

**Springer Theses**

Recognizing Outstanding Ph.D. Research

Thomas Scaffidi

# Weak-Coupling Theory of Topological Superconductivity

The Case of Strontium Ruthenate

 Springer

# **Springer Theses**

Recognizing Outstanding Ph.D. Research

## **Aims and Scope**

The series “Springer Theses” brings together a selection of the very best Ph.D. theses from around the world and across the physical sciences. Nominated and endorsed by two recognized specialists, each published volume has been selected for its scientific excellence and the high impact of its contents for the pertinent field of research. For greater accessibility to non-specialists, the published versions include an extended introduction, as well as a foreword by the student’s supervisor explaining the special relevance of the work for the field. As a whole, the series will provide a valuable resource both for newcomers to the research fields described, and for other scientists seeking detailed background information on special questions. Finally, it provides an accredited documentation of the valuable contributions made by today’s younger generation of scientists.

### **Theses are accepted into the series by invited nomination only and must fulfill all of the following criteria**

- They must be written in good English.
- The topic should fall within the confines of Chemistry, Physics, Earth Sciences, Engineering and related interdisciplinary fields such as Materials, Nanoscience, Chemical Engineering, Complex Systems and Biophysics.
- The work reported in the thesis must represent a significant scientific advance.
- If the thesis includes previously published material, permission to reproduce this must be gained from the respective copyright holder.
- They must have been examined and passed during the 12 months prior to nomination.
- Each thesis should include a foreword by the supervisor outlining the significance of its content.
- The theses should have a clearly defined structure including an introduction accessible to scientists not expert in that particular field.

More information about this series at <http://www.springer.com/series/8790>

Thomas Scaffidi

# Weak-Coupling Theory of Topological Superconductivity

The Case of Strontium Ruthenate

Doctoral Thesis accepted by  
The University of Oxford, UK

 Springer

*Author*  
Dr. Thomas Scaffidi  
Department of Physics  
University of California  
Berkeley, CA  
USA

*Supervisor*  
Prof. Steven H. Simon  
Oxford University  
Oxford  
UK

ISSN 2190-5053

Springer Theses

ISBN 978-3-319-62866-0

DOI 10.1007/978-3-319-62867-7

ISSN 2190-5061 (electronic)

ISBN 978-3-319-62867-7 (eBook)

Library of Congress Control Number: 2017946051

© Springer International Publishing AG 2017

This work is subject to copyright. All rights are reserved by the Publisher, whether the whole or part of the material is concerned, specifically the rights of translation, reprinting, reuse of illustrations, recitation, broadcasting, reproduction on microfilms or in any other physical way, and transmission or information storage and retrieval, electronic adaptation, computer software, or by similar or dissimilar methodology now known or hereafter developed.

The use of general descriptive names, registered names, trademarks, service marks, etc. in this publication does not imply, even in the absence of a specific statement, that such names are exempt from the relevant protective laws and regulations and therefore free for general use.

The publisher, the authors and the editors are safe to assume that the advice and information in this book are believed to be true and accurate at the date of publication. Neither the publisher nor the authors or the editors give a warranty, express or implied, with respect to the material contained herein or for any errors or omissions that may have been made. The publisher remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Printed on acid-free paper

This Springer imprint is published by Springer Nature

The registered company is Springer International Publishing AG

The registered company address is: Gewerbestrasse 11, 6330 Cham, Switzerland

*To my parents.*

# Supervisor's Foreword

The Bardeen, Cooper, Schrieffer (BCS) theory of superconductivity is one of the greatest intellectual achievements of twentieth-century physics. Not only does it provide a framework for understanding the vast majority of known superconductors, but it also provides the basis for understanding superfluid Helium-3, as well as a variety of phenomena in certain cold fermionic atom systems, nuclei, and even neutron stars. Despite this great success, there remain some superconductors that have defied explanation for a generation. For example, the high-Tc copper-oxide-perovskite compounds, discovered in 1986, have remained mysterious and have comprised a major area of research for over thirty years. In 1994, in an effort to explore compounds analogous to the high-Tc materials, superconductivity was discovered in the perovskite compound Strontium Ruthenate-214 ( $\text{Sr}_2\text{RuO}_4$ ). Although the critical temperature was only a few degrees Kelvin (compared to the almost hundred degree critical temperature of some copper-containing compounds), it was nonetheless an immediately significant finding, being the first non-copper-containing perovskite superconductor. Arguments by Rice and Sigrist suggested that this superconductor might be “unconventional”—that, driven by spin fluctuations, electrons might pair together with their spins aligned in a “triplet” rather than anti-aligned in a “singlet,” forming a superconductor analogous to Helium-3 superfluid. Soon after this theoretical proposal, experiments began to see evidence that this is indeed true. Only a tiny handful of materials appear to be of this type—and none are understood very well. After experiments seemed to confirm the triplet picture, attention then turned to determining what type of triplet superconductor it is: the two categories being time-reversal breaking and time-reversal preserving—likely corresponding to the so-called chiral and helical p-wave phases of matter.

Unfortunately, attempts to determine the time-reversal properties of the material became increasingly puzzling. On the one hand, several experiments observed signatures of time-reversal breaking. On the other hand, time-reversal breaking was expected to imply edge currents and magnetization—which were notably absent in the material. This apparent contradiction framed the field for over a decade.

In an effort to explain this contradiction, an interesting proposal was made by a group at Stanford (Raghu, Kapitulnik, and Kivelson). The authors suggested that

the superconductivity in the material could be driven by the pairing of electrons on quasi-one-dimensional bands, rather than by the physics of the electrons in the two-dimensional band. While this work did not provide a workable solution to the apparent time-reversal-breaking contradiction, it did focus attention on the importance of the multi-band nature of the material. It further demonstrated the use of a weak-coupling renormalization group (RG) scheme for analysis of the problem. However, the calculations in that work, while suggestive, were not meant to present a completely accurate picture of the material, having neglected some very large effects, such as spin-orbit coupling, which has an energy scale of about 1000 K. It was at this point in the history of the field that Thomas Scaffidi got into the game. Although he was just a starting Ph.D. student, he was entirely fearless about jumping into an extremely murky field and attempting a very complex calculation. The idea was to do a more proper job of what the Stanford group started. Very quickly it became clear why the Stanford group of three senior (and very famous) scientists had opted for a toy-model calculation rather than an accurate realistic one—it is simply a hard and messy calculation to do! Nonetheless, in an extremely productive collaboration with Jesper Romers, a more realistic weak-coupling RG calculation was achieved. The result of this work, described in this thesis, shows the importance of band-mixing and spin-orbit effects. It shows that superconductivity can live both on the two-dimensional and one-dimensional bands at the same time—which, in fact, turns out to be necessary for consistency with experimental constraints described by Firmo et al. Further, this work shows that the order parameter is quite complicated—twisting and turning the superconducting phase and magnitude in complicated ways as one moves along the Fermi surface.

While we viewed this work as extremely significant, explaining for the first time how the constraints described by Firmo could be satisfied by theory, it was not able to definitively make a prediction as to whether the material should be time-reversal breaking or time-reversal preserving. We did not immediately realize the significance of this work in resolving the main experimental contradiction in the field.

In the fall of 2014, a flurry of activity suddenly brought a resolution of this contradiction. Thomas approached me one day having read two interesting preprints (one by Tada, Nie, and Oshikawa and one by Huang, Taylor, and Kallin)—which suggested that in toy-model systems with time-reversal breaking, edge currents should be absent if the order parameter twists more than once while going around the Fermi surface—i.e., if the topological Chern Number is greater than magnitude one. Since the Chern Number we had found was much greater than one, this looked like a possible route to a solution and Thomas quickly set about to show it. In a more detailed calculation using the realistic model, he found that even in the presence of time-reversal breaking, the expected edge currents and magnetization could be suppressed by many orders of magnitude compared with prior predictions. Thus, a picture was obtained that finally managed consistency with the two previously conflicting key pieces of experimental data.

In the meantime, our experimental collaborators had been hard at work probing the effects of uniaxial strain on the superconducting state of  $\text{Sr}_2\text{RuO}_4$ . The first thing they tried to look for was a prediction by Sauls, that on symmetry grounds,



the critical temperature as a function of strain should have a cusp at zero strain if the system is time-reversal breaking. The experimentalists did not find this cusp, but showed that strain greatly enhances the critical temperature. Thomas' generalization of his RG calculation to include strain predicted that (unfortunately) any cusp would be too small to resolve in experiment and also fairly well matched the increase in critical temperature.

As the experimentalists refined their technique, Thomas continued to explore the effects of strain theoretically. Under strain, the 2-D Fermi surface distorts and approaches the Brillouin zone boundary—which, it turns out, can favor a more conventional singlet order parameter. The combination of experimental and theoretical work now points to an extremely unusual possibility—that as strain is applied, the system makes a transition from the time-reversal breaking to a time-reversal preserving superconductivity. While the transition point has not been clearly seen in experiment, results for the changes in  $T_c$  versus the changes in the critical magnetic field  $H_{c,2}$  seem to support this picture. It is now an experimental challenge to observe the phase transition directly.

The emerging picture from this work seems to be consistent with a huge quantity of the experimental data available. Perhaps for the first time in the field we are coming toward a detailed understanding of all the experiments. While the present work may indeed be an enormous advance, one should not too quickly dismiss a few key experiments that remain unexplained. One issue in particular is that most experiments seem more consistent with nodal superconductivity rather than having a fully gapped Fermi surface (the prediction of the theory). While the very deep minima in the order parameter predicted by the RG approach discussed in this thesis may partially explain the experiment, it may also turn out that some further understanding is needed.

As compared to the original terse theory publications, the work contained in this thesis has been expanded very significantly in order to serve as a textbook for future scientists who are interested in the broad field of theoretical superconductivity. It provides excellent explanations of a number of complicated issues which are hard to find anywhere. My experience as Thomas Scaffidi's D.Phil. supervisor was most often that of a very impressed and interested observer. I'm very glad that this work is being published as a book now so that other readers will have opportunity to be equally interested and impressed!

Oxford, UK  
June 2017

Prof. Steven H. Simon

# Abstract

In this thesis, a weak-coupling formalism is developed to study superconductivity in spin-orbit-coupled, multi-orbital systems. This formalism is then applied to  $\text{Sr}_2\text{RuO}_4$ , one of the few candidates for odd-parity superconductivity. We show that spin-orbit coupling and multi-band effects are crucial to understand the physics of this material. Depending on the interaction parameters, the order parameter can either be chiral or helical. In both cases, the gap is highly anisotropic and has accidental deep minima along certain directions, in accordance with experiments. Focusing then on the chiral case, we show that the total Chern Number is  $-7$  instead of the usually assumed  $+1$ . This leads to drastically different predictions for the thermal and charge Hall conductances. In particular, we show that the absence of measurable charge edge currents is not incompatible with a chiral state. Finally, we study the evolution of superconductivity in  $\text{Sr}_2\text{RuO}_4$  under  $\langle 100 \rangle$  uniaxial strain. We find a good agreement with experiments for our prediction of  $T_c$  as a function of strain. Furthermore, we find that (1) the absence of a measurable cusp of  $T_c$  at zero strain is not incompatible with a chiral state and that (2) there could be a transition to an even-parity state at larger strain close to a Van Hove singularity. We propose  $H_{c,2}/T_c^2$  as a measurable quantity to identify this transition.

## Publications

Parts of this thesis have been published in the following journal articles:

1. T. Scaffidi, J. C. Romers, S. H. Simon, “Pairing Symmetry and Dominant Band in  $\text{Sr}_2\text{RuO}_4$ ”, *Phys. Rev. B* **89**, 220510(R) (2014), Editors’ Suggestion
2. T. Scaffidi, S. H. Simon, “Large Chern Number and Edge Currents in  $\text{Sr}_2\text{RuO}_4$ ”, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **115**, 087003 (2015)
3. A. Steppke, L. Zhao, M. E. Barber, T. Scaffidi, F. Jerzembeck, H. Rosner, A. S. Gibbs, Y. Maeno, S. H. Simon, A. P. Mackenzie, and C. W. Hicks, “Strong Peak in  $T_c$  of  $\text{Sr}_2\text{RuO}_4$  Under Uniaxial Pressure”, *Science* 13 Jan 2017: Vol. 355, Issue 6321, eaaf9398 DOI: [10.1126/science.aaf9398](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aaf9398)

# Acknowledgements

First and foremost, I would like to express my sincerest gratitude to my advisor Steven Simon for his continuous support during my D.Phil. and for sharing with me his endless enthusiasm for physics. I have learned an enormous amount of physics from our discussions, and it was an immense pleasure and privilege to work under his guidance. I could not have imagined having a better advisor. I am very grateful to Egor Babaev and John Chalker for accepting to be my examiners. I also thank John Chalker for his support, encouragement, and advice.

My sincere thanks go to Andy Mackenzie and Clifford Hicks. Without our very enjoyable collaboration, much of this work would have been impossible. I also heartily thank Andy Mackenzie for his encouragement, advice, and mentorship. I thank Gunnar Möller for giving me the chance to work on an exciting project as a Master student, and for his continuous support ever since. I am grateful to Zohar Ringel for running his numerous brilliant ideas by me. I have learned immensely from our discussions, and I want to thank him for introducing me to the joys of bosonic symmetry-protected topological phases. I have benefited from insightful discussions with many people regarding my work. In addition to those above, I thank Leon Balents, Iacopo Carusotto, Andrea Damascelli, Fabian Essler, Paul Fendley, Maurits Haverkort, Titus Neupert, Nicolas Regnault, Jim Sauls, Kenke Xu, and particularly Jesper Romers, Catherine Kallin, Steve Kivelson, and Sri Raghu.

It is a pleasure to thank Wen Huang for our numerous discussions about  $\text{Sr}_2\text{RuO}_4$  and our amicable collaboration. I also warmly thank David Aassen, Bruno Bertini, Roberto Bondesan, Simon Davenport, Phil Derry, Richard Fern, Gabor Halasz, Tim Hsieh, Dillon Liu, Adam Nahum, Laimei Nie, Curt von Keyserlingk, Hannah Price, Louk Rademaker, Neil Robinson, Alexander Steppke, Rina Takashima, Darren Tan, Thomas Veness, Matthew Watson, Chris Watson, Thorsten Wahl, Xiao Yin, Yizhi You, and Yizhuang You for numerous discussions. I would like to thank Akash Maharaj for his hospitality during my stay in Stanford, and Fenner Harper for his guidance throughout my D.Phil. I thank my colleagues from the Physics Department for making it such an agreeable place to work, and I am grateful to Michelle Boshier for her administrative support and Jonathan Patterson for his technical support.

I am grateful to the Kavli Institute for Theoretical Physics in Santa Barbara for supporting my stay as a Graduate Fellow. I also would like to thank Tarun Grover and Gabriel Wong for making this stay so enjoyable. I acknowledge the generous financial support of the Clarendon Fund and EPSRC. I thank Merton College for its academic and financial support and my college advisor, Alexander Schekochihin, for his encouragement and support.

I am forever indebted to Pierre-Alain Rouffange for being such an amazing friend, and for always helping me whenever I was in need. It is with great pleasure that I thank my partners in crime, Thomas Bligaard, Tim Coombes, Raphael Heuwieser, and Sameer Sengupta, without whom my time in Oxford would have been immensely less fun. I especially want to thank Raphael Heuwieser for his support through the late stages of the writing, and for putting up with me as a housemate.

Finally, and most importantly, I would like to thank my wife for her love and support. And of course my two brothers and my parents, to whom I owe everything.