IIW Collection

Erkki Niemi Wolfgang Fricke Stephen J. Maddox

Structural Hot-Spot Stress Approach to Fatigue Analysis of Welded Components

Designer's Guide

Second Edition





IIW Collection

Series editor

IIW International Institute of Welding, ZI Paris Nord II, Villepinte, France

About the Series

The IIW Collection of Books is authored by experts from the 59 countries participating in the work of the 23 Technical Working Units of the International Institute of Welding, recognized as the largest worldwide network for welding and allied joining technologies.

The IIW's Mission is to operate as the global body for the science and application of joining technology, providing a forum for networking and knowledge exchange among scientists, researchers and industry.

Published books, Best Practices, Recommendations or Guidelines are the outcome of collaborative work and technical discussions - they are truly validated by the IIW groups of experts in joining, cutting and surface treatment of metallic and non-metallic materials by such processes as welding, brazing, soldering, thermal cutting, thermal spraying, adhesive bonding and microjoining. IIW work also embraces allied fields including quality assurance, non-destructive testing, standardization, inspection, health and safety, education, training, qualification, design and fabrication.

More information about this series at http://www.springer.com/series/13906

Erkki Niemi · Wolfgang Fricke Stephen J. Maddox

Structural Hot-Spot Stress Approach to Fatigue Analysis of Welded Components

Designer's Guide

Second Edition





Erkki Niemi Department of Mechanical Engineering Lappeenranta University of Technology Lappeenranta Finland Stephen J. Maddox The Welding Institute Cambridge UK

Wolfgang Fricke Institute of Ship Structural Design and Analysis Hamburg University of Technology Hamburg Germany

ISSN 2365-435X IIW Collection ISBN 978-981-10-5567-6 II DOI 10.1007/978-981-10-5568-3

ISSN 2365-4368 (electronic) ISBN 978-981-10-5568-3 (eBook)

Library of Congress Control Number: 2017946952

1st edition: © Woodhead Publishing 2006

2nd edition: © Springer Nature Singapore Pte Ltd. 2018

This work is subject to copyright. All rights are reserved by the Publisher, whether the whole or part of the material is concerned, specifically the rights of translation, reprinting, reuse of illustrations, recitation, broadcasting, reproduction on microfilms or in any other physical way, and transmission or information storage and retrieval, electronic adaptation, computer software, or by similar or dissimilar methodology now known or hereafter developed.

The use of general descriptive names, registered names, trademarks, service marks, etc. in this publication does not imply, even in the absence of a specific statement, that such names are exempt from the relevant protective laws and regulations and therefore free for general use.

The publisher, the authors and the editors are safe to assume that the advice and information in this book are believed to be true and accurate at the date of publication. Neither the publisher nor the authors or the editors give a warranty, express or implied, with respect to the material contained herein or for any errors or omissions that may have been made. The publisher remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Printed on acid-free paper

This Springer imprint is published by Springer Nature The registered company is Springer Nature Singapore Pte Ltd. The registered company address is: 152 Beach Road, #21-01/04 Gateway East, Singapore 189721, Singapore

Preface

This 'Designer's Guide' follows previous recommendations published in 1995 by the International Institute of Welding (IIW), 'Stress Determination for Fatigue Analysis of Welded Components' edited by E. Niemi. It represents the latest product of the continuing joint-activity of Commissions XIII (Fatigue) and XV (Design) to develop better fatigue design and analysis procedures for welded structures. The Guide focuses on one particular aspect, namely the hot-spot stress approach. It is intended to provide practical guidance on the application of that approach, based on the current state-of-the-art. However, it is also hoped that it will promote wider use of the approach, assist code-writers in its introduction into design Standards and encourage further research.

A shortcoming of current fatigue design rules for welded components and structures is that they have not kept pace with computing developments in design, notably stress determination by finite element analysis (FEA). The basic design method embodied in the rules was actually developed over 30 years ago, when computers were something of a novelty and structural analysis relied mainly on the use of standard formulae and experience. Thus, it was entirely reasonable to base fatigue design on nominal stresses, as is currently the case. However, computerbased analyses like FEA are now used routinely and, with increased computing power, their capabilities are increasing. Thus, general guidance is needed on the determination of the stresses to be used in conjunction with the current nominal stress-based design rules. The IIW has been particularly active in developing such guidance, as noted above. However, this represents an interim solution in that there is also scope for new design methods that take more advantage of the potential output from FEA. One such approach, for designing weld details from the viewpoint of potential failure from the weld toe, is the so-called *hot-spot stress method*. This makes use of the stress adjacent to the weld that includes the stress concentration effect of the welded joint, but excludes the local notch effect of the weld itself. It is generally referred to as the structural, or geometric, stress and its value at the weld toe is termed the *structural hot-spot stress*. It is then used in conjunction with appropriate S-N curves representing the notch effect of the weld toe.

The hot-spot stress approach should lead to increased accuracy in fatigue design. The current nominal stress-based design curves include some allowance for the stress concentration effect of the weld detail, but in a rather crude way. That stress concentration effect is known to depend on the dimensions and proportions of the weld detail, but the fatigue data used to establish the design curves were obtained from a variety of test specimens with varying geometry. FEA enables the actual geometry and dimensions to be modelled, leading to a more precise estimate of the stress concentration due to the weld detail.

Although in use for tubular structures for over 25 years, only tentative guidance is available on application of the structural hot-spot stress method to plate structures. Key requirements are:

- (a) The definition of the structural hot-spot stress and how it is obtained from stress analysis;
- (b) The choice of hot-spot stress design S-N curves.

Both issues are addressed in the present document, but especially the first, on the basis of the current state-of-the-art. It reflects the findings of major joint-industry research programmes, as well as deliberations by IIW and other standardizing bodies. However, research continues and it is anticipated that improvements to the procedures described will be made in future.

The document is the product of several years of study by IIW Commission XIII Working Group 3, 'Hot-spot stress method in fatigue analysis of welded components', initially under the Chairmanship of Prof. Erkki Niemi (Finland), but then under Prof. Wolfgang Fricke (Germany) when Prof. Niemi retired. Final drafting of the document was undertaken by Prof. E. Niemi, Prof. W. Fricke and Dr. S.J. Maddox (UK). Members of both Commission XIII and Commission XV have participated in the work, as well as practicing engineers from industry. However, special acknowledgement is made to the following:

Prof. G. Marquis-Finland

Dr. M. Huther, Dr. H-P. Lieurade, D. Turlier-France

Prof. A. Hobbacher, Prof. H. Petershagen, Prof. C.M. Sonsino-Germany

Prof. C. Miki, Mr. I. Kojima-Japan

Prof. P. Haagensen, Dr. I. Lotsberg, Dr. K.A. MacDonald-Norway

Prof. J. Samuelsson-Sweden

Dr. P. Dong-USA.

In 2013 it was decided to revise the document in order to consider recent developments in the field. In particular, more emphasis was placed on the structural stress determination by through-thickness stress linearization, on the alternative approaches by Dong, Yiao/Yamada and Haibach and on additional examples to

Preface

demonstrate the application of the recommendations. The revised version was finalized in early 2016, again with discussion and comments from the aforementioned Working Group and Commissions of the International Institute of Welding, which is highly appreciated.

Lappeenranta, Finland Hamburg, Germany Cambridge, UK Erkki Niemi Wolfgang Fricke Stephen J. Maddox

Contents

1	Intro	oduction	1
	1.1	General	1
	1.2	Safety Aspects	3
	Refe	rences	3
2	The	Structural Hot-Spot Stress Approach to Fatigue	
	Anal	ysis	5
	2.1	Field of Application	5
	2.2	Types of Hot Spot	6
	2.3	Definition of the Structural Stress at a Type "a" Hot-Spot	7
	2.4	Use of Stress Concentration Factors	9
		2.4.1 Modified Nominal Stress	9
		2.4.2 Structural Stress Concentration Factors, <i>Ks</i>	9
		2.4.3 Stress Magnification Factor Due	
		to Misalignment K	10
		to winsangument K_m	10
	2.5	Effect of Component Size on the Fatigue Resistance \dots	10
	2.5 Refe	Effect of Component Size on the Fatigue Resistance	10 12 12
3	2.5 Refer Expe	Effect of Component Size on the Fatigue Resistance rences	10 12 12
3	2.5 Refer Expe Stres	Effect of Component Size on the Fatigue Resistance rences.	10 12 12 13
3	2.5 Refer Expe Stres 3.1	Effect of Component Size on the Fatigue Resistance erimental Determination of the Structural Hot-Spot ss	10 12 12 13 13
3	2.5 Refer Expe Stres 3.1 3.2	Effect of Component Size on the Fatigue Resistance erimental Determination of the Structural Hot-Spot ss	10 12 12 13 13 13
3	2.5 Refer Expe Stres 3.1 3.2 3.3	Effect of Component Size on the Fatigue Resistance rences	10 12 12 13 13 13 15
3	2.5 Refer Expe Stres 3.1 3.2 3.3 Refer	Effect of Component Size on the Fatigue Resistance rences	10 12 12 13 13 13 15 16
3	2.5 Refer Expe Stres 3.1 3.2 3.3 Refer Strue	Effect of Component Size on the Fatigue Resistance erimental Determination of the Structural Hot-Spot ss	10 12 12 13 13 13 15 16
3	2.5 Refer Expe Stres 3.1 3.2 3.3 Refer Strue Elem	Effect of Component Size on the Fatigue Resistance rences	10 12 12 13 13 13 13 15 16 17
3	2.5 Refer Expe Stres 3.1 3.2 3.3 Refer Strue Elem 4.1	Effect of Component Size on the Fatigue Resistance rences. erimental Determination of the Structural Hot-Spot ss General. Type "a" Hot Spots Type "b" Hot Spots rences. ctural Hot-Spot Stress Determination Using Finite nent Analysis General.	10 12 12 13 13 13 13 15 16 17
3	2.5 Refer Stres 3.1 3.2 3.3 Refer Struc Elem 4.1 4.2	Effect of Component Size on the Fatigue Resistance rences. erimental Determination of the Structural Hot-Spot ss General. Type "a" Hot Spots Type "b" Hot Spots rences. ctural Hot-Spot Stress Determination Using Finite nent Analysis General. Choice of Element Type.	10 12 12 13 13 13 13 15 16 17 17 18