement as an electron donor for reduction of persulfide species during [Fe-S] cluster formation or involvement in reductive coupling of two [2Fe-2S] clusters to form a [4Fe-4S] cluster.

The involvement of the N- and C-terminal domains in contributing to NifU function, possibly by providing a scaffold for [Fe–S] cluster assembly, was first explored genetically by examining diazotrophic growth defects that occur upon substitution of conserved cysteine residues within NifU.<sup>41</sup> Strains of A. vinelandii that contain individual or combinations of alanine substitutions for cysteine residues located within the N-terminal domain all exhibit the same modestly slower growth rate under nitrogen-fixing conditions. In contrast, substitution of alanine for either or both cysteines, located within the C-terminal domain, resulted in no detectable effect on the capacity for nitrogen fixation-dependent growth. However, severe growth impairment occurs when any combination of cysteine residues located at both the N- and C-terminal domains are substituted by alanine.<sup>42</sup> These observations indicated that the N- and C-terminal domains have some redundant functions, although the dominant function is located within the N-terminal domain.

Direct evidence that either the N-terminal or C-terminal domains of NifU can provide sites for [Fe-S] cluster assembly was demonstrated by a series of in vitro [Fe-S] cluster assembly experiments combined with spectroscopic and elemental analyses of assembly products. In initial experiments, it was shown that as-isolated NifU, which contains two permanent [2Fe-2S] clusters, accumulates additional clusters by its simple incubation with NifS, cysteine, and Fe<sup>2+</sup> under anoxic conditions.<sup>43</sup> Furthermore, [Fe-S] clusters can also be formed under the same conditions on truncated NifU fragments that separately contain either the N- or C-terminal domains (Figure 6). The profile of cluster formation monitored through a time course revealed that the initial assembly of [2Fe-2S] clusters is followed by the formation of [4Fe-4S] clusters.<sup>44</sup> Namely, a careful monitoring of the time course of cluster assembly using Mössbauer spectroscopic analysis indicated an initial accumulation of [2Fe-2S] clusters at the N-terminal domain with subsequent formation of [4Fe-4S] clusters at both the N- and C-terminal domains. A proposed model to explain these results involves the sequential assembly of two transient [2Fe-2S] clusters as initial building blocks for the synthesis of [4Fe-4S] clusters within the N-terminal domain of NifU, followed by transfer of the assembled [4Fe-4S] cluster to the C-terminal domain (Figure 6). Thus, an attractive possibility is that the N-terminal domain provides a primary [Fe-S] cluster assembly site and the C-terminal domain is involved in the delivery of preformed [4Fe-4S] clusters to various client proteins. This model has now been supported by other [Fe-S] cluster assembly systems that supply Fe-S clusters to protein targets not involved in nitrogen fixation (see

# Iron–Sulfur Cluster Assembly in Bacteria and Eukarya using the ISC Biosynthesis Machinery).<sup>45–47</sup>

Unlike the permanent [2Fe-2S] cluster located at the central domain of the NifU dimer, clusters assembled in vitro within the N- and C-terminal domains are labile. Complete loss of these clusters occurs upon their reduction with dithionite, exposure to oxygen, or when they are treated with low concentrations of chelating reagents. The inherent instability of these clusters is consistent with the proposed role of NifU as a scaffold for the assembly of transient [Fe–S] clusters destined for insertion into apoforms of [Fe–S] proteins associated with nitrogen fixation.

### 7 NIFU AND NIFS AS THE MINIMUM SET FOR THE ASSEMBLY AND TRANSFER OF Fe-S CLUSTERS

Once it was established that labile [Fe-S] clusters can be assembled in vitro by incubating NifU in the presence of NifS, cysteine, and Fe<sup>2+</sup>, it was important to test whether or not [Fe-S] clusters assembled in this way can be transferred to a known target protein. The nitrogenase system was particularly amenable to such experiments because, upon binding of MgATP, the Fe protein undergoes a conformational change that results in the exposure of its [4Fe-4S] cluster to the solvent (Figure 7). This conformational change is an important part of the nitrogenase catalytic mechanism because it primes the Fe protein for intermolecular electron transfer to the MoFe protein. This aspect of nitrogenase catalysis also enabled the evaluation of the capacity for [Fe-S] cluster transfer from clusterloaded NifU to a target protein. Solvent exposure of the Fe protein [4Fe-4S] cluster upon MgATP binding renders its [Fe–S] cluster Fe atoms susceptible to chelation by  $\alpha$ - $\alpha'$ dipyridyl.48,49 Thus, treatment of MgATP-bound Fe protein with  $\alpha$ - $\alpha'$ -dipyridyl in the presence of a thiol reducing reagent, such as dithiothreitol, results in the quantitative removal of the [4Fe–4S] cluster associated with active Fe protein.<sup>50</sup> Fe protein treated in this way is completely inactive and is designated "apo-Fe protein" (Figure 7). Such inactive samples are ideal for testing [Fe–S] cluster assembly because reconstitution can be easily evaluated by recovery of Fe protein activity.

Apo-Fe protein can be fully re-activated by its simple incubation with relatively high concentrations of Fe<sup>++</sup> and S<sup>2-</sup> under reducing conditions. However, such activation is extremely slow. Apo-Fe protein can also be reactivated by incubation with Fe<sup>++</sup>, NifS, and cysteine.<sup>50</sup> Although reconstitution by this method is more efficient than purely chemical reconstitution, it remains a relatively slow process. In contrast, mixing of apo-Fe protein and cluster-loaded NifU prepared in vitro yields rapid and complete activation of apo-Fe protein.<sup>42,44</sup> Dissection of the steps involving [Fe-S] cluster formation and activation showed that [4Fe-4S] cluster-containing NifU is necessary and sufficient for the activation of apo-Fe protein (Figure 8). In other words, although NifS is required for the assembly of transient clusters on the NifU scaffold, it is not required for [Fe-S] cluster transfer from cluster-loaded NifU during the activation of apo-Fe protein.

Growth phenotypes and in vitro assembly and reconstitution experiments described earlier provided strong evidence to support the concept that [Fe-S] clusters are preassembled on a molecular scaffold prior to their delivery to an apo-target protein.<sup>42</sup> In the case described earlier, NifU provides the assembly scaffold, and apo-Fe protein is the ultimate delivery target. A necessary in vivo validation of the scaffold hypothesis involved demonstrating that NifU loaded with transient [Fe-S] clusters could also be produced in *A. vinelandii* cells. These experiments were challenging for two reasons. First, because the role of NifU is to deliver transiently bound [Fe-S] clusters to target client proteins, such as apo-Fe protein, there must be an in vivo dynamic equilibrium between cluster-loaded and cluster-unloaded NifU. Second, because transient



Figure 7 The [4Fe-4S] cluster of Fe protein can be removed by incubation with chelator agents. Upon the binding of ATP, Fe protein undergoes a conformational change that exposes its [4Fe-4S] cluster, which can be removed by dipyridyl to generate the apo form of the enzyme