

RESEARCH

Martin Kowalczyk

The Support of Decision Processes with Business Intelligence and Analytics

Insights on the Roles of Ambidexterity, Information Processing and Advice



Springer Vieweg

The Support of Decision Processes with Business Intelligence and Analytics

Martin Kowalczyk

The Support of Decision Processes with Business Intelligence and Analytics

Insights on the Roles of Ambidexterity, Information Processing and Advice

With a preface by Prof. Dr. Peter Buxmann

 Springer Vieweg

Martin Kowalczyk
Darmstadt, Germany

Dissertation an der Technischen Universität Darmstadt, Fachbereich Rechts- und
Wirtschaftswissenschaften

Erstgutachter: Prof. Dr. Peter Buxmann
Zweitgutachter: Prof. Dr. Oliver Hinz
Einreichungstermin 18. November 2015
Prüfungstermin: 10. März 2016
Hochschulkennziffer: D 17

ISBN 978-3-658-19229-7 ISBN 978-3-658-19230-3 (eBook)
DOI 10.1007/978-3-658-19230-3

Library of Congress Control Number: 2017950492

Springer Vieweg

© Springer Fachmedien Wiesbaden GmbH 2017

This work is subject to copyright. All rights are reserved by the Publisher, whether the whole or part of the material is concerned, specifically the rights of translation, reprinting, reuse of illustrations, recitation, broadcasting, reproduction on microfilms or in any other physical way, and transmission or information storage and retrieval, electronic adaptation, computer software, or by similar or dissimilar methodology now known or hereafter developed.

The use of general descriptive names, registered names, trademarks, service marks, etc. in this publication does not imply, even in the absence of a specific statement, that such names are exempt from the relevant protective laws and regulations and therefore free for general use.

The publisher, the authors and the editors are safe to assume that the advice and information in this book are believed to be true and accurate at the date of publication. Neither the publisher nor the authors or the editors give a warranty, express or implied, with respect to the material contained herein or for any errors or omissions that may have been made. The publisher remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Printed on acid-free paper

This Springer Vieweg imprint is published by Springer Nature
The registered company is Springer Fachmedien Wiesbaden GmbH
The registered company address is: Abraham-Lincoln-Str. 46, 65189 Wiesbaden, Germany

Foreword

The support of managerial decision making with Business Intelligence and Analytics (BI&A) has gained high priority in many businesses and the importance of this topic is recognized among practitioners and scholars alike. Prior related research in decision support and information systems mainly investigated the technological perspective of introducing and using such decision support systems. Thereby the decision process perspective of supporting managerial decisions with BI&A remained largely unexplored. Furthermore, extant research mainly focused on individual decision makers or groups of equal peers without considering the need for specialization and collaboration between decision makers and analytics experts (i.e. data scientists or analysts). Better understanding of the actual decision process perspective is highly relevant for the success of utilizing BI&A to effectively support decision making.

The research that Martin Kowalczyk conducted as part of his dissertation approaches the existing research needs by considering the organizational and individual perspectives of BI&A-supported decision processes. The organizational perspective focuses on investigating the processual aspects of decision making, including process phases, roles that are involved and how these interact. The individual perspective refers to decision making at the level of the individual, including cognitive efforts and behaviors involved in decision making. The purpose of this dissertation was to empirically investigate both perspectives and thereby to address the challenges of how to design and establish BI&A-supported decision processes that achieve improved decision quality.

This dissertation begins with presenting the results from a structured literature review, which contributes an integrative perspective on the current state-of-the-art in research. Building on this foundation, the dissertation first focuses on the organizational perspective and presents results from two studies that examine what constitutes successful BI&A-supported decision processes. Grounded in the organizational information processing theory, the first study investigates how different types of information processing mechanisms are composed within various decision processes. The results contribute to a better understanding of how information processing mechanisms should be incorporated in BI&A-supported decision processes. A second study on the organizational perspective identifies procedural characteristics (i.e. agility and rigor) that are relevant for the design of BI&A-supported decision processes. The focus of the dissertation then turns to the individual perspective, which is addressed by two further studies that investigate how analytics experts should frame and conduct BI&A support for decision makers in order to be effective in improving the quality of decision making. The first study on this perspective explores a comprehensive set of conflicting task requirements and identifies ambidextrous tactics that can address these conflicts. Grounded in these empirical findings, this dissertation contributes a theory of ambidexterity in decision support. The final study of this dissertation investigates the relevance of advice that analytics experts pro-

vide to decision makers. The presented results show how analytics experts' BI&A support affects decision makers' information processing behavior, their utilization of analytic advice and the resulting decision quality. Thereby this research contributes to a better understanding of how to shape the BI&A support that analytics experts provide to decision makers.

In his work, Martin Kowalczyk presents previously unexplored perspectives on the BI&A support of managerial decision processes. This research contributes to a better understanding of what constitutes successful BI&A-supported decision processes and how to establish effective BI&A support in decision scenarios that involve collaboration between specialized roles (i.e., analytics experts and decision makers). Thereby this work extends the theoretical foundations of decision support and information systems research and it offers various starting points for future investigations of BI&A support in decision processes. Therefore, I wish for broad diffusion of these research results in science and business practice.

Prof. Dr. Peter Buxmann

Acknowledgements

Becoming a researcher at TU Darmstadt with the goal of exploring decision processes and particularly their support with business intelligence and analytics has been a great opportunity. During my time as researcher, at the Chair of Information Systems | Software Business & Information Management, I had the freedom and support to let my curiosity guide my research endeavors, which will remain an unforgettable experience. This allowed me to deeply investigate and elucidate the importance of ambidexterity, information processing and advice for the support of decision making with business intelligence and analytics. Looking back at this time I owe gratitude to many people without whom this would not have been possible.

First of all I would like to thank my thesis advisor Prof. Dr. Peter Buxmann for his trustful supervision, dedication of time and the excellent research environment. In addition, I would like to thank Jörg Besier for his guidance and for being an enthusiastic sparring partner concerning the practical relevance of my research. Further, I would like to thank Prof. Dr. Oliver Hinz for accepting the co-correction of this dissertation. The work presented in this thesis was supported by the House of IT e.V. with a research grant, for which I am very grateful.

Additionally, I would like to thank all my colleagues from TU Darmstadt for the great time, their supportiveness and their willingness to share their perspectives on my research. In particular, I thank Jin Gerlach, Stefan Harnisch and Nicole Eling for the valuable discussions and their advice. Further, I would also like to express my appreciation to all the participants of the empirical studies that are part of this work and I am thankful for their openness and the commitment of time that each participant made.

I am grateful to my parents and would like to thank them for their encouragement of true learning, their advice and their support in pursuing my goals throughout all the years.

Finally, I would like to express my deepest gratitude to my wife Nicole for her unconditional love and all her great support throughout this endeavor. During this time our wonderful daughter Amilia became part of our life and both of you are my source of inspiration and strength. This thesis is dedicated to you.

Martin Kowalczyk

Contents

- Foreword V**
- Acknowledgements VII**
- Contents.....IX**
- List of FiguresXIII**
- List of Tables..... XV**
- List of Acronyms XVII**
- 1 Introduction 1**
 - 1.1 Scientific Relevance and Problem Characterization 2
 - 1.2 Research Context and Fundamentals 3
 - 1.2.1 Business Intelligence and Analytics 4
 - 1.2.2 Decision Processes and Organizational Information Processing 7
 - 1.2.3 Decision Making and Individual Information Processing 8
 - 1.3 Research Goals and Questions 9
 - 1.4 Thesis Structure and Outline 11
- 2 Study A: A Structured Literature Review on Business Intelligence and Analytics from a Decision Process Perspective..... 15**
 - 2.1 Introduction 15
 - 2.2 Decision Support and Decision Processes 16
 - 2.2.1 DSS Background and Technological Conceptualization 17
 - 2.2.2 Decision Process Background and Research Framework 17
 - 2.3 Review Method..... 19
 - 2.3.1 Review Scope 19
 - 2.3.2 Search Terms 20
 - 2.3.3 Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria 20
 - 2.3.4 Data Sources and Search Process 21
 - 2.3.5 Data Extraction and Analysis Procedures 22
 - 2.4 Results of the Structured Literature Review 23
 - 2.4.1 Studies on the General Support of Decision Processes 23
 - 2.4.2 Studies on the Specific Effects on Decision Processes 23
 - 2.5 Discussion of Results 27

2.6	Research Opportunities.....	28
2.7	Conclusion.....	29
3	Study B: Big Data and Information Processing in Organizational Decision Processes.....	31
3.1	Introduction.....	31
3.2	Theoretical Background.....	32
3.2.1	Big Data and BI&A.....	32
3.2.2	Information Processing Theory and Decision Processes.....	33
3.2.3	Data-centric and Organizational Information Processing Mechanisms.....	34
3.3	Research Approach.....	35
3.3.1	Research Design.....	35
3.3.2	Data Collection.....	36
3.3.3	Overview of Cases.....	37
3.3.4	Data Analysis.....	40
3.4	Empirical Results.....	41
3.4.1	Big Data in Different Decision Contexts.....	41
3.4.2	Data-centric and Organizational Information Processing Mechanisms.....	42
3.4.3	Information Processing Mechanisms in Different Decision Contexts.....	44
3.4.4	Dynamics of Information Processing Mechanism Composition.....	46
3.5	Discussion of Results and Conclusion.....	49
3.5.1	Theoretical Implications.....	50
3.5.2	Practical Implications.....	51
3.5.3	Limitations and Directions for Future Research.....	52
4	Study C: Perspectives on Collaboration Procedures and Politics during the Support of Decision Processes with Business Intelligence and Analytics.....	53
4.1	Introduction.....	53
4.2	Theoretical Background.....	55
4.2.1	Business Intelligence and Analytics (BI&A) and Information Quality.....	55
4.2.2	Political Behavior and Procedural Rationality in Decision Processes.....	56
4.2.3	Collaboration Procedures and Ambidexterity in Decision Processes.....	57
4.3	Research Method.....	58
4.3.1	Research Design.....	59
4.3.2	Data Collection.....	59

4.3.3	Case Overview	60
4.3.4	Data Analysis	61
4.4	Results	62
4.4.1	Impact of Political Behavior and Procedural Rationality	62
4.4.2	Decision Process Rigor and Agility as Dimensions of Ambidexterity.....	65
4.4.3	Complementarity of Information Quality and Ambidexterity	67
4.5	Discussion and Conclusion.....	69
4.5.1	Discussion of Key Findings	69
4.5.2	Limitations and Future Research	70
5	Study D: An Ambidextrous Perspective on Business Intelligence and Analytics Support in Decision Processes.....	73
5.1	Introduction	73
5.2	Theoretical Background	75
5.2.1	Data-centric Decision Support with Business Intelligence and Analytics.....	75
5.2.2	Conceptions of Decision Making in Management and Cognitive Sciences	76
5.2.3	Decision Processes and Challenges for Effective BI&A Support	78
5.2.4	Ambidexterity and Decision Processes.....	79
5.3	Research Method	80
5.3.1	Research Design.....	81
5.3.2	Data Collection	81
5.3.3	Case Overview	82
5.3.4	Data Analysis	83
5.4	Results	84
5.4.1	Tensions and Tactics.....	84
5.4.2	Ambidexterity in BI&A-Supported Decision Processes.....	92
5.5	Discussion and Conclusions	95
5.5.1	Implications for Research	95
5.5.2	Implications for Practice	97
5.5.3	Limitations and Future Research Directions.....	97
6	Study E: Business Intelligence and Analytics – Decision Quality and Insights on Analytics Specialization and Information Processing Modes.....	99
6.1	Introduction	99
6.2	Theoretical Background	101

6.2.1	Business Intelligence & Analytics	101
6.2.2	Specialization in BI&A-Supported Decision Processes	101
6.2.3	Heuristic–Systematic Model of Information Processing	102
6.3	Research Model and Hypotheses.....	104
6.3.1	BI&A Characteristics and Decision Makers’ Information Processing.....	104
6.3.2	Determinants of Information Processing	106
6.3.3	Advice Utilization and Determinants of Decision Quality	107
6.4	Methodology.....	109
6.4.1	Data Collection and Sample.....	109
6.4.2	Operationalization and Measurement Properties	110
6.5	Results	113
6.6	Discussion.....	114
6.6.1	Implications for Research	114
6.6.2	Implications for Practice	115
6.6.3	Limitations and Future Research	116
7	Conclusion and Summary of Contributions	117
7.1	Theoretical Implications	118
7.2	Practical Implications	122
7.3	Conclusion	124
	References	127
	Appendix	139

List of Figures

Figure 1.1: Evolution of Decision Support Technologies..... 4

Figure 1.2: BI&A Architecture 6

Figure 1.3: Structure of the Thesis 12

Figure 2.1: Search Process 22

Figure 3.1: Overview of Information Processing Mechanisms..... 35

Figure 3.2: Categorization of Decision Types 38

Figure 3.3: Extent of Mechanism Usage by Decision Type 44

Figure 3.4: Mechanism Composition and Dynamics (Q1) 47

Figure 3.5: Mechanism Composition and Dynamics (Q2 & Q3) 48

Figure 3.6: Mechanism Composition and Dynamics (Q4) 49

Figure 4.1: Procedural Rationality and Political Behavior in Decision Processes..... 64

Figure 4.2: Effects of Information Quality and Ambidexterity in Decision Processes..... 68

Figure 5.1: Overview of Tensions and Tactics 85

Figure 5.2: Ambidexterity in Decision Processes 92

Figure 5.3: Procedural Rationality and Intuition of Decision Making..... 93

Figure 5.4: Model of the Theory of Ambidexterity in Decision Support 94

Figure 6.1: Conceptual Model..... 104

Figure 6.2: Model Results 113

List of Tables

Table 2.1: Overview of Survey Studies on Support of Decision Process Phases 23

Table 2.2: Overview of Single-Phase Studies and Investigated Effects 24

Table 2.3: Overview of Two-Phase Studies and Investigated Effects 25

Table 2.4: Overview of Three-Phase Studies and Investigated Effects 26

Table 2.5: Attribute Coverage, Number/Fraction of Reported Effects 26

Table 3.1: Overview of Investigated Cases..... 38

Table 3.2: Overview of Data Variety, Volume, and Velocity per Case..... 41

Table 4.1: Case Overview 61

Table 4.2: Effects of Rigor and Agility on Decision Processes 66

Table 5.1: Overview of Investigated Cases..... 83

Table 6.1: Sample Structure by Industry, Number of Employees, and Annual Revenue 110

Table 6.2: Latent Variable Statistics and Correlations..... 112

Table 6.3: Summary of Tested Hypotheses and Results 113

List of Acronyms

ACM	Association for Computing Machinery
AIS	Association for Information Systems
AISeL	AIS electronic Library
BA	Business Analytics
BI	Business Intelligence
BI&A	Business Intelligence and Analytics
CPM	Corporate Performance Management
CRM	Customer Relationship Management
DBMS	Database Management System
DM	Decision Maker
DSS	Decision Support System
DW	Data Warehouse
ECIS	European Conference on Information Systems
EIS	Executive Information System
ERP	Enterprise Resource Planning
ETL	Extract-Transform-Load
HSM	Heuristic–Systematic Model
IEEE	Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers
ICIS	International Conference on Information Systems
IS	Information System
JAS	Judge–Advisor System
MIS	Management Information System
MSS	Management Support System
OLAP	Online Analytical Processing
PDSS	Personal Decision Support System
PLS	Partial Least Squares
RG	Research Goal
RQ	Research Question
SEM	Structural Equation Modeling

1 Introduction

The general idea of improving managerial decision making through support with high quality information or facts is shared by the decision support specialty of information systems research (Arnott and Pervan, 2005, 2008, 2014), management research (e.g., Pfeffer and Sutton, 2006; Simon, 1960), and practitioners alike (e.g., Davenport et al., 2010; LaValle et al., 2011). The reason for this huge interest lies in research findings and practitioner reports that suggest that data-driven decision making results in better decisions and, as a consequence, in better organizational performance (Brynjolfsson et al., 2011; Davenport et al., 2010; LaValle et al., 2011).

Recent advances in the information infrastructure for Business Intelligence and Analytics (BI&A) have provided the technological foundation for collecting and analyzing unprecedented volumes and types of data. BI&A provides technological capabilities for data collection, integration, and analysis with the purpose of improving the quality of the information that is available to support decision making (Chaudhuri et al., 2011; Chen et al., 2012; Watson, 2010). Based on these technological advances, support of managerial decision making with BI&A has gained high priority in many businesses and has given new prominence to data-driven decision making, which is increasingly considered a competitive advantage (Davenport et al., 2010; McAfee and Brynjolfsson, 2012; Wixom and Watson, 2010).

While the supply of high-quality information through BI&A creates the potential for improving managerial decision making, this information must be used effectively in decision processes in order to live up to this potential (Pfeffer and Sutton, 2006; Sharma et al., 2014). Unfortunately, it seems that much of the potential remains untapped, as the BI&A systems that are introduced are subject to high failure rates and particularly suffer from not being utilized by decision makers (Arnott, 2010; Shah and Capellá, 2012). Hence, leveraging the benefits of BI&A depends not only on establishing a high-quality information infrastructure, but also on the organizational context and characteristics of the decision processes for which BI&A is deployed (Davenport, 2010; Popovič et al., 2012, 2014; Ross et al., 2013).

In this regard, while the technological evolution of BI&A has tremendously improved technological capabilities for supplying high-quality information and analytic business insights for the purpose of decision support, it has also induced the need for more specialized data-processing and analytic skills. These skills are required for effectively utilizing and analyzing data that is available to organizations through their internal and external data sources. This need for specialization has rendered the role of analytics experts (i.e., data scientists or analysts) increasingly important for decision support. These specialists have the required analytics expertise for utilizing BI&A to deliver analytic decision support to decision makers

(Davenport et al., 2010; Davenport and Patil, 2012). Analytics experts support managerial decision making with respect to business decisions (e.g., competitive strategies, mergers and acquisitions, and managing product and service portfolios) by structuring decision problems, searching for relevant data, developing alternative solutions based on data patterns and analytic insights, and finally providing analytic advice to decision makers (Davenport and Patil, 2012).

Considering analytics experts' role as mediators between information and decision makers' use of that information, the success of BI&A support depends not only on the introduction of BI&A technology, but also on the presence of analytics experts and their collaboration with decision makers in the context of organizational decision processes. Thus, the constitution of a decision process – in terms of the phases, steps, mechanisms, and roles that are involved – is bound to have major implications for the effectiveness of BI&A support. Literature reviews on the use and effects of BI&A have identified a major need for research about decision processes and actual decision making in the context of BI&A (Arnott and Pervan, 2014; Kowalczyk et al., 2013; Shollo and Kautz, 2010). Similarly, a recent call for research indicates the need for further investigations from the decision process perspective, including behavioral and organizational aspects, in order to achieve a better understanding of how organizations can improve the quality of decision outcomes and thus create value from the utilization of BI&A (Sharma et al., 2014).

This thesis addresses these calls for research by investigating BI&A support in the context of organizational decision processes. The research presented herein elucidates several issues related to organizational and individual perspectives on BI&A-supported decision making by utilizing a mix of qualitative and quantitative research approaches. The following sections describe the research problem in more detail, provide an overview of the research background, define explicit research goals and questions, and outline the structure of this thesis.

1.1 Scientific Relevance and Problem Characterization

Although data-based decision support systems (DSSs) and BI&A in particular involve the support of decision making, research in this area has been found to have a rather limited grounding in relevant theories of decision making from reference disciplines (Arnott and Pervan, 2005, 2008, 2014). In particular, the traditional research perspective has been mainly oriented toward technology, with a focus on how data is transformed into high-quality information that can be supplied to decision makers as input for their decision making (Lycett, 2013; Shollo and Kautz, 2010). This perspective has been criticized for not considering the organizational and social contexts of decision support, which involve interactions between the people who are involved in decision processes. These interactions should have a major impact