

SPRINGER BRIEFS IN SOCIOLOGY

Marta Soler-Gallart

Achieving Social Impact

Sociology in the Public Sphere

 Springer

SpringerBriefs in Sociology

More information about this series at <http://www.springer.com/series/10410>

Marta Soler-Gallart

Achieving Social Impact

Sociology in the Public Sphere

 Springer

Marta Soler-Gallart
University of Barcelona
Barcelona
Spain

ISSN 2212-6368

SpringerBriefs in Sociology

ISBN 978-3-319-60269-1

DOI 10.1007/978-3-319-60270-7

ISSN 2212-6376 (electronic)

ISBN 978-3-319-60270-7 (eBook)

Library of Congress Control Number: 2017942594

© The Author(s) 2017

This work is subject to copyright. All rights are reserved by the Publisher, whether the whole or part of the material is concerned, specifically the rights of translation, reprinting, reuse of illustrations, recitation, broadcasting, reproduction on microfilms or in any other physical way, and transmission or information storage and retrieval, electronic adaptation, computer software, or by similar or dissimilar methodology now known or hereafter developed.

The use of general descriptive names, registered names, trademarks, service marks, etc. in this publication does not imply, even in the absence of a specific statement, that such names are exempt from the relevant protective laws and regulations and therefore free for general use.

The publisher, the authors and the editors are safe to assume that the advice and information in this book are believed to be true and accurate at the date of publication. Neither the publisher nor the authors or the editors give a warranty, express or implied, with respect to the material contained herein or for any errors or omissions that may have been made. The publisher remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Printed on acid-free paper

This Springer imprint is published by Springer Nature

The registered company is Springer International Publishing AG

The registered company address is: Gewerbestrasse 11, 6330 Cham, Switzerland

Foreword

Social Science, Sociology in particular, may be, on the defensive in many countries, weathering the storm of neoliberalism that is uprooting the university; in Spain, the battle is against a feudal order of the great professors. At the forefront of this battle is CREA, *Community of Research on Excellence for All*, a homegrown cross-disciplinary institute of engaged sociology born 25 years ago at the University of Barcelona that today attracts faculty and students not just from Spain but from all over the world. Founded by the charismatic figures of Jesús Gómez and Ramon Flecha in 1991, the institute has been directed by Marta Soler for the last 10 years. Her own research among literary groups within the working class of Catalonia, showing how, given the opportunity, the most oppressed groups can appreciate great literature—her research has inspired CREA’s distinctive approach for overcoming inequality.

The hallmark of CREA, then, is dialogue: open dialogue among sociologists; dialogue between sociologists and the people they study; and the promotion of dialogue within the communities they seek to uplift. They have successfully built an egalitarian network in the interstices of academic hierarchies, contending with the pressures of careerism and challenging the powerful overlords and full professors known locally as *catedráticos*.

One of the more hidden and painful expressions of such hierarchy is sexual harassment, pervasive not just in Spain but in many universities elsewhere—the unscrupulous deployment of power, often not only over students, but also over young colleagues and staff. CREA has not only openly and bravely challenged such egregious behavior but, emerging from this, has developed a research program to study gender violence. While workplace relations are important in fostering such violations, CREA researchers go further in trying to reverse predatory instincts that are fostered among peers, e.g., in high-schools. They organize and lead dialogic groups that bring gender relations into focus, which question assumptions behind sexual interaction and gender stereotypes, and that help people reflect on the meaning of sexual attractiveness. CREA has developed a sociological intervention that they call “preventative socialization” based on theories of the relationship between reason and desire in which neither is beyond the reach of the other. They

have not stopped at such experimentation but used the results of the research to shape policy-making, contributing to the extension of the meaning of sexual harassment in the law.

Starting from their own lives in the academy, CREA has fostered a community that not only challenges academic hierarchies, not only undertakes research into manifestations of those hierarchies, but also seeks to advance changes in the law. Their own community is prefigurative of the world they seek to create through “communicative methodology,” bringing sociologists into dialogue with the people they study. This is research in pursuit of equality—democratic sociology for a democratic society. This is not research at a distance that objectifies the community, reproducing the stereotypes of the poor, immigrants, and outcast minorities, without ever consulting them. To the contrary, CREA works directly with the community, bringing its “common sense” sociology into relation with the inherited body of sociological knowledge. By their interventions, the CREA researchers develop the trust of those they study, especially important when the latter are the subject of all sorts of fanciful stigma in the print and visual media and in the public at large. This goes beyond participatory action research in which the community defines the problems of the researcher, but involves also the interrogation by the community of inherited bodies of social science scholarship. This is a genuine two-way conversation.

Communicative methodology is, in other words, a perfect example of organic public sociology—a sociology that engages communities directly in face-to-face fashion. Different from traditional public sociology that engages audiences at a distance through diverse media, organic public sociology engages its participating communities in an *unmediated* fashion. Here, the publics are more restricted but, on the other hand, they are visible, thick, active, and often counter-publics. Organic public sociology is not only a public sociology that can be more effectively directed, but also a public sociology that is accountable to its participating communities.

Thus, CREA research teams may bring to the community institutional models that have worked elsewhere such as the model of cooperation as developed by the great Mondragon Cooperative. The model is discussed and then applied to an urban Roma community as a way of tackling unemployment through what they call labor reinsertion. Of course, no model can be simply taken from one context and implanted in another, it requires adaptation. Here, again collective engagement through dialogue is the key to the intervention if it is to become a “successful action.” In conducting such dialogue, the researchers face a problem—the diversity of interests and identities within the community. With whom should one dialogue? CREA responds to the challenge by constituting an advisory committee that represents those divergent interests and identities, ensuring effective representation as well as internal dialogue within the community. All this requires enormous patience, courage, and the stripping away of the mistaken assumptions each side might have toward the other. Throughout, the researchers make every effort to recognize and consult with representative bodies, and to do that most effectively they also constitute themselves as a multi-cultural team.

But it does not stop there. CREA brings their research and the communities they study to the European parliament, generating an open discussion about the way of reversing, for example, discrimination against Roma people. Legislation is passed that further galvanizes Roma communities and their willingness to participate in more experiments designed to overcome inequality, leading to more successful actions. In the CREA model, success breeds success. They develop an exemplary synergy between organic public sociology and policy sociology.

One of the more extraordinary achievements of CREA is their project in living theory. They are opposed to the instrumental view of theory in which ready-made social theory is simply applied to the world. To the contrary, there is neither ready-made theory nor an inert world. One of the defining features of CREA is its regular “book in hand” seminars where a group that includes community members as well as sociologists set about discussing some abstruse “text,” whether it be Habermas’s theory of communicative action, Alain Touraine’s theory of social intervention, Ulrich Beck’s risk society, or Judith Butler’s theory of gender performativity. Often the theorist is there him or herself, and subject to critical interrogation not just from card-carrying sociologists but also people from communities. As Marta Soler reports in this book, the invited theorists come away shocked and energized by the experience. Judith Butler says she will have to revise her notion of feminism as a result of her participation in the seminar. So theory lives as theorists and communities together rebuild it.

But theory lives not only just as a dynamic process of theorizing, but also in the lives of subjects. Communities learn to bring theory into their lives, educating themselves “with the book in hand” to the wider forces shaping their communities, problematizing the assumptions they make, and above all, making it clear that what is does not have to be. The communicative methodology allows people to live theory imagining alternative worlds—the first and necessary step to create such worlds. Living theory is none other than giving people hope for a better world and inspiring them and others to create such a world. That is what CREA means.

Michael Burawoy
University of California
Berkeley, USA

Contents

1 CREA and Our Path Towards Socially Relevant Social Sciences	1
1.1 Making Scientific Knowledge While Transforming Feudal Universities	9
References	19
2 Dialogic Relations and Interactions as an Alternative to Power	21
2.1 Austin, Searle, Habermas and CREA	22
2.1.1 Speech Acts and Communicative Acts	26
2.1.2 The Desire for Imposition Generates Power Relationships, the Desire for Sharing Generates Dialogic Relationships	28
2.2 Egalitarian Dialogue and the Communicative Methodology of Research	31
2.3 Overcoming Stereotypes and Ethnocentrism	34
2.4 Creating Egalitarian Dialogues: The Communicative Organization of Research	36
2.5 The Challenges of Communicative Research	39
References	41
3 The Dialogic Self: Preventive Socialization	43
3.1 The Social Transformation of Our Biology Through Communication	45
3.1.1 Beyond the Eros—Thanatos Dichotomy, Culture and Intersubjectivity	47
3.2 Navigating the Social Dimension of Love and Desire	49
3.3 Dialogue that Includes Desires: Overcoming the Apollonian and Dionysian Dichotomy	52
3.4 Dialogic Creation of Meaning: Values and Desire Together	56

3.5 CREA Research on the Preventive Socialization of Gender Violence. 59

3.5.1 Opening up Ways to Overcome Gender Violence Through Research on Preventive Socialization. 62

3.5.2 Unveiling the Mirage of Upward Mobility: A Critical Concept 63

3.5.3 Creating Opportunities for Transformation Through the Communicative Methods 64

References. 66

4 Successful Actions: Democratic Sociology for Democratic Societies 69

4.1 Introduction. 69

4.2 Toward Overcoming Ghettos Through the Dialogic Inclusion Contract. 72

4.3 The Other Women Movements as a Successful Action of Dialogic Democracy 82

4.4 Dialogic Democracy in the Study of Alternative Non-capitalist Economic Models: The Case of Mondragon 87

References. 92

Concluding Remarks 95

Introduction

Social sciences were born together with the democratic revolutions of the eighteenth century. Citizens decided to govern themselves and, in order to appropriately take it forward, they needed to know themselves, a task that led to the progressive development of the social sciences. CREA, the *Community of Research on Excellence for All*, was founded in 1991 with the creation of the Seminar “with the book in hand,” where members of CREA have read and debated the main books about this process, from the *Wealth of Nations* by Adam Smith to *Economy and Society* by Max Weber, including more recent contributions such as Habermas’ *Theory of Communicative Action*. This book presents the history and work of a research center that, through constantly engaging with civil society, enables and achieves the social improvements that citizens want and need.

Weber deeply analyzed the bureaucratization driven by the capitalist enterprise and the modern state; the same bureaucratization has also reached the social sciences that frequently have lost their original meaning, generating corporate dynamics detached from citizens’ claims. Perhaps because of this loss, we are witnessing today a questioning of the social sciences not only from some bureaucracies of the states and enterprises, but also from some social movements and citizens’ organizations. In the case of Europe, such questioning provoked that the European Commission decided to eliminate the funding for the social sciences and the humanities in the draft of their scientific program of research (Horizon 2020). A reaction from scholars all over Europe manage to convince the European Parliament to change that decision and extend the funding until 2020, thus prolonging in time such questioning (see our paper in *Nature*: “Europe must fund social sciences”).¹ The 25 years of history of CREA have built in this community of researchers the capacity to play a relevant role in the work oriented to overcome this questioning.

¹Flecha, R., Soler, M., Sordé, T. (2016). Europe must fund social sciences. *Nature*, 528, 193. doi:10.1038/528193d