## Jasmin Lilian Diab

# INTERNATIONAL MIGRATION AND REFUGEE LAW

Does Germany's Migration Policy Toward Syrian Refugees Comply?



## Diab, Jasmin Lilian: International Migration and Refugee Law. Does Germany's Migration Policy Toward Syrian Refugees Comply?, Hamburg, Anchor Academic Publishing 2017

Buch-ISBN: 978-3-96067-151-0 PDF-eBook-ISBN: 978-3-96067-651-5

Druck/Herstellung: Anchor Academic Publishing, Hamburg, 2017

Covermotiv: © pixabay.com

#### Bibliografische Information der Deutschen Nationalbibliothek:

Die Deutsche Nationalbibliothek verzeichnet diese Publikation in der Deutschen Nationalbibliografie; detaillierte bibliografische Daten sind im Internet über http://dnb.d-nb.de abrufbar.

#### Bibliographical Information of the German National Library:

The German National Library lists this publication in the German National Bibliography. Detailed bibliographic data can be found at: http://dnb.d-nb.de

All rights reserved. This publication may not be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording or otherwise, without the prior permission of the publishers.

Das Werk einschließlich aller seiner Teile ist urheberrechtlich geschützt. Jede Verwertung außerhalb der Grenzen des Urheberrechtsgesetzes ist ohne Zustimmung des Verlages unzulässig und strafbar. Dies gilt insbesondere für Vervielfältigungen, Übersetzungen, Mikroverfilmungen und die Einspeicherung und Bearbeitung in elektronischen Systemen.

Die Wiedergabe von Gebrauchsnamen, Handelsnamen, Warenbezeichnungen usw. in diesem Werk berechtigt auch ohne besondere Kennzeichnung nicht zu der Annahme, dass solche Namen im Sinne der Warenzeichen- und Markenschutz-Gesetzgebung als frei zu betrachten wären und daher von jedermann benutzt werden dürften.

Die Informationen in diesem Werk wurden mit Sorgfalt erarbeitet. Dennoch können Fehler nicht vollständig ausgeschlossen werden und die Diplomica Verlag GmbH, die Autoren oder Übersetzer übernehmen keine juristische Verantwortung oder irgendeine Haftung für evtl. verbliebene fehlerhafte Angaben und deren Folgen.

Alle Rechte vorbehalten

© Anchor Academic Publishing, Imprint der Diplomica Verlag GmbH Hermannstal 119k, 22119 Hamburg http://www.diplomica-verlag.de, Hamburg 2017 Printed in Germany



#### Acknowledgments

I would like to thank Dr. Georges Labaki for being my advisor from day one, and for his instruction and guidance and patience with me throughout my academic career. I would also wish to thank Dr. Akl Kairouz and Dr. Dani Ghoussoub for their understanding and patience. None of this would have been possible without Dr. Guita Hourani, Mrs. Liliane Haddad and their guidance and mentorship throughout my career at the Lebanese Emigration Research Center, and without Dr. Elie Al-Hindy being an exceptional advisor to me throughout my M.A. I would also like to give a special thank you to Dr. Hannes Schammann of the University of Hildesheim in Germany for allowing me to interview him for my work, and to my friends and family for all their love and support to me throughout this process.

#### **Abstract**

Germany will spend around \$6.6 billion to cope with an estimated 800,000 refugees expected to have entered the country in the year 2016; this reality indeed extending further into 2017. Despite this overwhelming number of people entering the country, Chancellor Angela Merkel stated that there is "no legal limit to the number of asylum seekers Germany will take in in the coming years." The announcement by Merkel's coalition government arrived following Germany and Austria opening their borders to the large numbers of refugees making their way north and west from the Middle East, Africa and elsewhere. In particular, this statement came after the Syrian refugee crisis created the biggest refugee crisis the world has seen since the Second World War.

Germany is seen as the immigration hub of Europe. It also happens to be the second most popular destination for immigrants after the United States of America. Germany is also the country in Europe with the highest numbers of foreign nationals to date.

Germany established a new immigration law in 2005 was born out of a realization that it was coming to terms with a demographic crisis stemming from an ageing population and further complimented by a sharp decline national birth rates. In foresight, and within this unfortunate context, migration was seen by much of the German political class as an economic necessity, and the answer to the German economic and demographic time bomb.

Between the years 2009 and 2014, annual net migration in Germany rose from 100,000 to 580,000 individuals. Moreover, the inflow of foreign nationals increased from 266,000 to

790,000 individuals. As of January 2015, approximately 10% of residents in Germany were

foreign nationals, with around 12% born outside the country. Naturally, these figures have

all risen significantly following Merkel's decision to allow what has reached one million

refugees and migrants into Germany across 2016 and moving into 2017.

Moving from this reality, the research will focus on the importance of the compliance of

Germany's migration policy with International Refugee and Migration Law, as it is crucial

for the country's survivability and move forward throughout this phase of its history. The

importance of the research lies in whether or not Germany's migration policy towards the

Syrian Refugees in particular complies with its duties toward international law embodied

in the treaties and conventions it has committed to.

Key words: Migration, Refugees, Germany, European Union, Policy, International Law

v

### Contents

Acknowledgments Abstract			
Chapter I: Introduction	1		
The History of the Right to Asylum	3		
The Duty of Hosting States			
European Law and the Non-Refoulement Principle	5 7		
Germany as an Emerging Country of Refuge	9		
German Migration Policy Development and the Reasoning behind Opening Boundaries	12		
Chapter II: Literature Review	16		
The Rights of Refugees	16		
The Establishment of the UNHCR	17		
The 1951 Convention and its 1967 Protocol and the Definition of a Refugee	18		
The Scope of the 1951 Convention and its Limitations	19		
The Duty of States vs. the Rights of Refugees	21		
Chapter III: Methodology	33		
Chapter IV: Migration to Germany and the Syrian Crisis in the EU	35		
Migration to Germany in Figures	35		
The Syrian Crisis and Refugee flows to Europe	38		
The European Union Migration Policy	43		
General Principles of Common European Asylum System	45		
The Rights of Individuals Arriving at the Border under European Law	46		
Substantive Rights: The Rights and Duties of Member States	52		
Qualifications for International Protection	56		
Return Directives and Readmission Requirements	59		
Resettlement and Financial Instruments	61		
Measures to Respond to the Refugee Crisis	63		
Chapter V: The Evolution of German Migration Policy	70		
The Right to Asylum in Germany	71		
Steps Prior to Arrival at the German Border	73		
Processes for Handling Refugees Arriving at the Border	74		
Amendments to the Refugee Handling Procedure Due to the Current Refugee Crisis	79		
Act on the Acceleration of Asylum Procedures and Benefits Awarded to Asylum Seekers	81		
Support for Unaccompanied Refugee Minors in Germany	83		
Steps to Determine Whether a Person is Entitled to Refugee Status in Germany	83		
Screening Procedure for Arriving Refugees Being Resettled in Germany	84		

Naturalization: Refugees Legally in Germany				
Monitoring and Movement of Refugees While in Germany and the Role of Governments	88			
Chapter VI: Does German Migration Policy toward Migrants and Refugees	90			
Comply with International Law?				
Airport Procedure	90			
Legal and Political Criticisms	91			
The Expulsion of Asylum Seekers and the Minimum Recommended Standards	92			
Risk of Political Persecution	94			
Harmonization of Asylum Laws	98			
A Legal Policy Perspective	99			
Current and Future Policy Debates: Rising Public Pressure vs. Integration	101			
Analyzing Germany's Policy Shifts from 2000-to present	104			
Improving the Burden-Sharing with the EU	108			
Germany Fights Back Nationalist Movements: Announces Measures for Deporting	110			
Migrant Criminals				
Chapter VII: Future Prospects and Recommendations	115			
Recommendations at the Level of EU-German/Turkish Relations	116			
Observations in International Law	118			
Bibliography	124			

#### Chapter I

#### Introduction

Annually, millions of individuals seek the protection of international refugee law, rendering it one of the most significant international human rights mechanisms which exist to date. Throughout the development of international refugee and migration law, the only international legal norms which apply to refugees at global level in particular, remain the 1951 UN Convention Relating to the Status of Refugees as well as its 1967 Protocol. To date, The Convention on Human Rights and its Protocol have been ratified by 150 UN member-states. Under the conditions of the post-war era, The Convention was drafted applying only to individuals who were identified as refugees as a result of events occurring before the 1st of January 1951 in Europe. The 1967 Protocol subsequently came to remove this temporal and geographical limitation.<sup>1</sup>

Within the field of migration, refugees are considered a separate class of immigrants who deserve specific measures of protection, as per international law, by the host state. Based upon Article 1 of the 1951 Convention, and as modified by the 1967 Protocol, a refugee is defined as an individual who "owing to well-founded fear of being persecuted for reasons of race, religion, nationality, membership of a particular social group or political opinion, is outside the country of his nationality and is unable or, owing to such fear, is unwilling to avail

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> McAdam, J. (2007). Complementary Protection in International Refugee Law. Oxford University Press, Retrieve at: <a href="http://www.iarlj.org/general/images/stories/docs/flyer">http://www.iarlj.org/general/images/stories/docs/flyer</a>

mc adam complementary protection in international refugee law.pdf

himself of the protection of that country"<sup>2</sup> The definition generally denotes that more than one *qualifying condition* applies to an individual to be considered as a refugee. This entails the following criteria: (1) Physical presence outside home country; (2) well-founded fear of persecution (being at risk of harm is insufficient reason in the absence of discriminatory persecution); (3) incapacity to enjoy the protection of one's own state from the feared persecution.

Originally, this definition was intended to exclude internally displaced persons, economic immigrants, and victims of natural disasters. The definition was also made to exclude individuals fleeing violent conflict but not subjects of discrimination which amounts to persecution.

Moreover, the obstacle of exclusivity of the definition outlines, in turn, the reality that a refugee is not an asylum-seeker. The United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees (UNHCR) defined an asylum seeker: 'someone who says he or she is a refugee, but whose claim has not yet been definitively evaluated'. In the cases of mass refugee influxes toward a specific region due to a local, regional, or international conflict in particular, the reasons for fleeing usually are justified and evident. Consequently, in dire circumstances, there is no capacity to conduct individual interviews; and these individuals are often declared *prima facie* refugees.<sup>3</sup>

\_

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Edwards, A., Stevens, D., Lambert, H., Juss, S., Shah, P., Guild, E., ... & Gilbert, G. (2013). International Refugee

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Harthaway, J. C. & Nevey R. A., (1997). Making International Refugee Law Relevant Again: A Proposal for Collectivized and Solution-Oriented Protection, pp. 115-145, Harvard Human Rights Journal. Retrieve at: <a href="http://heinonline.org/HOL/LandingPage?handle=hein.journals/hhrj10&div=8&id=&page="http://heinonline.org/HOL/LandingPage?handle=hein.journals/hhrj10&div=8&id=&page="http://heinonline.org/HOL/LandingPage?handle=hein.journals/hhrj10&div=8&id=&page="http://heinonline.org/HOL/LandingPage?handle=hein.journals/hhrj10&div=8&id=&page="http://heinonline.org/HOL/LandingPage?handle=hein.journals/hhrj10&div=8&id=&page="http://heinonline.org/HOL/LandingPage">http://heinonline.org/HOL/LandingPage?handle=hein.journals/hhrj10&div=8&id=&page="http://heinonline.org/HOL/LandingPage">http://heinonline.org/HOL/LandingPage?handle=hein.journals/hhrj10&div=8&id=&page="http://heinonline.org/HOL/LandingPage">http://heinonline.org/HOL/LandingPage?handle=hein.journals/hhrj10&div=8&id=&page="http://heinonline.org/HOL/LandingPage">http://heinonline.org/HOL/LandingPage?handle=hein.journals/hhrj10&div=8&id=&page="http://heinonline.org/HOL/LandingPage">http://heinonline.org/HOL/LandingPage=handle=hein.journals/hhrj10&div=8&id=&page="http://heinonline.org/HOL/LandingPage">http://heinonline.org/HOL/LandingPage=handle=hein.journals/hhrj10&div=8&id=&page="http://heinonline.org/HOL/LandingPage">http://heinonline.org/HOL/LandingPage=handle=hein.journals/hhrj10&div=8&id=&page=handle=hein.journals/hhrj10&div=8&id=&page=handle=hein.journals/hhrj10&div=8&id=&page=handle=hein.journals/hhrj10&div=8&id=&page=handle=hein.journals/hhrj10&div=8&id=&page=handle=handle=hein.journals/hhrj10&div=8&id=&page=handle=hand

#### The History of the Right to Asylum

International Human Rights Treaties, and International refugee law neither clarify an entitlement to asylum for the individuals concerned, nor do they inflict an obligation upon states to grant asylum to individuals fleeing persecution. To make this delicate balance clearer, individuals have a right to seek asylum, not necessarily be granted asylum. Furthermore, states have the right to grant asylum, without being bound to any obligations or enforcements. The 1951 Convention<sup>4</sup> (or The Geneva Convention) does in no way guarantee asylum-seekers the right to be granted refugee status. Even in cases where individuals fulfil all the necessary conditions to be considered refugees. The final decision as to whether or not a refugee status is granted remains at state discretion. However, states are "obliged" to refrain from actions that would purposely, directly, or indirectly, endanger asylum-seekers, especially endangerment resulting from returning these individuals to their country of origin; however, whether or not there are consequences or means of making states conform to these standards, remains a gray area in International Law. In the maintenance of their sovereignty, every state is free to institute their own tailored conditions upon which they would grant asylum - stemming from and reinforced by the fact that, in theory, no state is entitled to interpret the Geneva Convention authoritatively, different from other international human rights treaties. The UNHCR has the duty to supervise its application. This in no way gives the UNHCR the authority to, in turn, enforce

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> The 1951 Refugee Convention is the key legal document that forms the basis of our work. Ratified by 145 State parties, it defines the term 'refugee' and outlines the rights of the displaced, as well as the legal obligations of States to protect them. More at: <a href="http://www.unhcr.org/1951-refugee-convention.html">http://www.unhcr.org/1951-refugee-convention.html</a>

mandatory interpretations. The Convention has always left its interpretation to domestic law-makers and national courts.5

Due to their overwhelmingly vulnerable situation, asylum-seekers are at many instances, forced to enter their country of refuge (host country) illegally. As stated previously, the Convention<sup>6</sup> does not require that states grant asylum-seekers entry to their territory; however within the frame-work of the non-refoulement principle, entering a state party to the Convention illegally does not forfeit protection (Article 31). Individuals who enter their host country illegally may still meet the requirements to be granted refugee status if they meet the relevant criteria outlined by the state in question. However, the question does remain about whether or not states' capacities to intercept large influxes of refugees into their borders are not only plausible, but whether or not it interphases with their rights as a sovereign state to begin with. Refugees illegally present within the territory of the host country must not be penalized for their illegal entry should they be (Article 31):

- Entering directly from the territory where their lives or freedom were threatened and if they report themselves immediately to the authorities,
- Showing good reason for their illegal entry.

Restrictions upon their internal movement may be imposed until their case is reviewed and their official status is granted. As for refugees, legally present in the territory, Article 26 of the Convention grants them the right to select their area of residence as well moving freely within the border of their host state. The UNHCR has clarified that it believes the detention

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> 1951 Geneva/Refugee Convention